# Extreme values of the Dedekind $\Psi$ function

Patrick Solé Michel Planat

#### Abstract

Let  $\Psi(n) := n \prod_{p|n} (1 + \frac{1}{p})$  denote the Dedekind  $\Psi$  function. Define, for  $n \ge 3$ , the ratio  $R(n) := \frac{\Psi(n)}{n \log \log n}$ . We prove unconditionally that  $R(n) < e^{\gamma}$  for  $n \ge 31$ . Let  $N_n = 2 \cdots p_n$  be the primorial of order n. We prove that the statement  $R(N_n) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}$  for  $n \ge 3$  is equivalent to the Riemann Hypothesis.

MsC codes: 11N56, 11A25, 11M06

**Keywords:** Dedekind  $\Psi$  function, Euler totient function, Mertens formula, Nicolas bound, Primorial numbers

# I. INTRODUCTION

The Dedekind  $\Psi$  function is an arithmetic multiplicative function defined for every integer n > 0 by

$$\Psi(n) := n \prod_{p|n} (1 + \frac{1}{p}).$$

It occurs naturally in questions pertaining to dimension of spaces of modular forms [2] and to the commutation of operators in quantum physics [6]. It is related to the sum of divisor function

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$$

 $\Psi(n) < \sigma(n),$ 

by the inequalities

and the fact that they coincide for n squarefree. It is also related to Euler 
$$\varphi$$
 function by the inequalities

$$n^2 > \varphi(n)\Psi(n) > \frac{n^2}{\zeta(2)}$$

derived in Proposition 5 below.

In view of the studies of large values of  $\sigma$  [7] and of low values of  $\varphi$  [4], it is natural to study both the large and low values of  $\Psi$ . To that end, we define the ratio  $R(n) := \frac{\Psi(n)}{n \log \log n}$ . The motivation for this strange quantity is the asymptotics of Proposition 3. We prove unconditionally that  $R(n) < e^{\gamma}$ , for  $n \ge 31$  in Corollary 2. Note that this bound would follow also from the Robin inequality

$$\sigma(n) \le e^{\gamma} n \log \log n$$

for  $n \ge 5041$  under Riemann Hypothesis (RH) [7], since  $\Psi(n) \le \sigma(n)$ .

In the direction of lower bounds, we prove that the statement  $R(N_n) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}$  for  $n \ge 3$  is equivalent to RH, where  $N_n = 2 \cdots p_n$  is the primorial of order n. The proof relies on Nicolas's work on the Euler totient function [4].

Telecom ParisTech, 46 rue Barrault, 75634 Paris Cedex 13, France.

Institut FEMTO-ST, CNRS, 32 Avenue de l'Observatoire, F-25044 Besançon, France

#### II. REDUCTION TO PRIMORIAL NUMBERS

Define the primorial number  $N_n$  of index n as the product of the first n primes

$$N_n = \prod_{k=1}^n p_k,$$

so that  $N_1 = 2$ ,  $N_2 = 6$ ,  $\cdots$  and so on. As in [4], the primorial numbers play the role here of superabundant numbers in [7]. They are champion numbers (ie left to right maxima) of the function  $x \mapsto \Psi(x)/x$ :

$$\frac{\Psi(m)}{m} < \frac{\Psi(n)}{n} \text{ for any } m < n, \tag{1}$$

We give a proof of this fact, which was observed in [5].

Proposition 1: The primorial numbers  $N_n$  are exactly the champion numbers of the function  $x \mapsto \Psi(x)/x$ .

*Proof:* The proof is by induction on n. The induction hypothesis  $H_n$  is that the statement is true up to  $N_n$ . It is clear that  $H_2$  is true. Let  $N_n \leq m < N_{n+1}$  be a generic integer. The number m has at most n distinct prime factors. This, in combination with the observation that 1 + 1/x is monotonically decreasing as a function of x, shows that  $\Psi(m)/m \leq \Psi(N_n)/N_n$ . Further  $\Psi(N_n)/N_n < \Psi(N_{n+1})/N_{n+1}$ . The proof of  $H_{n+1}$  follows.

In this section we reduce the maximization of R(n) over all integers n to the maximization over primorials.

Proposition 2: Let n be an integer  $\geq 2$ . For any m in the range  $N_n \leq m < N_{n+1}$  one has  $R(m) \leq R(N_n)$ .

*Proof:* Like in the preceding proof we have

$$\Psi(m)/m \leq \Psi(N_n)/N_n$$

Since  $0 < \log \log 6 < \log \log N_n \le \log \log m$ , the result follows.

# III. $\Psi$ at primorial numbers

We begin with an easy application of Mertens formula [3, Th. 429]. *Proposition 3:* We have, as  $n \to \infty$ 

$$\lim R(N_n) = \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \approx 1.08.$$

*Proof:* Writing  $1+1/p = (1-1/p^2)/(1-1/p)$  in the definition of  $\Psi(n)$  we can combine the Eulerian product for  $\zeta(2)$  with Mertens formula

$$\prod_{p \le x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1} \sim e^{\gamma} \log(x)$$

to obtain

$$\frac{\Psi(N_n)}{N_n} \sim \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \log(p_n),$$

Now the Prime Number Theorem [3, Th. 6, Th. 420] states that  $x \sim \theta(x)$  for x large. where  $\theta(x)$  stands for Chebyshev's first summatory function:

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \le x} \log p.$$

This shows that, taking  $x = p_n$  we have

$$p_n \sim \theta(p_n) = \log(N_n).$$

The result follows.

This motivates the search for explicit upper bounds on  $R(N_n)$  of the form  $\frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}(1+o(1))$ . In that direction we have the following bound.

Proposition 4: For n large enough to have  $p_n \ge 20000$ , that is  $n \ge 2263$ , we have

$$\frac{\Psi(N_n)}{N_n} \le \frac{\exp(\gamma + 2/p_n)}{\zeta(2)} (\log \log N_n + \frac{1.125}{\log p_n})$$

So, armed with this bound, we derive a bound of the form  $R(N_n) < e^{\gamma}$  for  $n \ge A$ , with A a constant.

Corollary 1: For  $n \ge 4$ , we have  $R(N_n) < e^{\gamma} = 1.78 \cdots$ *Proof:* 

For  $p_n \ge 20000$ , we use the preceding proposition. We need to check that

$$\exp(2/p_n)(1 + \frac{1.125}{\log(p_n)\log\log(N_n)}) \le \zeta(2).$$

Since the LHS is a decreasing function of n it is enough to check this inequality for the first n such that  $p_n \ge 20000$ .

For  $5 \le p_n \le 20000$ , that is  $3 \le n \le 2262$  we simply compute  $R(N_n)$ , and check that it is  $< e^{\gamma}$ .

We can extend this Corollary to all integers > 30 by using the reduction of preceding section, combined with some numerical calculations for  $30 < n \le N_4$ .

Corollary 2: For n > 30, we have  $R(n) < e^{\gamma}$ .

We prepare for the proof of the preceding Proposition by a pair of Lemmas. First an upper bound on a partial Eulerian product from [8, (3.30) p.70].

Lemma 1: For  $x \ge 2$ , we have

$$\prod_{p \le x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1} \le e^{\gamma} (\log x + \frac{1}{\log x})$$

Next an upper bound on the tail of the Eulerian product for  $\zeta(2)$ . Lemma 2: For  $n \ge 2$  we have

$$\prod_{p > p_n} (1 - 1/p^2)^{-1} \le \exp(2/p_n)$$

*Proof:* Use Lemma 6.4 in [1] with  $x = p_n$  and t = 2. We are now ready for the proof of Proposition 4.

Proof:

Write

$$\frac{\Psi(N_n)}{N_n} = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - 1/{p_k}^2}{1 - 1/p_k}$$

and use both lemmas to derive

$$\frac{\Psi(N_n)}{N_n} \le \frac{\exp(\gamma + 2/p_n)}{\zeta(2)} (\log p_n + \frac{1}{\log p_n}).$$

Now we get rid of the first log in the RHS by the bound of [7, p.206]

$$\log(p_n) < \log\log N_n + \frac{0.125}{\log p_n}$$

The result follows.

## IV. LOWER BOUNDS

We reduce first to Euler's  $\varphi$  function.

Proposition 5: For  $n \ge 2$  we have

$$n^2 > \varphi(n)\Psi(n) > \frac{n^2}{\zeta(2)}$$

$$\frac{\varphi(n)\Psi(n)}{n^2} = \prod_{p|n} (1 - 1/p^2),$$

a product of finitely many terms < 1. Notice for the second inequality that

$$\frac{\varphi(n)\Psi(n)}{n^2} = \prod_{p|n} (1 - 1/p^2) > \prod_p (1 - 1/p^2),$$

an infinite product that is the inverse of the Eulerian product for  $\zeta(2)$ .

Theorem 1: Under RH the ratio  $R(N_n)$  is  $> \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}$  for  $n \ge 3$ . If RH is false, this is still true for infinitely many n.

Proof:

Follows by Proposition 5, combined with [4, Theorem 2].

In view of this result and of numerical experiments the natural conjecture is

Conjecture 1: For all  $n \ge 3$  we have  $R(N_n) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}$ .

The main result of this note is the following.

Theorem 2: Conjecture 1 is equivalent to RH.

*Proof:* If RH is true we refer to the first statement of Theorem 1. If RH is false we consider the function  $\gamma$ 

$$g(x) := \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \log \theta(x) \prod_{p \le x} (1 + 1/p)^{-1}$$

Observing that  $\log \theta(p_n) = \log \log N_n$ , we see that  $g(p_n) < 1$  is equivalent to  $R(N_n) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)}$ . We need to show that there exists an  $x_0 \ge 3$  such that  $g(x_0) > 1$  or equivalently  $\log g(x_0) > 0$ . Using once again the identity  $1 - 1/p^2 = (1 - 1/p)(1 + 1/p)$ , and [1, Lemma 6.4], we obtain, upon writing

$$-\log \zeta(2) = \sum_{p \le x} \log(1 - 1/p^2) + \sum_{p > x} \log(1 - 1/p^2),$$

the bound

 $\log g(x) \ge \log f(x) - 2/x,$ 

where f is the function introduced in [4, Theorem 3], that is

$$f(x) := e^{\gamma} \log \theta(x) \prod_{p \le x} (1 - 1/p).$$

We know by [4, Theorem 3 (c)] that, if RH is false, there is a 0 < b < 1 such that  $\limsup x^{-b} f(x) > 0$ and hence  $\limsup \log f(x) >> \log x$ . Since  $2/x = o(\log x)$ , the result follows.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this note we have derived upper and lower bounds on the Dedekind  $\Psi$  function. We show unconditionally that the function  $\Psi(n)$  satisfies the Robin inequality. Since  $\psi(n) \leq \sigma(n)$  this could be proved under RH [7] or by referring to [1]. Of special interest is Conjecture 1 which is shown here to be equivalent to RH. We hope this new RH criterion will stimulate research on the Dedekind  $\Psi$  function.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Fabio Anselmi, Pieter Moree, and Jean-Louis Nicolas for helpful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- Choie, YoungJu, Lichiardopol, Nicolas, Moree, Pieter, Solé, Patrick On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 19 (2007), no. 2, 357–372.
- [2] J. A. Csirik, M. Zieve and J. Wetherell, On the genera of  $X_0(N)$ , unpublished manuscript (2001); available online at http://www.csirik.net/papers.html
- [3] G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright, An introduction to the theory of numbers, Oxford (1979).
- [4] Nicolas, Jean-Louis Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler. J. Number Theory 17 (1983), no. 3, 375-388.
- [5] Michel Planat, Riemann hypothesis from the Dedekind psi function,
- hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/docs/00/52/64/54/PDF/RiemannHyp.pdf
- [6] Michel Planat, Pauli graphs when the Hilbert space dimension contains a square: why the Dedekind psi function ? J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 045301-16.
- [7] G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 63 (1984), 187–213.
- [8] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers. Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962), 64–94.