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Abstract—This paper is dealing with magnetic and thermal analysis coupling of wound-rotor synchronous machine (WRSM) based on the equivalent circuit methods. The main objective of this work is to introduce the principles of both magnetic equivalent circuit (MEC) and thermal equivalent circuit (TEC) models, as well as the importance of their coupling. The results of nonlinear MEC are compared to two-dimensional (2-D) finite-element analysis (FEA) results obtained by the magnetic field analysis software JMAG. While the results of TEC coupled with MEC are compared with the experimental thermal test results.

Index Terms—Coupling, equivalent circuit, experimental, magnetic, numerical, thermal.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Context of this Paper

NOWADAYS, the electric propulsion system enters intensely in the aerospace world. The machine technologies are compared in the context of their performances, dimensions and ability to satisfy the specific safety requirements [1]. Application of electrical propulsion in helicopters particularly imposes high performance requirements as well as dimension and thermal constraints. Thus, the magneto-thermal analysis becomes very important for electrical machine design process as its magnetic and thermal behaviour depends on one another.

The importance of magnetic and thermal analysis coupling was discussed by many authors. This type of coupling using FEA is one of the most conventional and precise solutions [2]-[5]. Coupling of magnetic FEA and computational fluid dynamics analysis [6]-[7] is another widely used option, permitting to predict fluid behavior inside and outside the machine, which is quite difficult, as it depends on machine geometry, means of cooling, machine rotational speed, etc. However, all these high-performance numerical analyses could lead to an extremely high, sometimes unreasonable time cost. In order to reduce the computational time, the results of magnetic FEA are often coupled to analytical TEC analysis [8]-[11]. Moreover, several commercial softwares propose coupling of magnetic FEA and automatic TEC (e.g., MotorCAD) [12]-[13]. Nevertheless, FEA still remains a highly time consuming numerical simulation.

In case, if a fast but an accurate prediction of electrical machine’s magneto-thermal behavior is needed, a MEC and TEC coupling could be a better choice. Some recent studies propose such coupling for surface-mounted permanent-magnet (PM) machines without giving any details [14]. In [15], the authors performed a MEC and TEC coupling for WRSM. They assumed that the flux densities inside the machine are sinusoidal and calculated the iron losses using Bertotti’s formula [16], whereas electric machines can have very different flux density waveforms. It is interesting to notice that an overview of existing models of iron loss identification was done in [17], where authors propose a new method of iron loss prediction by using a precise hysteresis model based on the magnetic flux density waveforms resulting from a MEC.

To the authors’ knowledge, and basing on this brief state-of-art on coupling analysis, there is a lack of magneto-thermal semi-analytical analysis (based on equivalent circuits) in electrical machines for purpose of a design optimization process with experimental validation.

B. Objectives of this Paper

In this paper, a precise determination of flux densities distribution inside the machine using MEC serve to calculate the iron losses basing on fast Fourier transform (FFT) and iron loss property curves of electromagnetic steel sheets given by manufacturer. The calculated loss permit to complete TEC with the heat sources values. TEC takes into account variation of material properties with the temperature inside the machine, such as copper resistivity, cooling fluid and bearings grease thermal properties, etc. Therefore, the main objective of this paper is the investigation of the coupling of MEC and TEC models on an example of WRSM.

Section II describes the main principles and general assumptions of both MEC and TEC. Particularly, 2-D auto-adjustable mesh principle for MEC and three-dimensional (3-D) TEC taking into account forced cooling of an open machine with axial cooling channels inside stator yoke. Moreover, the magnetic loss identification using MEC results and the principle of MEC and TEC coupling have been discussed in this section. Section III
presents the MEC results are compared to those of FEA, and the TEC results coupled with MEC are compared to the experimental test results carried out on a particular WRSM.

The results comparison obtained by the coupled models with FEA and experimental tests has confirmed the validity of the coupling approach. The computation time obtained with this coupling approach has been compared to FEA.

II. SEMI-ANALYTICAL MODELS

A. Magnetic Equivalent Circuit

The MEC approach was first introduced in 1940’s by Roters [18], which has defined the basic principles of MEC in electromagnetic devices. Since many researchers have improved this method [19]-[21], viz., saturation effect, PM magnetization direction, MEC discretization, ..., rotor motion. MEC is based on the magnetic flux tubes method, where the flux paths are approximated to a set of connected tubes. The magnetic reluctance of a flux tube depends on its geometry details and relative permeability of its material. The magnetomotive forces (MMFs) created inside the machine are dependent on the current waveform (e.g., sinusoidal, six-step rectangular, pulse-width modulation currents, etc) and of the coils spatial distribution.

1) Assumptions

The main assumptions used in nonlinear adaptive MEC are:
- semi-analytical model is supposed 2-D;
- end-effects are neglected;
- eddy-current reaction field in all materials (e.g., the copper, and the iron) is neglected;
- magnetic materials are considered as isotropic;
- mechanical stress on the B(H) curve is ignored;
- hysteresis effects are ignored;
- current waveform is supposed sinusoidal.

2) Main Principle of 2-D Nonlinear Adaptive MEC

Generalized nonlinear adaptive MEC, applied in this study, was presented in [22]. This semi-analytical model includes the automatic mesh of static/moving zones, the saturation effect, and zones connection in accordance with rotor motion, which is based on a new approach called “Air-gap sliding-line technic”, which was applied in [23]-[26] on different electrical machine configurations (i.e., axial- and radial-flux interior PM machine and coaxial magnetic gear equipped with surface-mounted PMs). This new technic of connection between static/moving zones is applied for the first time on a WRSM in this paper. Nevertheless, it should be noted that there are other techniques permitting to connect static/moving zones in electrical machines, which have been overviewed in [22].

Fig. 1 represents a generalized 2-D MEC discretization example for a WRSM in (r, Θ) coordinate system. The machine is divided into two zones: static and moving. The zone discretizations are independent. The connection between zones is insured by air-gap tangential reluctances that vary with motion. Tangential and radial discretizations are also independent and could be adjusted automatically in function of demanded precision [22].

![Fig. 1. Example of a 2-D nonlinear MEC for WRSM in (r, Θ) coordinate system.](image)

The analytical expressions of radial and tangential reluctances were given by [25]:

\[
\begin{align*}
R^r_i(B^r_i) &= \frac{1}{\mu_0 \cdot \mu_r(B^r_i) \cdot L} \cdot \frac{1}{\partial i} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{R^r_{out}}{R^r_{in}} \right) \\
R^\theta_i(B^\theta_i) &= \frac{1}{\mu_0 \cdot \mu_r(B^\theta_i) \cdot L} \cdot \theta_i \cdot \ln \left( \frac{R^\theta_{out}}{R^\theta_{in}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\mu_0\) is the vacuum permeability; \(L\) is axial length of the machine; \(B^r_i\) and \(B^\theta_i\) are respectively radial and tangential components of magnetic flux density; \(\theta_i\) is opening angle of reluctance \(i\); \(R^r_{in}\) and \(R^r_{out}\) are respectively inner and outer radius of the reluctance \(i\). \(\mu_r(\bullet)\) is the relative permeability defined by:

\[
\mu_r(\bullet) = \begin{cases} B(H) & \text{curve in the iron parts} \\ 1 & \text{in the vacuum} \end{cases}
\]

The iron relative permeability are obtained by interpolating flux density values using \(B(H)\) curve provided by iron manufacturer.

As in case of a WRSM there are no other MMF sources than those generated by currents circulating in stator and rotor windings. The MMFs could be expressed by:

\[
MMF^i = N^i \cdot i^i(t)
\]

where \(N^i\) is the number of coil turns, and \(i^i(t)\) supply current (viz., alternative and continuous for stator and rotor respectively).

It should be noted that the flowchart of the nonlinear adaptive MEC is illustrated in Fig. 6.

3) Magnetic Loss Estimation

Once, the waveforms of the magnetic flux density in each reluctance are obtained by 2-D nonlinear MEC, an iron loss estimation of each flux tube could be carried out. The principle
of iron loss calculation applied in this study is based on the specific iron loss $P_{\text{spec}}$ curves of the materials given by manufacturer. An example of such curves is given in Fig. 2. The characteristic losses are obtained by applying a sinusoidal magnetic flux with specific values of peak flux density and frequency. As it was already mentioned, the magnetic flux density inside the machine is rarely sinusoidal. So the main idea is to decompose non-sinusoidal magnetic flux density $B_{\text{MEC}}$ obtained by MEC into a set of its sinusoidal components $B_i$ corresponding to different frequencies $f_i$ using FFT:

$$B_{\text{MEC}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} B_i \cdot e^{-j \omega_i t} \quad \text{for each reluctance}, \quad (5)$$

where $k$ is number of angular frequencies $\omega_i = 2\pi \cdot f_i$ taken into account, and $t$ is time coordinate. Fig. 3(b) represents an example of such FFT decomposition of non-sinusoidal magnetic flux density in the center of the stator tooth for WRSM shown in Fig. 3(a).

Then obtained magnetic flux density and frequency values are used to interpolate/extrapolate the total loss values from the specific iron loss curves:

$$P_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} P_{\text{spec}}(B_i, f_i) \quad \text{for each reluctance.} \quad (6)$$

B. Thermal Equivalent Circuit

The used TEC approach is an analysis of transient heat conduction/convection and mass transfer. The radiation is considered as negligible. It’s applicable if the temperature variation within the medium can be neglected and the temperature is considered to be a function of time only [27]. An electrical machine represents a strong thermal heterogeneity, because of different materials constituting it. However, its geometry is regular, and having many symmetries, often it could be reduced to a set of geometries of simple shapes, permitting to apply TEC. Moreover, a relatively low discretization comparing to MEC could be sufficient for a quite precise thermal analysis [28]. Passing from 2-D to 3-D analysis disables the auto-adjustment of the model discretization. However, in the case of TEC, this fact is not critical. A more detailed description of TEC architecture could be found in [29].

1) Assumptions

The main assumptions used in transient TEC are:
- semi-analytical model is supposed 3-D;
- simplified geometry definition and dividing of the machine into a set of elementary blocks;
- uniform temperature distribution within each node;
- homogeneous heat distribution in the entire volume of each node;
- uniform material characteristics for each node;
- Neumann’s boundary condition allowing the assumption of surface temperatures uniformity.

2) Main Principle of 3-D Transient TEC

First of all, different heat transfer modes between the regions of the machine have to be identified. The regions are defined by the nature of their materials and the thermal exchange mode between them. In 3-D transient TEC each region could exchange energy with six neighboring regions by the means of three heat transfer modes (i.e., conduction, convection, and radiation heat transfer) or by the mass transfer (i.e., advection) [30]. The dominant processes in heat transfer within the motor to the environment are conduction and convection, whereas radiation is important only on the outer surfaces [31]. Fig. 4 represents an example of TEC discretization in $(r, \theta)$ [see Fig. 4(a)] and $(r, z)$ [see Fig. 4(b)] coordinate system for a WRSM. Presented machine is equipped by an external fan, which permits to ensure a forced cooling of the machine through the air-gap and the axial cooling channels inside stator yoke. Such channels are widely used for an improvement of electrical machine’s cooling system [32]-[34].
Conduction Heat Transfer: It presumes a heat transfer by molecular interaction, when molecules at higher energy level release energy for adjacent molecules at a lower energy level [35]. Conduction is a heat transfer mode between two solids. Fourier’s law gives the heat flow transferred by conduction:

\[ q^{ij}_{cond} = -\lambda^i \cdot S^{ij} \cdot \nabla T \]  

(7)

where \( q^{ij}_{cond} \) is the heat flow from solid \( i \) to solid \( j \), \( \lambda^i \) the thermal conductivity of solid \( i \), \( S^{ij} \) the heat transfer surface between solids \( i \) and \( j \), and \( \nabla T \) the temperature gradient.

It has to be mentioned that some regions of electrical machines (i.e., laminated core and windings) are thermally anisotropic. The presence of insulators and bonding layers reduces considerably the thermal conductivity of such regions in different directions. For the end-windings region, it is possible to provide a heat conduction path from the inner copper turns to the lamination stack by varnishing it [36]. The equivalent thermal conductivities for winding region could be determined by the approaches proposed in [37]-[38], and for laminated core by [39]-[40].

As thermal resistance depends on a ratio of temperature gradient of a particular region over the heat rate caused this gradient, the thermal resistance of conduction could be found by:

\[ R^{\text{cond}}_{ij} = \frac{VT}{q^{ij}_{cond}} = \frac{1}{\lambda^i \cdot S^{ij}} \]  

(8)

Convection Heat Transfer: It is defined as the heat transfer between a region of higher temperature (here, a solid surface) and a region of cooler temperature (a coolant) resulting from the motion of the cooling fluid over the solid surface. This motion is particularly difficult to predict and to take into account. In order to facilitate this task, there are many empirical correlations proposed in literature permitting to estimate heat transfer coefficient values in different regions of the machine.

Thus, the influence of the form of the cooling channels have been studied by many authors [41]-[42]. One of such works has been done by [31]. The author proposes to identify the heat transfer coefficient takes into account the geometry of the channels and the parameters of the cooling fluid:

\[ h_{\text{chan}} = 10^4 \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{air}} \cdot V_{\text{chan}} \cdot D_h}{\lambda_{\text{chan}}} \right) \left( 1 - e^{-E} \right) \]  

(9a)

\[ E = 0.15 \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{air}}}{\rho_{\text{air}}} \right)^{0.946} \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{chan}}}{\lambda_{\text{chan}}} \right)^{0.214} \]  

(9b)

where \( V_{\text{chan}}, L_{\text{chan}} \) and \( D_h \) are respectively the velocity of the cooling flow inside the channels, the axial length of one channel, and the hydraulic diameter.

The fluid flow in the air-gap is quite difficult to model analytically. There are a lot of research works that deal with this problem [31] and [43]-[44]. It becomes more complicated in case of an open machine with forced cooling [45]. For our study, the empirical approach proposed by [42] has been chosen. The author studies experimentally and numerically the stator/rotor slotting effect on the convection inside the air-gap with(out) forced cooling through it:

\[ h_{\text{air-gap}} = Nu \cdot \lambda^{\text{air}} / \delta \]  

(10)

where \( \delta \) is air-gap length of the machine, and \( Nu \) is Nusselt number dependent on effective Reynold number \( Re_{eff} \) could be identified by:

\[ Nu = 0.021 \cdot Re_{eff}^{0.8} \]  

(11a)

\[ Re_{eff} = 2 \cdot \frac{\rho_{\text{air}} \cdot V_{eff} \cdot \delta / \mu^{\text{air}}}{\lambda_{\text{air}}} \]  

(11b)

\[ V_{eff} = \sqrt{V_\delta^2 + \alpha \cdot (\omega \cdot D_{r0} / 2)^2} \]  

(11c)

where \( V_\delta \) is axial velocity of cooling flow entering the air-gap.
\( \omega \) is angular speed of the rotor having outer diameter \( D_r^{\max} \), and \( \alpha \) is a coefficient permitting to take into account the rotation effect on the heat transfer (with \( \alpha = 0.25 \) for the stator surface and \( \alpha = 0.5 \) for the rotor surface).

The heat transfer coefficient of the stator end-winding region identification is very delicate, because its geometry is irregular and the heating of the zone is non-uniform. There are a lot of studies proposing empirical correlations based on CFD analysis of different closed machine topologies [33], [40], [44], [46]-[48]. In case of an open machine with forced axial cooling, the cooling flux is predominant around the front side end-windings [49]. That is why the transverse surfaces of this region could be represented by an impinging jet on a static surface. In that case the heat transfer coefficient could be defined by [50]:

\[
Nu = 0.698 \cdot Re^{0.573} \cdot (H/D)^{-0.116}
\]

where \( D \) is the diameter of the surface impacted by the jet positioned at a distance \( H \) from its source.

The surfaces of rear side stator end-winding region are not exposed directly to the cooling flow, but to the sudden flow expansion [see Fig. 5]. The heat transfer coefficient for this region could be found by estimation of an equivalent speed from the kinetic energy loss due to the expansion [33] and [51]:

\[
P_{kin} = R \cdot Q^2
\]

\[
R = 0.5 \cdot k \cdot \rho \cdot \frac{a_{air}}{S_1}
\]

\[
k = (1 - S_1/S_2)^2
\]

where \( R \) is the flow resistance; \( Q \) is the mass flow rate; \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are respectively the cross sections of the conduit before and after expansion as shown in Fig. 5.

The rotor end-winding region is also very difficult to model precisely. However, due to the high speed of rotation and axial cooling air-flow, this region could be represented by an impinging jet to a rotating disk. Thus, the heat transfer coefficient of the front side rotor end-winding zone defined by \( Nu \) could be determined by [52]:

\[
Nu = Re_0^{0.5} \cdot \begin{cases} 
0.33 + 1.57 \cdot \xi & \text{for } \xi < 1 \\
1.81 \cdot \xi^{0.97} & \text{for } \xi < 1 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\xi = Re_a \cdot \frac{V_a}{(\omega \cdot z)^{3/4}}
\]

\[
Re_0 = 0.5 \cdot \rho \cdot a_{air} \cdot \omega \cdot D_r^{\max} / \mu \cdot a_{air}
\]

where \( Re_0 \) is tangential Reynolds number, and \( \xi \) is an empirical coefficient with \( Re_a \) axial Reynolds number, \( V_a \) axial cooling flow velocity, \( \omega \) rotor angular velocity, and \( z \) distance between the source of cooling flow and rotor surface.

The heat transfer coefficient of the rear side rotor end-winding, which is not directly exposed to the axial cooling flow, could be found by [44]:

\[
Nu = 0.5 \cdot Pr \cdot Re_0 \cdot C_m / \pi
\]

\[
C_m = \begin{cases} 
3.87/Re_0^{0.5} & \text{for } Re_0 < 3 \cdot 10^2 \\
0.146/Re_0^{0.2} & \text{for } Re_0 > 3 \cdot 10^2
\end{cases}
\]

Once the main heat transfer coefficients of the machine are defined, thermal resistance of convection could be found by:

\[
R_{conv}^{\text{shell}} = \frac{1}{h_l \cdot S^l}
\]

where \( h_l \) is the convention coefficient on the solid surface \( S^l \).

**Cooling Flow-Network Analysis:** As the main part of the heat generated inside the radial-flux electrical machine evacuates radially, externally forced axial cooling flow permits to remove a significant part of this heat. Created fluid flow rate could be represented by a transport of mass which direction introduces non-symmetric matrix of thermal resistances by advection [53]:

\[
R_{adv}^{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{Q_{ij} \cdot \rho_l \cdot c_p^l} & \text{if } Q_{ij} > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( Q_{ij} \) is fluid flow rate from region \( i \) to region \( j \), \( \rho_l \) and \( c_p^l \) are respectively the fluid density and the specific heat capacity.

**C. Model Coupling: MEC and TEC**

Once the thermal resistances matrix and boundary conditions of the machine are defined, TEC has to be completed by the heat flux values generated inside the machine. It has to be reminded that there are three main families of electrical machine losses, viz.,

- DC resistive losses in the stator and rotor winding;
- magnetic losses in ferromagnetic material;
- friction losses (e.g., mechanical and windage).

It has to be mentioned that AC resistive losses of stator and rotor windings are not taken into account in this study. However, it is still interesting to note that [55] proposes analytical solutions permitting to calculate the skin and proximity effect losses in round conductors. A detailed loss identification, except magnetic losses, is not discussed in this paper. The DC resistive losses in the stator and rotor windings vary with temperature, as the electrical resistances of windings depend on their temperature via the electrical conductivity. The characteristics of fluid around the machine parts and those of grease inside the bearings are temperature dependent, making the friction losses temperature dependent as well. In case of a WRSM, which is the case of our study, magnetic losses remain independent of thermal state of the machine parts. So the magnetic losses could be directly introduced in TEC as it is shown in Fig. 6. Nevertheless, it should be noted that in case of a PM machine, magnetic losses obtained by 2-D nonlinear adaptive MEC could vary with temperature due to the electromagnetic properties of PMs. In that case there has to be a loop between losses calculation and 3-D transient TEC.
For the validation of the developed models and their coupling an open WRSM with forced cooling has been chosen. This WRSM is integrated on board of a helicopter (i.e., an open WRSM with forced cooling has been chosen. This WRSM is integrated on board of a helicopter (i.e., 10 kW @ 12,000 rpm) and has to provide relatively high-power, and as a consequence a high electrical load (i.e., the stator current density ≥ 50 A/mm²), during a short functioning time. The main characteristics of this machine are shown in Table I. Due to confidentiality reasons geometrical details as well as experimental test bench could be introduced in this paper.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### A. Tested machine description

For the validation of the developed models and their coupling an open WRSM with forced cooling has been chosen. This WRSM is integrated on board of a helicopter (i.e., 10 kW @ 12,000 rpm) and has to provide relatively high-power, and as a consequence a high electrical load (i.e., the stator current density ≥ 50 A/mm²), during a short functioning time. The main characteristics of this machine are shown in Table I. Due to confidentiality reasons geometrical details as well as experimental test bench could be introduced in this paper.

#### B. Comparison between the MEC and FEA Results

1) Introduction

As 3-D transient TEC depends on 2-D nonlinear adaptive MEC, the results of magnetic analysis have to be validated first. The thermal tests that have been carried out, did not include the measurement of the magnetic flux inside the machine. The main goal of the experimental tests was to ensure that no overheating of the hottest point of the machine (stator winding) occurs. That is why the no-load tests, permitting experimental identification of losses, was not carried out. The tested machine was instrumented by 4 thermistors placed in the front/rear side of the end-windings, inside a stator slot and near the cooling airflow outlet. An insufficient number of thermal sensors did not permit to carry out the loss separation method based on inverse technique either. Thus, the results of 2-D nonlinear adaptive MEC (viz., magnetic flux density waveforms and magnetic losses) are compared to 2-D FEA results obtained by the magnetic field analysis software JMAG with the same assumptions as equivalent circuit approach for the operating point corresponding to 5 kW @ 6,000 rpm.

2) Results Discussion

**Magnetic Flux Density Distribution:** It has been calculated in different parts of the tested WRSM. The waveforms of the magnetic flux density in the stator/rotor yoke and teeth are represented in Figs. 7–10. These flux densities are compared with 2-D FEA at the operating temperature, equal to 125 °C. It is interesting to note that the magnetic flux density distributions are non-sinusoidal (e.g., see Fig. 7). A good correlation of the results confirms the reliability of the proposed method for magnetic flux density calculation. The precision of the magnetic analysis is very important for an accurate magnetic loss analysis, as it influences directly the thermal behavior of the electrical machine.

**Magnetic Loss Distribution:** Once the magnetic flux density waveforms were identified for all the active parts of the WRSM, the magnetic loss model described earlier was compared to results of 2-D FEA [see Fig. 11]. The machine ferromagnetic parts were subdivided into six zones: yoke, teeth and tooth-tips for the stator/rotor. The mean values of iron losses for each zone were identified. Regardless of the modeling technique, the rotor iron losses are much less that those of stator. That could be explained by the fact that the rotor frequency is slip times the supply frequency. In case of a synchronous machine, this frequency tends toward zero. Exposed directly to the rotating magnetic flux, the tooth-tips carry the maximum of heat generation. A quite important error of MEC for rotor has almost no influence on thermal behavior of the machine as the nominal value of rotor iron loss is almost negligible comparing to stator. Most of the time, 2-D nonlinear adaptive MEC overestimates the heat generation values for both stator and rotor. The difference between the values obtained numerically and analytically could be explained by the fact that the mesh of 2-D FEA is finer than this of 2-D nonlinear adaptive MEC and the interpolation/extrapolation method used in MEC differs from the method used in JMAG which is based on Bertotti’s formula with estimated coefficients.

Presented results correspond to a MEC with a tangential discretization equal to 3 for both stator rotor, and radial discretization equal to 3 and 7 for stator and rotor respectively. This means that one stator tooth, for example, is represented by 9 nodes. In order to reduce the difference between numerical and analytical results, the discretization of 2-D nonlinear adaptive MEC has to be increased. The influence of spatio-temporal discretization quality on the iron loss calculation accuracy has been investigated by a sensibility study. The scenarios of discretization modification are regrouped in Table II. The results of this study represented in Fig. 12 show that a finer discretization considerably improves the accuracy of the iron loss identification. At the same time the increasing of the discretization expands drastically the time of calculation, especially in case of a machine with a small geometrical periodicity.
Table II
MEC Sensibility Study Scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Stator discretization</th>
<th>Rotor discretization</th>
<th>Rotation step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\phi$-axis</td>
<td>$r$-axis</td>
<td>$\phi$-axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISC.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISC.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISC.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISC.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISC.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISC.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Comparison of Model Coupling with Experimental Test

Table III shows the heat transfer coefficients of the most important parts of the WRSM obtained by the empirical correlations issued from [44]-[54]. Figs. 13–17 represent the results of TEC coupled with MEC that are in a good agreement.
with the experimental test results. The hottest point of an open WRSM with forced cooling is its stator end-winding rear side, because of relatively high current and relatively poor cooling of the fan opposite zone comparing to the zones exposed directly to the cooling flow. A slight difference between measured and calculated steady state temperatures that does not exceed 5% of the maximal measured value and a minor difference of the time constants could be explained by:

- the uncertainty of heat sources identification;
- the uncertainty of heat transfer coefficients estimation;
- unknown thermal properties of some components of the machine, especially those of thermally anisotropic components (i.e., steel sheets and winding);
- imperfect contact between solids;
- local measurements of thermally non-uniform zones of the machine;
- the uncertainty of thermal sensors.

However, the tendency of temperature over-estimation inside the WRSM is mainly leaded by an over-estimation of the heat sources. Particularly, an over-estimation of the hottest part of the machine (rear-side end-winding) could be observed. This tendency is positive in point of view of the security requirements of the machine and its environment, which is very important in the aerospace industry.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

This paper is dealing with coupling of magnetic and thermal analysis of WRSM based on the equivalent circuit methods. The main principles of MEC and TEC, as well as the importance of their coupling have been introduced. A new approach called “Air-gap sliding-line technic” permitting to connect stator and rotor has been implemented for the first time on a WRSM. The results of this new 2-D nonlinear adaptive MEC are compared to 2-D FEA results. A sensibility study of proposed MEC analysis has shown that the results of MEC could be improved by increasing of the model discretization. However, it should be underlined that the design optimization process leads to a significant time cost. That’s why there has to be a compromise made between analysis accuracy and time of calculation. The results of 3-D transient TEC coupled with MEC are compared to the experimental thermal test results, which permitted to validate the principle of advection introduced in proposed analytical thermal analysis. Calculated temperatures of different parts of the machine at steady state are compared to those obtained experimentally. The mean error is less than 3%.
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