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#### Abstract

It is well known that $\operatorname{li}(x)>\pi(x)$ (i) up to the (very large) Skewes' number $x_{1} \sim 1.40 \times 10^{316}$ 1]. But, according to a Littlewood's theorem, there exist infinitely many $x$ that violate the inequality, due to the specific distribution of non-trivial zeros $\gamma$ of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, encoded by the equation $\operatorname{li}(x)-\pi(x) \approx \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}\left[1+2 \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\sin (\gamma \log x)}{\gamma}\right]$ (1). If Riemann hypothesis (RH) holds, (i) may be replaced by the equivalent statement $\operatorname{li}[\psi(x)]>\pi(x)$ (ii) due to Robin [2]. A statement similar to (i) was found by Chebyshev that $\pi(x ; 4,3)-\pi(x ; 4,1)>0$ (iii) holds for any $x<26861$ 3] (the notation $\pi(x ; k, l)$ means the number of primes up to $x$ and congruent to $l \bmod k$ ). The Chebyshev's bias (iii) is related to the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) and occurs with a logarithmic density $\approx 0.9959$ [3]. In this paper, we reformulate the Chebyshev's bias for a general modulus $q$ as the inequality $B(x ; q, R)-B(x ; q, N)>0$ (iv), where $B(x ; k, l)=\operatorname{li}[\phi(k) * \psi(x ; k, l)]-\phi(k) * \pi(x ; k, l)$ is a counting function introduced in Robin's paper [2] and $R$ ( resp. $N$ ) is a quadratic residue modulo $q$ (resp. a non-quadratic residue). We investigate numerically the case $q=4$ and a few prime moduli $p$. Then, we proove that (iv) is equivalent to GRH for the modulus $q$.


## 1. Introduction

In the following, we denote $\pi(x)$ the prime counting function and $\pi(x ; q, a)$ the number of primes not exceeding $x$ and congruent to $a \bmod q$. The asymptotic law for the distribution of primes is the prime number theorem $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$. Correspondingly, one gets [4, eq. (14), p. 125]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x ; q, a) \sim \frac{\pi(x)}{\phi(q)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, one expects the same number of primes in each residue class $a \bmod q$, if $(a, q)=1$. Chebyshev's bias is the observation that, contrarily to expectations, $\pi(x ; q, N)>\pi(x ; q, R)$ most of the times, when $N$ is a non-square modulo $q$, but $R$ is.

Let us start with the bias

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x, 4):=\pi(x ; 4,3)-\pi(x ; 4,1) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

found between the number of primes in the non-quadratic residue class $N=3$ $\bmod 4$ and the number of primes in the quadratic one $R=3 \bmod 4$. The values

[^0]$\delta\left(10^{n}, 4\right), n \leq 1$, form the increasing sequence
$$
A 091295=\{1,2,7,10,25,147,218,446,551,5960, \ldots\}
$$

The bias is found to be negative in thin zones of size

$$
\{2,410,15358,41346,42233786,416889978, \ldots\}
$$

spread over the location of primes of maximum negative bias [5]
$\{26861,623681,12366589,951867937,6345026833,18699356321 \ldots\}$.
It has been proved that there are are infinitely many sign changes in the Chebyshev's bias (1.2). This follows from the Littlewood's oscillation theorem [6, 7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x, 4):=\Omega_{ \pm}\left(\frac{x^{1 / 2}}{\log x} \log _{3} x\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A useful measure of the Chebyshev's bias is the logarithmic density [3, 6, 8,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(A)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{a \in A, a \leq x} \frac{1}{a} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the positive $\Delta^{+}$and negative $\Delta^{-}$regions calculated as $d\left(\Delta^{+}\right)=0.9959$ and $d\left(\Delta^{-}\right)=0.0041$.

In essence, Chebyshev's bias $\delta(x, 4)$ is similar to the bias

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x):=\operatorname{Li}(x)-\pi(x) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $\delta(x)>0$ up to the (very large) Skewes' number $x_{1} \sim 1.40 \times 10^{316}$ but, according to Littlewood's theorem, there also are infinitely many sign changes of $\delta(x)$ [7.

The reason why the asymmetry in (1.5) is so much pronounced is encoded in the following approximation of the bias [3, [9] $]^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x) \sim \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}\left(1+2 \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\sin \left(\gamma \log x+\alpha_{\gamma}\right)}{\sqrt{1 / 4+\gamma^{2}}}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{\gamma}=\cot ^{-1}(2 \gamma)$ and $\gamma$ is the imaginary part of the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$. The smallest value of $\gamma$ is quite large, $\gamma_{1} \sim 14.134$, and leads to a large asymmetry in (1.5).

Under the assumption that the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) holds that is, if the Dirichlet L-function with non trivial real character $\kappa_{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(s, \kappa_{4}\right)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2 n+1)^{s}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

has all its non-trivial zeros located on the vertical axis $\Re(s)=\frac{1}{2}$, then the formula (1.6) also holds for the Chebyshev's bias $\delta(x, 4)$. The smallest non-trivial zero of $L\left(s, \kappa_{4}\right)$ is at $\gamma_{1} \sim 6.02$, a much smaller value than than the one corresponding to $\zeta(s)$, so that the bias is also much smaller.

[^1]A second factor controls the aforementionned assymmetry of a $L$-function of real non-trivial character $\kappa$, it is the variance [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\kappa)=\sum_{\gamma>0} \frac{2}{1 / 4+\gamma^{2}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the function $\zeta(s)$ and $L\left(s, \kappa_{4}\right)$ one gets $V=0.045$ and $\mathrm{V}=0.155$, respectively.
Our main goal. In a groundbreaking paper, Robin reformulated the unconditional bias (1.5) as a conditional one involving the second Chebyshev function $\psi(x)=$ $\sum_{p^{k} \leq x} \log p$
(1.9) The equality $\delta^{\prime}(x):=\operatorname{li}[\psi(x)]-\pi(x)>0$ is equivalent to RH .

This statement is given as Corollary 1.2 in 12 and led the second and third author of the present work to derive a good prime counting function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{3} \mu(n) \operatorname{li}\left[\psi(x)^{1 / n}\right] \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we are interested in a similar method to regularize the Chebyshev's bias in a conditional way similar to (1.9). In [2], Robin introduced the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x ; q, a)=\operatorname{li}[\phi(q) \psi(x ; q, a)]-\phi(q) \pi(x ; q, a) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

that generalizes (1.9) and applies it to the residue class $a \bmod q$, with $\psi(x, q, a)$ the generalized second Chebyshev's function. Under GRH he proved that [2, Lemma 2, p. 265]

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x ; q, a)=\Omega_{ \pm}\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log ^{2} x}\right) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is
The inequality $B(x ; q, a)>0$ is equivalent to GRH.
For the Chebyshev's bias, we now need a proposition taking into account two residue classes such that $a=N$ (a non-quadratic residue) and $a=R$ (a quadratic one).

Proposition 1.1. Let $B(x ; q, a)$ be the Robin $B$-function defined in (1.11), and $R$ (resp. $N$ ) be a quadratic residue modulo $q$ (resp. a non-quadratic residue), then the statement $\delta^{\prime}(x, q):=B(x ; q, R)-B(x ; q, N)>0, \forall x$ (i), is equivalent to GRH for the modulus $q$.

The present paper deals about the numerical justification of proposition 1.1 in Sec. 2 and its proof in Sec. 3. The calculations are performed with the software Magma [13] available on a 96 MB segment of the cluster at the University of Franche-Comté.

## 2. The regularized Chebyshev's bias

All over this section, we are interested in the prime champions of the Chebyshev's bias $\delta(x, q)$ (as defined in (1.2) or (2.3), depending on the context). We separate the prime champions leading to a positive/negative bias. Thus, the $n$-th prime champion satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(\epsilon)}\left(x_{n}, q\right)=\epsilon n, \epsilon= \pm 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. The normalized regularized bias $\delta^{\prime}(x, 4) / \sqrt{x}$ versus the Chebyshev's bias $\delta(x, 4)$ at the prime champions of $\delta(x, 4)$ (when $\delta(x, 4)>0$ ) and at the prime champions of $-\delta(x, 4)$ (when $\delta(x, 4)<0)$. The extremal prime champions in the plot are $x=359327$ (with $\delta=105$ ) and $x=951867937$ (with $\delta=-48$ ). The curve is asymmetric around the vertical axis, a fact that reflects the asymmetry of the Chebyshev's bias. As explained in the text, a violation of GRH would imply a negative value of the regularized bias $\delta^{\prime}(x, 4)$. The small dot curve corresponds to the fit of $\delta^{\prime}(x, 4) / \sqrt{x}$ by $2 / \log x$ calculated in Sec. 3 .

We also introduce a new measure of the overall bias $b(q)$, dedicated to our plots, as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(q)=\sum_{n, \epsilon} \frac{\delta^{(\epsilon)}\left(x_{n}, q\right)}{x_{n}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, smaller is the bias lower is the value of $b(q)$. Anticipating over the results presented below, Table 1 summarize the calculations.

Table 1. The new bias (2.2) (column 2) and the standard logarithmic density (1.4) (column 3).

| modulus $q$ | bias $b(q)$ | $\log$ density $d\left(\Delta^{+}\right)$ | first zero $\gamma_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.7926 | $0.9959[3]$ | 14.134 |
| 11 | 0.1841 | $0.9167[3]$ | 0.2029 |
| 13 | 0.2803 | $0.9443[3]$ | 3.119 |
| 163 | 0.0809 | $0.55[9]$ | 2.477 |

Chebyshev's bias for the modulus $q=4$. As explained in the introduction, our goal in this paper is to reexpress a standard Chebyshev's bias $\delta(x, q)$ into a regularized one $\delta^{\prime}(x, q)$, that is always positive under the condition that GRH holds. Indeed we do not discover any numerical violation of GRH and we always obtains a positive $\delta^{\prime}(x, q)$. The asymmetry of Chebyshev's bias arises in the plot $\delta$ vs $\delta^{\prime}$, where the fall of the normalized bias $\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{x}}$ is faster for negative values of $\delta$ than for positive ones. Fig. 1 clarifies this effect for the historic modulus $q=4$. We restricted our plot to the champions of the bias $\delta$ and separated positive and negative champions.

Chebyshev's bias for a prime modulus $p$. For a prime modulus $p$, we define the bias so as to obtain an averaging over all differences $\pi(x ; p, N)-\pi(x ; p, R)$, where as above $N$ and $R$ denote a non-quadratic and a quadratic residue, respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x, p)=-\sum_{a}\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \pi(x ; p, a) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol. Correspondingly, we define the regularized bias as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime}(x, p)=\frac{1}{\lfloor p / 2\rfloor} \sum_{a}\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) B(x ; p, a) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1. Let $p$ be a selected prime modulus and $\delta^{\prime}(x, p)$ as in (2.4) then the statement $\delta^{\prime}(x, p)>0, \forall x$, is equivalent to GRH for the modulus $p$.

As mentioned in the introduction, the Chebyshev's bias is much influenced by the location of the first non-trivial zero of the function $L\left(s, \kappa_{q}\right), \kappa_{q}$ being the real non-principal character modulo $q$. This is especially true for $L\left(s, \kappa_{163}\right)$ with its smaller non-trivial zero at $\gamma \sim 0.2029$ [9]. The first negative values occur at $\{15073,15077,15083, \ldots\}$.

Fig. 2 represents the Chebyshev's bias $\delta^{\prime}$ for the modulus $q=163$ versus the standard one $\delta$ (thick dots). Tha asymmetry of the Chebyshev's bias is revealed at small values of $|\delta|$ where the the fit of the regularized bias by the curve $2 / \log x$ is not good (thin dots).

For the modulus $q=13$, the imaginary part of the first zero is not especially small, $\gamma_{1} \sim 3.119$, but the variance (1.8) is quite high, $V\left(\kappa_{-13}\right) \sim 0.396$. The first negative values of $\delta(x, 13)$ at primes occur when $\{2083,2089,10531, \ldots\}$. Fig. 3 represents the Chebyshev's bias $\delta^{\prime}$ for the modulus $q=13$ versus the standard one $\delta$ (thick dots) as compared to the fit by $2 / \log x$ (thin dots).

Finally, for the modulus $q=11$, the imaginary part of the first zero is quite small, $\gamma_{1} \sim 0.209$, and the variance is high, $V\left(\kappa_{-11}\right) \sim 0.507$. In such a case, as shown in Fig. 4. the approximation of the regularized bias by $2 / \log x$ is good in the whole range of values of $x$.

## 3. Proof of proposition 1.1

For approaching the proposition 1.1 we reformulate it in a simpler way as
Proposition 3.1. One introduces the regularized couting function $\pi^{\prime}(x ; q, l):=$ $\pi(x ; q, l)-\psi(x ; q, l) / \log x$. The statement $\pi^{\prime}(x ; q, N)>\pi^{\prime}(x ; q, R), \forall x$ (ii), is equivalent to GRH for the modulus $q$.


Figure 2. The normalized regularized bias $\delta^{\prime}(x, 163) / \sqrt{x}$ versus the Chebyshev's bias $\delta(x, 163)$ at all the prime champions of $|\delta(x, 163)|[$ from $|\delta(x, 163)|>74$ the bias is $\delta(x, 163)<0$ negative], superimposed to the curve at the prime champions of $-\delta(x, 163)$ (when $\delta(x, 163)<0)$. The extremal prime champions in the plot are $x=68491$ (with $\delta=74$ ) and $x=174637$ (with $\delta=-86$ ). The asymmetry is still clearly visible in the range of small values of $|\delta|$ but tends to disappear in the range of high values of $|\delta|$. The small dot curve corresponds to the fit of $\delta^{\prime}(x, 163) / \sqrt{x}$ by $2 / \log x$ calculated in Sec. 3.

Proof. First observe that proposition 1.1 follows from proposition 3.1 This is straightforward because according to [2, p. 260], the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions leads to the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{li}[\phi(q) \psi(x ; q, l)] \sim \operatorname{li}(x)+\frac{\phi(q) \psi(x ; q, l)-x}{\log x} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta^{\prime}(x, q)=B(x ; q, R)-B(x ; q, N) \\
=\operatorname{li}[\phi(q) \psi(x ; q, R)]-\operatorname{li}[\phi(q) \psi(x ; q, N)]+\phi(q) \delta(x, q) \\
\sim \phi(q)\left[\pi^{\prime}(x ; q, N)-\pi^{\prime}(x ; q, R)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

The asymtotic equivalence in (3.1) holds up to the error term [2, p. 260] $O\left(\frac{R(x)}{x \log x}\right)$, with

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(x)=\min \left(x^{\theta_{q}} \log ^{2} x, x e^{-a \sqrt{\log x}}\right), a>0 \\
\theta_{q}=\max _{\kappa} \bmod q(\sup \Re(\rho), \rho \text { a zero of } L(s, \kappa))
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us now look at the statement GRH $\Rightarrow(i)$. Following [3, p 178-179], one has

$$
\psi(x ; q, a)=\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\kappa} \overline{\bmod q} \bar{\kappa}(a) \psi(x, \kappa)
$$



Figure 3. The normalized regularized bias $\delta^{\prime}(x, 13) / \sqrt{x}$ versus the Chebyshev's bias $\delta(x, 13)$ at the prime champions of $\delta(x, 13)$ (when $\delta(x, 13)>0$ ), and the curve at the prime champions of $-\delta(x, 13)$ (when $\delta(x, 13)<0)$. The extremal prime champions in the plot are $x=263881$ (with $\delta=123$ ) and $x=905761$ (with $\delta=$ -40). The small dot curve corresponds to the fit of $\delta^{\prime}(x, 13) / \sqrt{x}$ by $2 / \log x$ calculated in Sec. 3 .


Figure 4. The normalized regularized bias $\delta^{\prime}(x, 11) / \sqrt{x}$ versus the Chebyshev's bias $\delta(x, 11)$ at the prime champions of $\delta(x, 11)$ (when $\delta(x, 11)>0$ ), and the curve at the prime champions of $-\delta(x, 11)$ (when $\delta(x, 11)<0)$. The extremal prime champions in the plot are $x=638567$ (with $\delta=158$ ) and $x=1867321$ (with $\delta=-32$ ). The small dot curve corresponds to the (very good) fit of $\delta^{\prime}(x, 11) / \sqrt{x}$ by $2 / \log x$ calculated in Sec. 3 .
and under GRH

$$
\pi(x ; q, a)=\frac{\pi(x)}{\phi(q)}-\frac{c(q, a)}{\phi(q)} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}+\frac{1}{\phi(q) \log x} \sum_{\kappa \neq \kappa_{0}} \bar{\kappa}(a) \psi(x, \kappa)+O\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log ^{2} x}\right),
$$

where $\kappa_{0}$ is the principal character modulo $q$ and

$$
c(q, a)=-1+\#\left\{1 \leq b \leq q: b^{2}=a \quad \bmod q\right\}
$$

for coprimes integers $a$ and $q$. Note that for an odd prime $q=p$, one has $c(p, a)=$ $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$.

Thus, under GRH

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi(x ; q, N)-\pi(x ; q, R)=\frac{1}{\phi(q) \log x}[\sqrt{x}(c(q, R)-c(q, N)) \\
& \quad+\sum_{\kappa \bmod q}(\bar{\kappa}(N)-\bar{\kappa}(R)) \psi(x, \kappa)+O\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log ^{2} x}\right)\right] . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum could be taken over all characters because $\bar{\kappa}_{0}(N)=\bar{\kappa}_{0}(R)$. In addition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x ; q, N)-\psi(x ; q, R)=\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\kappa}^{\bmod q}[\bar{\kappa}(N)-\bar{\kappa}(R)] \psi(x, \kappa) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.2) and (3.3) the regularized bias reads

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta^{\prime}(x, q) \sim \pi^{\prime}(x ; q, N)-\pi^{\prime}(x ; q, R) \\
=\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}[c(q, R)-c(q, N)]+O\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log ^{2} x}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the modulus $q=4$, we have $c(q, 1)=-1+2=1$ and $c(q, 3)=-1$ so that $\delta^{\prime}(x, 4)=\frac{2 \sqrt{x}}{\log x}$ The same result is obtained for a prime modulus $q=p$ since $c(p, N)=-1$ and $c(p, R)=c(p, 1)=\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)=1$.

This finalizes the proof that under GRH, one has the inequality $\pi^{\prime}(x ; q, N)>$ $\pi^{\prime}(x ; q, R)$.

If GRH does not hold, then using [2, lemma 2], one has

$$
B(x ; q, a)=\Omega_{ \pm}\left(x^{\xi}\right) \text { for any } \xi<\theta_{q}
$$

Applying this assymptotic result to the residue classes $a=R$ and $a=N$, there exist infinitely many values $x=x_{1}$ and $x=x_{2}$ satisfying

$$
B\left(x_{1} ; q, R\right)<-x_{1}^{\xi} \text { and } B\left(x_{2} ; q, N\right)>x_{2}^{\xi} \text { for any } \xi<\theta_{q}
$$

so that one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x 1 ; q, R)-B\left(x_{2} ; q, N\right)<-x_{1}^{\xi}-x_{2}^{\xi}<0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Selecting a pair $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ either

$$
B\left(x_{1} ; q, R\right)>B\left(x_{2} ; q, R\right)
$$

so that $B\left(x_{2} ; q, R\right)-B\left(x_{2} ; q, N\right)<0$ and (i) is violated at $x_{2}$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(x_{1} ; q, R\right)<B\left(x_{2} ; q, R\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the last case, either $B\left(x_{1} ; q, N\right)>B\left(x_{2} ; q, N\right)$, so that $B\left(x_{1} ; q, R\right)-B\left(x_{1} ; q, N\right)<$ 0 and the inequality (i) is violated at $x_{1}$, or simultaneously

$$
B\left(x_{1} ; q, N\right)<B\left(x_{2} ; q, N\right) \text { and } B\left(x_{1} ; q, R\right)<B\left(x_{2} ; q, R\right)
$$

which implies (3.5) and the violation of (i) at $x=x_{1}=x_{2}$.

To finalize the proof of 3.1 and simultaneously that of 1.1 one makes use of the asymptotic equivalence of (i) and (ii), that is if GRH is true $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), and if GRH is wrong, (i) may be violated and (ii) as well.

Then, proposition 2.1 also follows as a straigthforward consequence of proposition 1.1.
n

## 4. Summary

We have found that the asymmetry in the prime counting function $\pi(x ; q, a)$ between the quadratic residues $a=R$ and the non-quadradic residues $a=N$ for the modulus $q$ can be encoded in the function $B(x ; q, a)$ [defined in (1.11)] introduced by Robin the context of GRH [2], or into the regularized prime counting function $\pi^{\prime}(x ; q, a)$, as in Proposition 3.1. The bias in $\pi^{\prime}$ reflects the bias in $\pi$ conditionaly under GRH for the modulus $q$. Our conjecture has been initiated by detailed computer calculations presented in Sec. 2 and proved in Sec. 3, Further work could follow the work about the connection of $\pi$, and thus of $\pi^{\prime}$, to the sum of squares function $r_{2}(n)$ 10.
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