Fluid-Structure Port-Hamiltonian Model for Incompressible Flows in Tubes with Time Varying Geometries[☆]

Luis A. Mora^{a,b,*}, Yann Le Gorrec^a, Hector Ramirez^b, Juan Yuz^b

^a FEMTO-ST Institute, AS2M department ,Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Univ. de Franche-Comté/ENSMM, 24 rue Savary,F-25000 Besanon, France

^bAdvanced Center for Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Av. España 1680, Valparaiso, Chile

Abstract

A simple and scalable finite-dimensional model based on the port-Hamiltonian framework is proposed to describe the fluid-structure interaction in tubes with time-varying geometries. For this purpose, the moving tube wall is described by a set of mass-spring-damper systems while the fluid is considered as a one-dimensional incompressible flow described by the average momentum dynamics in a set of incompressible flow sections. To couple these flow sections small compressible volumes are defined to describe the pressure between two adjacent fluid sections. The fluid-structure coupling is done through a power preserving interconnection between velocities and forces. The resultant model includes external inputs for the fluid and inputs for external forces over the mechanical part that can be used for control or interconnection purposes. Numerical examples show the accordance of this simplified model with finite-element models reported in the literature.

Keywords: port-Hamiltonian Systems, Fluid-Structure Interactions, Incompressible Fluids, Scalable finite-dimensional model

1. Introduction

Fluid-structure interaction (FSI) stems from the reciprocal action between an elastic structure and a fluid flow through a contact surface [1]. In this work, we consider an incompressible flow in tubes with time varying geometries, as shown in Figure 1. This FSI problem play an important role in several applications, such as the study of the blood flow in vessels [2, 3, 4] and the human phono-respiratory system [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], among others.

From a numerical point of view, FSI problems have some drawback, such as; they require an appropriate grid for the mechanical and fluid domains, a clear delineation of fluid-structure interface [10], an appropriate estimation of the nonlinear dynamics, and the numerical stability of the discretization methods. In the literature, different approaches have been proposed to solve these drawbacks. For example, in [11] a generic form of fluid governing equations is used in an Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) frame and a the nonlinear generalized- α time integration scheme is used to estimate the flow dynamics. In [12] the flow is described through a linear incompressible inviscid model in an ALE formulation and the mechanical movement is given by a generalized string model, using a Leap Frog-Implicit Euler time discretization scheme. Similarly, in [4] an axisymmetric Navier-Stokes Prandtl (RNS-P) system is used and the fluid-structure dynamics are obtained using a finite volume "multiring" algorithm. With respect to the numerical stability, it is common to use an energy-based analysis, i.e., the conservation of the energy balance by the discretization algorithms, as shown in [3, 13].

Other problem related with incompressible fluids is the causality of the pressure in the numerically discretized momentum equation. In this sense, pressure-pressure coupling algorithms are commonly used, such as for example, backward approximation pressure correction schemes [14] and pseudo-compressible algorithms, such as the Pretrov-Galerkin method [15].

 $[\]stackrel{\text{tr}}{=}$ This is an example for title footnote coding.

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: luis.moraa@sansano.usm.cl (Luis A. Mora)



Figure 1: Incompressible flow in a tube with time varying geometry. Solid line represents the tube walls and the arrows represents the magnitude and sense of wall velocity at corresponding points. Dashed lines are the flow stream lines.

In this work, we simplify the mechanical dynamics using a set of mass-spring-damper systems to describe the wall movement. Furthermore, we consider the fluid as a one-dimensional incompressible flow described by the average momentum dynamics in a set of incompressible flow sections. To solve the causality problem on the pressure we use and expand the approach proposed in [16], defining small compressible volumes, called nodes, where small variations in the density are used to describe the pressure between two adjacent incompressible fluid sections, allowing consistent coupling between two incompressible fluid sections.

The aim of this work is to propose a simple, scalable and energy-consistent model for the continuous dynamic behavior of flows in tubes with time varying geometries. To this purpose we use the port-Hamiltonian systems (PHS) framework that is particularly suited for the modeling of continuous nonlinear systems. PHS framework has been used to describe compressible flows [17, 18, 19], magnetohydrodynamics [20] and to study the FSI of liquid sloshing in moving containers [21]. In the PHS framework the dynamics are associated with a non-negative potential function that represents the systems total energy and an inner product as well as input and output signals representing the power supplied to the system. As a consequence, two PHS for different physical domains can be interconnected through their ports, whose product represents the power exchange between both systems. Therefore the port-Hamiltonian formulation is a useful tool to describe multi-physical problems. Another advantage of PHS is that they guarantee properties for control, such as passivity and stability [22, 23]. Additionally, PHS generates a Dirac structure [23], allowing the use of mathematical tools, like Lie algebra [24], to analyze the system properties.

In this work, we use the port-Hamiltonian framework to derive a scalable finite-dimensional model of an incompressible flow in a tube with time varying geometries. Incompressible sections and nodes in the fluid are used as kinetic and potential storage elements, respectively, to obtain a PHS formulation that is coupled with the mechanical model of the structure using a power-preserving interconnection.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follow: In Section 2 we give a description of the system and the assumptions considered for the model. In Sections 3 and 4 we develop the mechanical and fluid models, respectively. In Section 5 we describe the interconnection between the two systems to get the overall model. Finally, in Section 6 numerical examples are given, and Section 7 presents the conclusions. Additionally, a notation table is included in the Appendix.

2. System description

In this work we consider an incompressible fluid in a tube of which the wall is moving. This movement is influenced by the force exerted by the fluid flow and some possible external forces. At the same time, the flow dynamics are affected by boundary conditions, that changes according to the wall movement. We consider a symmetrical behavior of the fluid and wall dynamics, hence, the fluid description is reduced to a 2D incompressible flow. We use a modular modeling approach to describe the wall and flow dynamics in a number of tube sections used as lumped elements that are coupled.

In this end, we use a set of interconnected mass-spring-damper (MSD) systems to characterize the moving wall, as shown in Figure 2. In this figure, each dotted box is a MSD system that describes the motion of one tube section.

In this work the fluid dynamics are described by the well-know continuity and motion equations [25], in their incompressible form and neglecting the gravitational effects, leading to:

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\rho \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla p = \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} \tag{2}$$

MSD characterization of moving wall



Figure 2: Mechanical description of wall movement. Each box dotted represent one MSD system.

where ρ , **v**, p and μ are the density, velocity field, pressure and viscosity of the fluid flow, respectively, $\nabla \cdot ()$, ∇ and ∇^2 describes the divergence, gradient and Laplacian operators, respectively, and $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$.

Notice that (1) is, from a mathematical point of view, the approximation of the more general mass balance $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$, when we assume that the variations of the fluid density are negligible, i.e., $\Delta \rho \ll \rho$. We use the Mach number (*M*) to consider when this incompressibility assumption is adequate. In this work we study flows with $M \leq 0.3$ [26]. In different studies on incompressible flows it is common to use pseudo-compressible algorithms, such as the Pretrov-Galerkin method, to define appropriate pressure-pressure couplings [15] in the space discretization of (2). Similarly, in this work we relax the incompressible hypothesis to describe the pressure in different zones of the fluid domain. The considered moving wall characterization implies that the flow channel presents sudden expansions and contractions. Additionally, we can reduce the fluid analysis to a 1D incompressible flow, as shown in Section 4. Thus, we divide the fluid domain in sections with uniform cross-sectional area where the flow is incompressible to describe the fluid dynamics and infinitesimal compressible sections, as shown in Figure 2. The use of nodes to couple incompressible sections has been applied in [16] for tubes with fixed irregular geometries. In this work we extend this idea to consider time-varying geometries. We define the fluid behavior in nodes using the following assumption.

Assumption 1. The volume of a node *i* is small enough, such that the density distribution is uniform, and the changes in density are only caused by changes in the volume [27, Sec. 5.1, p.78]. This implies that the mass in each node is constant, i.e., the following relationship is satisfied

$$\rho_i \bar{V}_i = \kappa \tag{3}$$

where ρ_i and \bar{V}_i are the density and volume of the *i*-th node, and κ is the node total mass.

As mentioned above, we use the PHS framework to describe the ODEs associated with the wall and fluid dynamics. In this sense, according to [22, 23] an input-state-output PHS with direct feed-through is given by:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = (J - R)\,\partial_{\mathbf{x}}H + (g - P)\mathbf{u} \tag{4a}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = (g+P)^T \partial_{\mathbf{x}} H + (M+S)\mathbf{u}$$
(4b)

where **x** is the state vector, (**u**, **y**) are the input-output pair, the Hamiltonian *H* is the total energy of the system, $\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, *J* and *M* are skew-symmetric matrices, and matrices *P*, *R* and *S* satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} R & P \\ P^T & S \end{bmatrix} \ge 0 \tag{5}$$

As a consequence of the non-negative condition (5), H satisfies

$$H \le H_0 + \int_0^t \mathbf{y}^T(\tau) \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau$$
(6)

where H_0 is the energy at t = 0. This result is equivalent to the numerical stability analysis presented in [13, 2, 3], i.e., the PHS formulation guarantee the model stability.



Figure 3: Mass-spring-damper (MSD) model for the different sections of the wall.

3. Mechanical model

In this section we propose a port-Hamiltonian formulation of the wall motion. In a MSD system there are two energy storage elements, namely, the mass that stores kinetic energy, and the spring that stores potential energy [23]. Thus, for a system with N_s interconnected MSD as shown in Figure 3, the total energy is given by

$$H_s = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \left(\frac{\pi_i^2}{m_i} + k_i \bar{q}_i^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s - 1} k_{ci} \left(\bar{q}_i - \bar{q}_{i+1} \right)^2 \tag{7}$$

where π_i is the momentum of mass m_i , k_i and k_{ci} are coefficients of lateral and coupling springs, and the relative mass displacements are given by $\bar{q}_i = q_i - q_{i0}$ where q_i is the mass position and q_{i0} is the equilibrium point when the flow velocity is equal to zero and the static pressure is equal to the reference pressure p_0 .

Proposition 1. Let H_s be the total energy of N_s interconnected MSD systems, as shown in Figure 3. Denoting by $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_s = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q}_1 & \bar{q}_2 & \cdots & \bar{q}_{N_s} \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\pi_s = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \cdots & \pi_{N_s} \end{bmatrix}^T$ the state variables associated with the displacement and momenta of masses, the finite-dimensional PHS approximation of the wall dynamics is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_s \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I \\ -I & -R_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_s} H_s \\ \partial_{\pi_s} H_s \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ I \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_s$$
(8a)

$$\mathbf{y}_{s} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{s}} H_{s} \\ \partial_{\pi_{s}} H_{s} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8b)

where the input $\mathbf{u}_s = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & \cdots & F_{N_s} \end{bmatrix}^T$ is the set of external forces in each mass, the output $\mathbf{y}_s = \begin{bmatrix} v_{c1} & \cdots & v_{cN_s} \end{bmatrix}^T$ is the set of corresponding velocities, $\mathbf{0}$ and I are zero and identity matrices of proper dimensions, $\partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_s} H_s = K_s \bar{\mathbf{q}}_s$ is the set of forces applied by the springs to the masses and $\partial_{\pi_s} H_s = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\pi_1}{m_1} & \cdots & \frac{\pi_{N_s}}{m_{N-s}} \end{bmatrix}^T$ is the set of mass velocities. Matrices R_s and K_s are given by:.

$$R_{s} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{1} + d_{c1} & -d_{c1} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -d_{c1} & d_{2} + d_{c1} + d_{c2} & -d_{c2} & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -d_{c2} & d_{3} + d_{c2} + d_{c3} & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & -d_{c(N_{s}-1)} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & -d_{c(N_{s}-1)} & d_{N_{s}} + d_{c(N_{s}-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)
$$K_{s} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{1} + k_{c1} & -k_{c1} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -k_{c1} & k_{2} + k_{c1} + k_{c2} & -k_{c2} & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -k_{c2} & k_{3} + k_{c2} + k_{c3} & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & -d_{c(N_{s}-1)} & k_{N_{s}} + k_{c(N_{s}-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

Proof. The velocity of one mass is the derivative of its displacement with respect to time, i.e., $\dot{\bar{q}}_i = \frac{\pi_i}{m_i} = \partial_{\pi_i} H_s$. Thus, for $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_s$ we obtain

$$\dot{\bar{\mathbf{q}}}_s = \partial_{\pi_s} H_s = \left[\frac{\pi_1}{m_1} \quad \cdots \quad \frac{\pi_{N_s}}{m_{N_s}}\right]^T \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, applying a momentum balance on the masses, we obtain

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_s = -\mathbf{F}_s - \mathbf{F}_d + \mathbf{u}_s \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{F}_s = \begin{bmatrix} F_{s1} & \cdots & F_{sN_s} \end{bmatrix}^T$ is the set of forces applied by the springs to the masses, $\mathbf{F}_d = \begin{bmatrix} F_{d1} & \cdots & F_{dN_s} \end{bmatrix}^T$ is the set of forces of the dampers, and $\mathbf{u}_s = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & \cdots & F_{N_s} \end{bmatrix}^T$ is the set of external forces. From Figure 3, we obtain that for the *i*-th mass, the spring force is given by:

$$F_{si} = k_i \bar{q}_i - k_{c(i-1)} (\bar{q}_{i-1} - \bar{q}_i) + k_{c(i)} (\bar{q}_i - \bar{q}_{i+1})$$

= $[-k_{c(i-1)} k_i + k_{c(i)} + k_{c(i-1)} - k_{c(i)}] \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q}_{i-1} \\ \bar{q}_i \\ \bar{q}_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}$
= $\partial_{\bar{q}_i} H_s$

and the damper force is given by:

$$F_{di} = d_i v_{ci} + d_{ci} (v_{ci} - v_{c(i+1)}) + d_{c(i-1)} (v_{ci} - v_{c(i-1)}) = \begin{bmatrix} -d_{c(i-1)} & d_i + d_{c(i)} + d_{c(i-1)} & -d_{c(i)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{c(i-1)} \\ v_{ci} \\ v_{c(i-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where v_{ci} is the velocity of mass m_i . Considering $k_{c0} = k_{cN_s} = 0$ and $d_{c0} = d_{cN_s} = 0$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{F}_s = \partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_s} H_s = K_s \bar{\mathbf{q}}_s \tag{13}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_d = R_s \partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_s} H_s \tag{14}$$

where R_s and K_s are given by (9) and (10), respectively. Thus, using (13) and (14), the momentum balance in (12) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{s} = -\partial_{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{s}} H_{s} - R_{s} \partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{s}} H_{s} + \mathbf{u}_{s} \tag{15}$$

Finally, defining the state vector as $\mathbf{x}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{q}}_s^T & \pi_s^T \end{bmatrix}$, the ODEs (11) and (15) can be rewritten in the PHS form (4), where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I \\ -I & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & R_s \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad g = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

and where the matrices P, M and S are equal to zero, obtaining the model described in (8).

4. Fluid Model

In this section we propose a port-Hamiltonian model for incompressible fluids in tubes with time varying geometries. As mentioned before, we consider flows with Match number M < 0.3 and provide a 1D model of the fluid using incompressible sections and infinitesimal compressible zones called nodes. From an energy point of view, incompressible sections are kinetic energy storage elements, describing the fluid motion trough the momentum balance:

$$\rho_0 \partial_t \mathbf{v} = -\rho_0 \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} - \nabla p - \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}$$
(16)

subject to $\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}) = 0$, where ρ_0 is the reference density of the fluid and $\mathbf{v} = [v v]^T$ with v and v as the longitudinal and transverse velocities of the fluid, respectively. Similarly, nodes store potential energy and are used to describe

the pressure distribution in the flow. Their dynamics are governed by the changes of the fluid density. Then, the fluid dynamic in a node is given by:

$$\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) \tag{17}$$

On the other hand, the loss of kinetic energy of a fluid in a tube is given by different phenomena [25], such as viscosity friction with the walls, turbulences and irregularities in the geometry. Thus, we firstly discuss the energy dissipation in the flow, and then we present the models for the incompressible sections and for the nodes to develop a scalable model.

4.1. Power dissipation in the flow

Note that the term $\mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}$ in (2) is the incompressible simplification of $-\nabla \cdot (\tau)$, where τ is the viscous stress tensor for Newtonian fluids [25]. The dissipation in the flow can be obtained from the dot product $-(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \cdot (\tau)) = -(\nabla \cdot (\tau \cdot \mathbf{v})) - (-\tau : \nabla \mathbf{v})$, where the term $(-\tau : \nabla \mathbf{v})$ is the scalar product between the tensors τ and $\nabla \mathbf{v}$, and it is always positive, describing the power dissipation in the flow [25]. For incompressible flows, the viscous dissipation has the general form:

$$D_{\nu} = \int (-\tau : \nabla \mathbf{v}) dV = \lambda \frac{1}{2} \rho A_{\nu} v_{\nu}^{3} \ge 0$$
(18)

where v_v and A_v are the characteristic velocity and area, respectively, and $\lambda = \lambda_{\mu} + \lambda_g$, where λ_{μ} is the friction loss factor that depends on the Reynolds number and λ_g is the loss factor associated with the tube geometry. Note that, from Assumption 2, the transversal flow velocity has an algebraic relation with v_{ci} . As a consequence, the dissipation is given by the longitudinal flow component. Thus, in the *i*-th section of the flow with density ρ_0 , the viscous dissipation can be written as

$$D_{vi} = \lambda_i \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 A_i v_i^2 |v_i| \tag{19}$$

The loss factor λ_{μ} can be chosen depending on the considered assumptions on the flow, see e.g. [25, Section 6.2]. On the other hand, λ_g depends on the geometry of the tube and, in the literature, there exist empirical formulas for tube expansions and contractions, change of direction and different class of bifurcation junctions [28, 29]. In this work we only consider the loss factors associated with sudden expansions and contractions. In a sudden expansion, when the fluid enters a section with enlarged cross-sectional area, a jet is formed as the fluid separates from the wall of the smaller tube section. This jet flow expands until it fills the entire area and part of the fluid breaks away and circulates in the corner of the expanded section [28]. In this case the loss factor is given by

$$\lambda_i^e = \left(1 - \frac{A_i}{A_{i+1}}\right)^2 \tag{20}$$

where A_i is the cross-sectional area of the *i*-th incompressible section.

In a sudden contraction, given the reduction of the tube, the fluid accelerates as it enters the smaller section. In this case the loss factor is given by [28]

$$\lambda_i^c = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{A_i}{A_{i-1}} \right) \tag{21}$$

According to [30], the loss factor in the inlet depends on the entrance tube geometry and is usually less than 0.78, while the loss factor associated with the outlet is equal to 1.

4.2. Model of incompressible fluid sections

If we consider the *i*-th section of the fluid, the upper boundary moves in the transverse direction with velocity v_{ci} , as shown in Figure 4. Moreover, as a consequence of Assumption 1, a change of density in a node implies a change of the corresponding volume, which generates a variation in the volume of adjacent incompressible sections. The first effect of these moving boundaries, is that the volume of the *i*-th incompressible section is a function of the density of adjacent nodes. Thus, considering a node length of $2\bar{\ell}_i$, as shown in Figure 4, the node volume is given by



Figure 4: Coupling incompressible fluid sections using nodes with compressible behavior.

 $\bar{V}_i = \bar{\ell}_i (A_i + A_{i+1})$, where A_i and A_{i+1} are the cross-sectional areas of the adjacent incompressible flow sections. Thus, the volume V_i of section *i* is described by a fixed reference value $V_i^* = A_i \ell_i^*$ minus the corresponding part of adjacent nodes, see Figure 4, i.e.,

$$V_{i} = V_{i}^{*} - A_{i}(\bar{\ell}_{i} + \bar{\ell}_{i-1}) = V_{i}^{*} - \frac{\kappa}{\rho_{i}}\alpha_{i} - \frac{\kappa}{\rho_{i-1}}(1 - \alpha_{i-1})$$
(22)

where $\alpha_i = A_i/(A_i + A_{i+1})$ is a dimensionless factor. As we will see in the next subsection, the description of V_i in (22) will help us to describe the dynamic pressure in each node.

The second effect of these moving boundaries is that part of the fluid moves in the transverse direction, induced by the boundary velocity v_{ci} . This implies that the longitudinal flow in the section is affected by the upper wall movement. As a consequence, from (1), we obtain the following relationship:

$$Q_{1i} - Q_{2i} - A_{ci}v_{ci} = 0 (23)$$

where A_{ci} is the contact area of the fluid section with the moving boundary. Note that the inlet and outlet flows in a section, Q_{1i} and Q_{2i} respectively, are different when the upper wall moves. Thus, denoting by v_i the average longitudinal flow velocity in section *i*, to satisfy (23), we define the inlet and outlet flows as:

$$Q_{1i} = A_i v_i + \frac{1}{2} A_{ci} v_{ci}$$
(24)

$$Q_{2i} = A_i v_i - \frac{1}{2} A_{ci} v_{ci}$$
(25)

where $A_i v_i$ is the average longitudinal flow and $A_{ci} v_{ci}$ is the transverse flow in the contact surface of the moving boundary. Note that (24) and (25) are equal when the upper boundary does not move.

The third effect of the moving boundaries is that the tube geometry is time varying, inducing a fluid rotation in each section. To simplify the model, we consider a two-dimensional flow and the following assumption

Assumption 2. Denote by \mathbf{v} the transverse component of the flow velocity. The gradient of \mathbf{v} is uniform in each fluid section and is given by $\partial_w \mathbf{v} = v_{ci}/q_i$ and $\partial_z \mathbf{v} = 0$ where w and z denote the transversal and longitudinal axis respectively, and q_i is the moving boundary position.

Note that, from Assumption 2, the transversal velocity in a section *i* is given by $\mathbf{v} = v_{ci}w/q_i$. As a consequence, the corresponding transversal momentum satisfies the following algebraic relationship with the boundary velocity $\pi_{yi} = \int \rho_0 \mathbf{v} dV_i = \rho_0 V_i v_{ci}/2$. This algebraic constraint implies that the dynamics of the transversal momentum in each incompressible section are given by a linear combination of the ODEs associated with the mechanical model, that were shown above, and the ODEs that describe density behavior in the nodes.

Thus, to obtain a minimal realization we consider the following proposition to describe the flow in one incompressible section. **Proposition 2.** In a fluid section with volume V_i and uniform gradient of transversal velocity, the flow is described by the longitudinal flow momentum dynamics:

$$\dot{\pi}_{zi} = -A_i \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \rho_0 |v_i| v_i - A_{ci} \rho_0 v_i v_{ci} + A_i (P_{1i} - P_{2i})$$
(26)

where the term $A_{ci}\rho_0 v_i v_{ci}$ represents the effect of the moving boundary in the longitudinal flow.

Proof. As we mentioned above, the corresponding transversal momentum has an algebraic relationship with the boundary velocity, i.e., the boundary velocity defines the transversal behavior of the flow. Thus, it is only necessary to know the longitudinal flow momentum to describe the fluid in one section. Then, from (16) we obtain that:

$$\rho_0 \partial_t v + \rho_0 v \partial_z v + \rho_0 \mathbf{v} \partial_w v + \partial_z p = \mu \left(\partial_z^2 v + \partial_w^2 v \right)$$
(27)

where the term $\rho v \partial_w v$ is associated with the conversion of longitudinal flow into transversal flow and vice versa, induced by the velocity of the boundary. Integrating in the section volume the first and third terms of (27) and applying the Leibniz integral rule and the divergence theorem, an additional term associated with the contact surface S_{ci} and its correspondence velocity v_{ci} appears, i.e.,

$$\int \rho_0 \partial_t v + \rho_0 \mathbf{v} \partial_w v dV_i = \dot{\pi}_{zi} + A_i \frac{\rho_0}{2} \left(\left(\frac{Q_{2i}}{A_i} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{Q_{1i}}{A_i} \right)^2 \right)$$
$$= \dot{\pi}_{zi} + A_{ci} \rho_0 v_i v_{ci} \tag{28}$$

Integrating the remaining terms of (27) and considering a uniform velocity in the inlet and outlet cross-sectional surfaces of V_i we obtain:

$$\int \partial_z \left(\frac{\rho_0}{2}v^2 + p\right) dV_i = -A_i \left(P_{1i} - P_{2i}\right)$$
⁽²⁹⁾

where P_{1i} and P_{2i} are the inlet and outlet total pressures, respectively. Finally, in this work we only consider the power dissipation of the stress tensor. Then, the term $\int \mu (\partial_z^2 v + \partial_w^2 v) dV_i$ represents the force associated with the viscous dissipation. Thus, using (19) this force can be approximated as

$$\int \mu \left(\partial_z^2 v + \partial_w^2 v\right) dV_i \approx -A_i \lambda_i \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |v_i| v_i$$
(30)

Finally, combining (28), (29) and (30), and solving for $\dot{\pi}_{zi}$ we obtain (26).

If we now consider the kinetic energy in one section, we can express it as $K_i = \frac{1}{2}\rho_0 V_i v_i^2 = \frac{1}{2}\pi_{zi}^2/(\rho_0 V_i)$. Thus, for *N* sections the total kinetic energy is

$$K_f = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi_{zi}^2}{\rho_0 V_i}$$
(31)

where $\partial_{\pi_{zi}}K_f = \pi_{zi}/(\rho_0 V_i) = v_i$ is the average velocity in section *i*. Using the PHS framework we describe the flow dynamics in *N* section as shown in Proposition 3.

Proposition 3. Consider a fluid domain divided into N incompressible sections and N - 1 nodes. Defining the state vector π_z as the set of longitudinal momenta of incompressible sections, \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_2 as the total pressure sets at the inlet and outlet boundaries of the sections, respectively, \mathbf{u}_b as the set of upper boundary velocities, \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{Q}_2 as the inlet and outlet flow sets, respectively, and $\mathbf{F}_{\pi}^* = \mathbf{F} + \hat{\mathbf{F}}$ where \mathbf{F} is the set of forces applied over the contact area of sections with the moving wall and \hat{F} is an additional term. Then, the port-Hamiltonian model that describes the dynamics of incompressible sections is given by:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{z} = -\boldsymbol{R}_{f}\partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{z}}\boldsymbol{K}_{f} + \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}^{T} \\ -\vartheta_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}^{T} \\ -\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{1} \\ \mathbf{P}_{2} \\ \mathbf{u}_{b} \end{bmatrix}$$
(32a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1 \\ -\mathbf{Q}_2 \\ -\mathbf{F}_{\pi}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta_{\pi}^T \\ -\vartheta_{\pi}^T \\ -\varphi_{\pi}^T \end{bmatrix} \partial_{\pi_z} K_f + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \psi_{\pi} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \psi_{\pi} \\ -\psi_{\pi}^T & -\psi_{\pi}^T & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_1 \\ \mathbf{P}_2 \\ \mathbf{u}_b \end{bmatrix}$$
(32b)

where $R_f = diag([r_1 \cdots r_N])$ is the dissipation matrix of the flow, $\vartheta_{\pi} = diag([A_1 \cdots A_N])$ maps the boundary pressures of the sections, $\varphi_{\pi} = diag([A_{c1}\pi_{c1}/V_1 \cdots A_{cN}\pi_{cN}/V_N])$ and $\psi_{\pi} = diag([A_{c1}/2 \cdots A_{cN}/2])$.

Proof. Let $v_i = \partial_{\pi_{zi}} K_f = \pi_{zi}/(\rho_0 V_i)$ and $r_i = \lambda_i \rho_0 |\pi_{zi}|/(2\ell_i)$. Then, rewriting (26), the longitudinal flow momentum equation in a section *i* is given by:

$$\dot{\pi}_{zi} = -r_i \partial_{\pi_{zi}} K_f - \frac{A_{ci} \pi_{zi}}{V_i} v_{ci} + A_i \left(P_{1i} - P_{2i} \right)$$
(33)

where the inlet and outlet pressures P_{1i} and P_{2i} and the velocity v_{ci} are inputs. The power conjugated outputs associated with these inputs are the inlet and outlet flows Q_{1i} and Q_{2i} and the force $F_{\pi i}$ applied to the contact surface. From (24) and (25) we obtain:

$$Q_{1i} = A_i \partial_{\pi_{zi}} K_f + \frac{A_{ci}}{2} v_{ci}$$
(34)

$$Q_{2i} = A_i \partial_{\pi_{2i}} K_f - \frac{A_{ci}}{2} v_{ci}$$
(35)

Define an auxiliary force F_i^* as

$$F_{\pi i}^* = A_{ci} \left(\frac{\pi_{zi}}{V_i} \partial_{\pi_{zi}} K_f + (P_{1i} + P_{2i})/2 \right)$$
(36)

$$=F_{\pi i}+\hat{F}_{\pi i} \tag{37}$$

where $\hat{F}_{\pi i}$ is an extra term that is compensated in the interconnection with the mechanical model, as shown in Section 5. Thus, the PHS model that describes the dynamics of the *i*-th section is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\pi}_{zi} &= -r_i \partial_{\pi_{zi}} K_f + \begin{bmatrix} A_i & -A_i & -\frac{A_{ci}\pi_{zi}}{V_i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} \\ P_{2i} \\ v_{ci} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1i} \\ -Q_{2i} \\ -F_i^* \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_i \\ -A_i \\ -\frac{A_{ci}\pi_{zi}}{V_i} \end{bmatrix} \partial_{\pi_{zi}} K_f + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & M_i \\ -M_i^T & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} \\ P_{2i} \\ v_{ci} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $M_i = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ci}/2 & A_{ci}/2 \end{bmatrix}^T$.

Finally, for *N* incompressible sections, we define the state vector as $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{z1} \cdots \pi_{zN} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$. Denoting by $\mathbf{P}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} \cdots P_{1N} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{21} \cdots P_{2N} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ the inlet and outlet total pressure sets, respectively, $\mathbf{u}_{b} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{c1} \cdots v_{cN} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ the set of section velocities in contact surfaces; $\mathbf{Q}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} \cdots Q_{1N} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$, and $\mathbf{Q}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{21} \cdots Q_{2N} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ the inlet and outlet flow sets, and $\mathbf{F}_{\pi}^{*} = \mathbf{F}_{\pi} + \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\pi}$ where $\mathbf{F}_{\pi} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\pi 1} \cdots F_{\pi N} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\pi} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{F}_{\pi 1} \cdots \hat{F}_{\pi N} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$, and defining matrices $R_{f} = diag(\begin{bmatrix} r_{1} \cdots r_{N} \end{bmatrix}), \vartheta_{\pi} = diag(\begin{bmatrix} A_{1} \cdots A_{N} \end{bmatrix}), \varphi_{\pi} = diag(\begin{bmatrix} A_{c1}\pi_{z1}/V_{1} \cdots A_{cN}\pi_{zN}/V_{N} \end{bmatrix}), \psi_{\pi} = diag(\begin{bmatrix} A_{c1}/2 \cdots A_{cN}/2 \end{bmatrix}),$ then, we obtain the PHS shown in (32).

4.3. Model of nodes

As explained in Assumption 1, the density in each node is assumed to be uniform. Then, the continuity equation in (17) can be rewritten as:

$$\partial_t \rho + \rho \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}) = 0 \tag{38}$$

This density variation implies a change in the node pressure, which, in turn, has an associated energy. We denote by $p_j^{\rho} = p_j - p_0$ the pressure variation in the *j*-th node, where p_0 is the pressure at the reference density ρ_0 and p_j is the absolute pressure in the node. Thus, from the definition of the bulk modulus, β_s , [31] we obtain:

$$p_j^{\rho} = \beta_S \ln\left(\frac{\rho_j}{\rho_0}\right) \tag{39}$$

Considering that the mass in each node is small, the kinetic energy can be neglected. From the first law of thermodynamics and considering an adiabatic process, the potential energy E_j , is given by the fluid work, $dE_j = -p_i^{\rho} dV_j$. Using (3), the differential of the potential energy can be written as

$$dE_j = p_j^{\rho} \frac{\kappa}{\rho_j^2} d\rho_j \tag{40}$$

where p_i^{ρ} is the node pressure.

The potential energy in node j is then given by the following non-negative function

$$E_j = \kappa \beta_S \frac{\rho_j - \rho_0 \left(1 + \ln(\rho_j / \rho_0)\right)}{\rho_j \rho_0} \tag{41}$$

Thus, for N - 1 nodes, the total energy is

$$E_{f} = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \kappa \beta_{S} \frac{\rho_{j} - \rho_{0} \left(1 + \ln(\rho_{j}/\rho_{0})\right)}{\rho_{j} \rho_{0}}$$
(42)

The PHS that describes the density dynamics is then given in the following proposition.

Proposition 4. Consider a fluid domain divided into N incompressible sections and N - 1 nodes. Denoting by ρ the set of node densities, by \mathbf{Q}_1^{ρ} and \mathbf{Q}_2^{ρ} the inlet and outlet boundary flow sets of nodes, \mathbf{p}_1^{ρ} and \mathbf{p}_2^{ρ} the inlet and outlet static pressure sets at the inlet and outlet node boundaries, respectively, and F_{ρ} as the set of forces applied by nodes in adjacent wall sections. Then, the density dynamics are given by the following PHS:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = \boldsymbol{0}\partial_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}E_f + \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^T \\ -\vartheta_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^T \\ -\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^T \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \\ \mathbf{Q}_2^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \\ \mathbf{u}_b \end{bmatrix}$$
(43a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{1}^{\rho} \\ -\mathbf{p}_{2}^{\rho} \\ -\mathbf{F}_{\rho} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta_{\rho}^{T} \\ -\vartheta_{\rho}^{T} \\ -\varphi_{\rho}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \partial_{\rho} E_{f}$$
(43b)

where $\vartheta_{\rho} = diag\left(\left[\rho_{1}^{2}/\kappa \cdots \rho_{N-1}^{2}/\kappa\right]\right)$ is the matrix mapping the inlet and outlet flows in nodes. The matrix $\varphi_{\rho} = \vartheta_{\rho}\left(\left[g_{An} \quad 0_{N-1\times 1}\right] + \begin{bmatrix}0_{N-1\times 1} & g_{An}\end{bmatrix}\right)$ with $g_{An} = diag\left(\left[A_{n1} \quad \cdots \quad A_{n(N-1)}\right]\right)$, maps the upper boundary velocities in nodes.

Proof. Integrating (38) over the *j*-th node volume, we obtain that the node density is affected by the adjacent moving boundaries, i.e.,

$$\dot{\rho}_{j} = \frac{\rho_{j}^{2}}{\kappa} \left(Q_{1j}^{\rho} - Q_{2j}^{\rho} \right) - A_{nj} \frac{\rho_{j}^{2}}{\kappa} \left(v_{cj} + v_{c(j+1)} \right)$$
(44)

where Q_{1j}^{ρ} and Q_{2j}^{ρ} are the inlet and outlet flows of the node, respectively, v_{cj} and $v_{c(j+1)}$ are the velocities of adjacent moving boundaries, and A_{nj} is the corresponding contact area. The power conjugate variables for the inlet and outlet flows are the internal node pressures. Then, from Assumption 1 and (40), we obtain

$$p_{1j} = p_{2j} = \frac{\rho_j^2}{\kappa} \partial_{\rho_j} E_f \tag{45}$$

Similarly, the conjugated variables associated with the velocity of adjacent moving boundaries are the applied forces. Then, as shown in Figure 4, in the *j*-th wall section the force applied on the contact surface for the nodes is given by:

$$F_{j\rho} = A_{n(j-1)} \frac{\rho_{j-1}^2}{\kappa} \partial_{\rho_{j-1}} E_f + A_{nj} \frac{\rho_j^2}{\kappa} \partial_{\rho_j} E_f$$

$$\tag{46}$$

Thus, for N - 1 nodes, the density dynamics can be described using the PHS model in (4), where the matrices J, R, P, M and S are equal to zero, the input is given by $\mathbf{u} = [(\mathbf{Q}_1^{\rho})^T (\mathbf{Q}_2^{\rho})^T \mathbf{u}_b^T]^T$ with $\mathbf{Q}_1^{\rho} = [\mathcal{Q}_{11}^{\rho} \cdots \mathcal{Q}_{1N}^{\rho}]^T$ and $\mathbf{Q}_2^{\rho} = [\mathcal{Q}_{21}^{\rho} \cdots \mathcal{Q}_{2N}^{\rho}]^T$, the output is given by $\mathbf{y} = [(\mathbf{p}_1^{\rho})^T - (\mathbf{p}_2^{\rho})^T - \mathbf{F}_{\rho}^T]^T$ with $\mathbf{p}_1^{\rho} = [p_{11}^{\rho} \cdots p_{1N}^{\rho}]^T, \mathbf{p}_2^{\rho} = [p_{21}^{\rho} \cdots p_{2N}^{\rho}]^T$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\rho} = [F_{1\rho} \cdots F_{N\rho}]^T$, and the matrix $g = [\vartheta_{\rho} - \vartheta_{\rho} - \varphi_{\rho}]$ where $\vartheta_{\rho} = diag([\rho_1^2/\kappa \cdots \rho_{N-1}^2/\kappa])$ is the matrix mapping the inlet and outlet flows in nodes, $\varphi_{\rho} = \vartheta_{\rho}([g_{An} \quad 0_{N-1\times 1}] + [0_{N-1\times 1} \quad g_{An}])$ is the matrix mapping the upper boundary velocities in nodes, with $g_{An} = diag([A_{n1} \cdots A_{n(N-1)}])$. Then we obtain the PHS formulation in (43).

4.4. Scalable Flow model

In this section we develop the interconnection between N sections and N - 1 nodes to obtain the flow model. The set of differential equations for incompressible sections and nodes are given by (32) and (43), respectively. Note that when two PHS are coupled via a power preserving interconnection, then the model obtained is port-Hamiltonian and the total energy is the sum of the energies of each subsystem [22, 23]. Then, in our model the total energy of the flow is given by

$$H_f = K_f + E_f \tag{47}$$

Note that the outputs \mathbf{Q}_1 and \mathbf{Q}_2 of the incompressible section model are compatible with the inputs \mathbf{Q}_1^{ρ} and \mathbf{Q}_2^{ρ} of the node model. Similarly, the inputs \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_2 are compatible with outputs \mathbf{p}_1^{ρ} and \mathbf{p}_2^{ρ} . However, note that \mathbf{p}_1^{ρ} and \mathbf{p}_2^{ρ} are static pressure and \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_2 are total pressures. Thus, we describe the flow dynamics as shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 5. Let $\mathbf{P}_1^{\rho} = \mathbf{p}_1^{\rho} + \mathbf{p}_d^{\rho}$ and $\mathbf{P}_2^{\rho} = \mathbf{p}_2^{\rho} + \mathbf{p}_d^{\rho}$ be the total pressure sets at the inlet and outlet boundaries of nodes where \mathbf{p}_d^{ρ} is the set of dynamic pressures in the nodes, $\{P_i, Q_i\}$ and $\{P_o, Q_o\}$ the pairs of total pressure and flow at the inlet and outlet boundaries of the fluid domain, respectively. Then, there exist matrices C_1 , C_2 , C_{1*} and C_{2*} , such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_{1*} \end{bmatrix} : \mathbf{Q}_1 \to \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_2^{\rho} \\ Q_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C_{2*} \end{bmatrix} : \mathbf{Q}_2 \to \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^{\rho} \\ Q_o \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_{1*} \end{bmatrix}^T : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_2^{\rho} + \mathbf{p}_d^{\rho} \\ P_i \end{bmatrix} \to \mathbf{P}_1, \quad and \quad \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C_{2*} \end{bmatrix}^T : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1^{\rho} + \mathbf{p}_d^{\rho} \\ P_o \end{bmatrix} \to \mathbf{P}_2.$$

Thus, the scalable port-Hamiltonian formulation for a flow with moving boundary is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{z} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -R_{f} & \phi \\ -\phi^{T} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{z}} H_{f} \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} H_{f} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta & -\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\varphi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{p} \\ \mathbf{u}_{b} \end{bmatrix}$$
(48a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{Q} \\ \mathbf{y}_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta^{T} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\varphi_{\pi}^{T} & -\varphi^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\pi_{z}} H_{f} \\ \partial_{\rho} H_{f} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \psi \\ -\psi^{T} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{p} \\ \mathbf{u}_{b} \end{bmatrix}$$
(48b)

where $\mathbf{u}_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{i} P_{o} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$, $\mathbf{y}_{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{i} - Q_{o} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ and y_{b} contains the forces applied by the flow to the contact surfaces of the moving wall. Matrix $\vartheta = \vartheta_{\pi} \begin{bmatrix} C_{1*}^{T} & -C_{2*}^{T} \end{bmatrix}$ maps the boundary pressures in the corresponding fluid sections, matrix $\varphi = \varphi_{\rho} + \vartheta_{\rho} (C_{2} + C_{1}) \psi_{\pi}$ maps the upper boundary velocities in the nodes, and $\phi = \vartheta_{\pi} (C_{1}^{T} - C_{2}^{T}) \vartheta_{\rho}^{T}$, $\psi = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1*}^{T} & C_{2*}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \psi_{\pi}$.

Proof. Note that $Q_i = Q_{11}$ and $Q_{2(i-1)}^{\rho} = Q_{1i}, \forall i \in [2, N]$. Similarly, $Q_o = Q_{2N}$ and $Q_{1i}^{\rho} = Q_{2i}, \forall i \in [1, N-1]$. Then, defining the matrices C_1, C_2, C_{1*} and C_{2*} as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{1*} \\ \hline C_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0_{1 \times N-1} \\ \hline 0_{N-1 \times 1} & I \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ \hline C_{2*} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0_{N-1 \times 1} \\ \hline 0_{1 \times N-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(49)

we obtain the power conserving interconnection rule given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{\rho} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{2}^{\rho} \\ \mathbf{P}_{1} \\ \mathbf{P}_{2} \\ -Q_{i} \\ Q_{o} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -C_{2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & C_{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -C_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & C_{1*}^{T} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -C_{1*} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & C_{2*}^{T} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -C_{1*} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -C_{2*} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_{1}^{\rho} + \mathbf{p}_{d}^{\rho} \\ -\mathbf{p}_{2}^{\rho} - \mathbf{p}_{d}^{\rho} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{1} \\ -\mathbf{Q}_{2} \\ P_{i} \\ P_{o} \end{bmatrix}$$
(50)

Thus, the models (32) and (43) can be rewritten as:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{z} = -R_{f}\partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{z}}K_{f} + \vartheta_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}C_{1}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}^{\rho} + \boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\rho}\right) - \vartheta_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}C_{2}^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\rho} + \boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\rho}\right) + \vartheta_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}C_{1*}^{T}P_{i} - \vartheta_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}C_{2*}^{T}P_{o}$$
(51)

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = -\varphi_{\rho} \mathbf{u}_{b} + \vartheta_{\rho} C_{2} \left(\vartheta_{\pi}^{T} \partial_{\pi_{z}} K_{f} - \psi_{\pi} \mathbf{u}_{b} \right) - \vartheta_{\rho} C_{1} \left(\vartheta_{\pi}^{T} \partial_{\pi_{z}} K_{f} + \psi_{\pi} \mathbf{u}_{b} \right)$$
(52)

Considering $\partial_{\pi_z} K_f = \partial_{\pi_z} H_f$ and from Proposition 4, we have that $\mathbf{p}_1^{\rho} = \mathbf{p}_2^{\rho} = \vartheta_{\rho}^T \partial_{\rho} E_f$. To define p_d^{ρ} it is necessary to obtain a description of the dynamic pressure in each node. From (22) we obtain:

$$\partial_{\rho_i} K_f = \frac{\rho_0}{2} \left(\frac{\pi_{zi}}{\rho_0 V_i} \right)^2 \partial_{\rho_i} V_i + \frac{\rho_0}{2} \left(\frac{\pi_{z(i+1)}}{\rho_0 V_{i+1}} \right)^2 \partial_{\rho_i} V_{i+1} = \frac{\kappa}{\rho_i^2} p_{di}^{\rho}$$
(53)

where $p_{di}^{\rho} = \frac{\rho_0}{2} \left(\frac{\pi_{zi}}{\rho_0 V_i}\right)^2 \alpha_i + \frac{\rho_0}{2} \left(\frac{\pi_{z(i+1)}}{\rho_0 V_{i+1}}\right)^2 (1-\alpha_i)$ is the dynamic pressure in the *i*-th node. Then, for N-1 nodes, the set of dynamic pressures is given by $\mathbf{p}_d^{\rho} = \vartheta_p^T \partial_\rho K_f$. This implies that $\mathbf{p}_1^{\rho} + \mathbf{p}_d^{\rho} = \mathbf{p}_2^{\rho} + \mathbf{p}_d^{\rho}$ where $\mathbf{p}_1^{\rho} + \mathbf{p}_d^{\rho} = \vartheta_p^T \partial_\rho K_f + \vartheta_p^T \partial_\rho E_f = \vartheta_p^T \partial_\rho H_f$, i.e., the flow dynamics can be rewritten as

$$\dot{\pi}_{z} = -R_{f}\partial_{\pi_{z}}H_{f} + \vartheta_{\pi}\left(C_{1}^{T} - C_{2}^{T}\right)\vartheta_{\rho}^{T}\partial_{\rho}H_{f} + \vartheta_{\pi}C_{1*}^{T}P_{i} - \vartheta_{\pi}C_{2*}^{T}P_{o}$$
(54)

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = \vartheta_{\rho} \left(C_2 - C_1 \right) \vartheta_{\pi}^T \partial_{\pi_z} H_f - \left(\varphi_{\rho} + \vartheta_{\rho} \left(C_2 - C_1 \right) \psi_{\pi} \right) \mathbf{u}_b$$
(55)

Finally, defining $\phi = \vartheta_{\pi} \left(C_1^T - C_2^T \right) \vartheta_{\rho}^T$, $\vartheta = \vartheta_{\pi} [C_{1*}^T - C_{2*}^T]$, $\varphi = \varphi_{\rho} + \vartheta_{\rho} (C_2 - C_1) \psi_{\pi}$ and $\psi = [C_{1*}^T C_{2*}^T]^T \psi_{\pi}$, the previous dynamic equations are written in the port-Hamiltonian form described in (4), where *P* and *S* are equal to 0, and

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \phi \\ -\phi^T & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \qquad R = \begin{bmatrix} R_f & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \qquad g = \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta & -\varphi_\pi \\ \mathbf{0} & -\varphi \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \qquad M = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \psi \\ -\psi^T & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

This leads to the system described in (48).

Note that $\mathbf{y}_b = -\mathbf{F}_c - \mathbf{F}_c^*$, where \mathbf{F}_c is the set of forces applied by the flow on the contact surface of the mechanical structure. \mathbf{F}_c^* is an extra term that is cancelled in the interconnection between the flow and the mechanical models, as we see in the next section.

5. Fluid-Structure model

In this section we present the interconnection between the fluid and the structure models. From Sections 3 and 4 we have that the total energy of the fluid-structure system is given by

$$H = H_s + H_f \tag{56}$$

where H_s and H_f are defined in (7) and (47) respectively.

Proposition 6. Let the structure and flow port-Hamiltonian models be described as in Propositions 1 and 5, respectively. Then, the PHS that describes the FSI between these two susbsystems is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{s} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{s} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{z} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ -I & -R_{s} & C^{T} \varphi_{\pi}^{T} & C^{T} \varphi^{T} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\varphi_{\pi} C & -R_{f} & \phi \\ \mathbf{0} & -\varphi C & -\phi^{T} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{s}} H \\ \partial_{\pi_{z}} H \\ \partial_{\rho} H \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ C^{T} \psi^{T} & I \\ \vartheta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{p} \\ \mathbf{u}_{e} \end{bmatrix}$$
(57a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{Q} \\ \mathbf{y}_{\nu} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \psi C & \vartheta^{T} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{s}} H \\ \partial_{\pi_{z}} H \\ \partial_{\rho} H \end{bmatrix}$$
(57b)

where \mathbf{u}_e and \mathbf{y}_v are the external forces and velocities sets of masses of the mechanical submodel, \mathbf{u}_p and \mathbf{y}_Q are the pressure and flow sets in the inlet and outlet boundaries of the fluid submodel, H is the total energy defined in (56), and matrix C describes the interconnection between the mechanical structure and the corresponding flow sections of the fluid model.

Proof. Note that the power exchange between the fluid and mechanical system is given by $\mathbf{u}_b^T \mathbf{F}_c$. Similarly, the power source of the mechanical structure is given by $\mathbf{u}_s^T \mathbf{y}_s$. We consider that $\mathbf{u}_s = f(\mathbf{F}_c) + \mathbf{u}_e$ and $\mathbf{y}_b = -\mathbf{F}_c - \mathbf{F}_c^*$ where $f(\cdot)$ is a linear function and \mathbf{u}_e is the set of external forces applied on the mechanical model. Then, there exists a matrix C such that $C : \mathbf{y}_s \to \mathbf{u}_b$ and $-C^T : \mathbf{y}_b \to \mathbf{u}_s$. Thus, the fluid-structure model is obtained using the following power preserving interconnection:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_s \\ \mathbf{u}_b \\ -\mathbf{y}_v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & -C^T & I \\ C & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ -I & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_s \\ \mathbf{y}_b + \mathbf{F}_c^* \\ \mathbf{u}_e \end{bmatrix},$$
(58)

obtaining the following set of ODEs:

$$\dot{\bar{\mathbf{q}}}_s = \partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_s} H_s \tag{59}$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{s} = -\partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{s}}H_{s} - R_{s}\partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{s}}H_{s} + C^{T}\left(\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}^{T}\partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{z}}H_{f} + \varphi^{T}\partial_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}H_{f}\right) - C^{T}\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{c}}^{*} + C^{T}\psi^{T}\mathbf{u}_{p} + \mathbf{u}_{e}$$
(60)

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{z} = -\varphi_{\pi} C \partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{s}} H_{s} - R_{f} \partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{z}} H_{f} + \phi \partial_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} H_{f} + \vartheta \mathbf{u}_{p}$$

$$\tag{61}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = -\varphi C \partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_s} H_s - \phi^T \partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_s} H_f \tag{62}$$

Additionally, from the interconnection rule in (58), $\mathbf{u}_b = C\mathbf{y}_s$. This implies that the set \mathbf{q}_f of heights of flow sections is given by $\mathbf{q}_f = C\bar{\mathbf{q}}_s + \mathbf{q}_0$ where \mathbf{q}_0 is the set of heights when the flow velocity and pressure are equal to 0. Now, for the *i*-th height we obtain that

$$\partial_{q_i} K_f = \sum_{j=i-i}^{i+1} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi_{zj}}{\rho_0 V_j} \right)^2 \partial_{q_i} V_j = A_{ci} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi_{zi}}{\rho_0 V_i} \right)^2 + A_{ni} p_{di}^{\rho} + A_{n(i-1)} p_{d(i-1)}^{\rho}$$

Defining $\mathbf{F}_c^* = \partial_{\mathbf{q}} K_f$, then, $\partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}} K_f = C^T \partial_{\mathbf{q}} K_f = C^T \mathbf{F}_c^*$. Thus, the dynamics of the structure momenta can be rewritten as follows

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{s} = \underbrace{-\partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{s}}H_{s}}_{\text{spring forces}} - \underbrace{R_{s}\partial_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{s}}H_{s}}_{\text{damping}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{u}_{e}}_{\text{external forces}} \underbrace{-\partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_{s}}K_{f}}_{\text{full force on contact surfaces: } C^{T}\mathbf{F}_{c}^{*}}_{\text{full force on contact surfaces: } C^{T}\mathbf{F}_{c}}$$
(63)

Finally, the system in (57) is obtained by expressing (59), (61), (62) and (63) in the port-Hamiltonian form (4), where P, S and M are equal to zero and

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & R_s & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & R_f & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \qquad g = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ C^T \psi^T & I \\ \vartheta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \qquad J = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ -I & \mathbf{0} & C^T \varphi^T_{\pi} & C^T \varphi^T \\ \mathbf{0} & -\varphi_{\pi} C & \mathbf{0} & \phi \\ \mathbf{0} & -\varphi C & -\phi^T & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that, the term $\partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}} K_f$ appears as a consequence of the fluid-structure interaction. This term compensates the additional force \mathbf{F}_c^* in the output \mathbf{y}_b of the flow model, as shown in (63). Thus, the power exchange of the FSI is given by $\mathbf{y}_s^T C^T \mathbf{F}_c = \mathbf{u}_b^T \mathbf{F}_c$.

6. Numerical Examples

In this section we present numerical simulations of the proposed port-Hamiltonian FSI model. First we study a simple system to analyze the pressure behavior in a node. Later we study a flexible vessel to compare the results obtained with those presented in the literature.



Figure 5: (a) Simple Fluid-Structure Interaction system for numerical example of Section 6.1. (b) Relative variation of density ρ_1 in the node. (b) Behavior of pressures in the node, p_1^{ρ} : static pressure, p_{d1}^{ρ} : dynamic pressure, P_1^{ρ} : total pressure . (d) Position of masses, $q_1 = \bar{q}_1 + q_{10}$: position of mass $m_1, q_2 = \bar{q}_2 + q_{20}$: position of mass m_2 .

6.1. A simple FSI system

Consider a tube with two fluid sections with moving boundaries and one node. The upper boundary of each section is coupled with a mass-spring damper system, as shown in Figure 5.a. The corresponding fluid-structure port-Hamiltonian model proposed in Section 5, for this example, has the following state variables $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_s = [\bar{q}_1 \ \bar{q}_2]^T$, $\pi_s = [\pi_1 \ \pi_2]^T$, $\pi_z = [\pi_{z1} \ \pi_{z2}]^T$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \rho_1$. The interconnection, dissipation and input sub-matrices for the model (57) are given by

$$C^{T}\varphi_{\pi}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A_{c1}\pi_{c1}}{V_{1}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{A_{c2}\pi_{c2}}{q_{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \phi = \begin{bmatrix} -A_{1}\frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{\kappa}\\ A_{2}\frac{\rho_{1}^{*}}{\kappa} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad C^{T}\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{\kappa}(\frac{A_{c1}}{2} + A_{n1})\\ \frac{\rho_{1}^{*}}{\kappa}(\frac{A_{c2}}{2} + A_{n1}) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad C^{T}\psi^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A_{c1}}{2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{A_{c2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\vartheta = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1} & 0\\ 0 & -A_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad R_{s} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{1} & 0\\ 0 & d_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad R_{f} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1} & 0\\ 0 & r_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the energy gradient is given by $\partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}_s} H = \begin{bmatrix} F_{s1} + A_{c1} \frac{\rho_0}{2} v_1^2 + A_{n1} p_{d1} \\ F_{s2} + A_{c2} \frac{\rho_0}{2} v_2^2 + A_{n1} p_{d1} \end{bmatrix}$, $\partial_{\pi_s} H = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1/m_1 \\ \pi_2/m_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\partial_{\pi_z} H = \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{z1}/\rho_0 V_1 \\ \pi_{z2}/\rho_0 V_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\partial_{\rho} H = \frac{\kappa}{\rho_1^2} (p_1 + p_{d1})$.

We consider the following parameters for this system: $k_1 = 100$ M/m, $k_2 = 75$ M/m, $k_c = 100$ M/m, $m_1 = m_2 = 0.005$ kg, $d_1 = d_2 = 0.025$ Ns/m $\rho_0 = 1.1376$ kg/m³, $\kappa = 10^{-10}$ kg, $\beta_S = 142 \times 10^3$ Pa, L = 0.01 m, $\ell_1^* = \ell_2^* = 0.01$ m and $q_{10} = q_{20} = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ m. Figures 5.b, 5.c and 5.d show the simulation results for the relative variation of density $\Delta \rho_1 = 100 \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_0}{\rho_0}$, pressures in the node and motion of masses, respectively, when the external inlet and outlet total pressures are fixed to $P_i = 800$ Pa $\forall t \ge 0$ and $P_i = 0$ Pa otherwise, and $P_o = 0$ Pa $\forall t$. Note that $\Delta \rho_1 \le 0.1\%$, this variation is small enough to be neglected in typical incompressible assumptions. However, it is useful in this work to describe the pressure and the coupling between two incompressible sections. Moreover, this small variation implies a small static pressure p_1^{ρ} , such that, the behavior of the total pressure P_1^{ρ} in the node is governed by the dynamic pressure p_{d1}^{ρ} , as shown in Figure 5.c. The motion of the masses shows an oscillatory behavior and tends to settle in a new equilibrium point according to the pressure distribution in the fluid.

Using the material parameters proposed in [13]						
N=51	Structure	$k_i = 73.9483$ N/m, $k_{ci} = 2.7 \times 10^{-2}$ N/m, $d_i = 0.0209$ Ns/m $\forall i, d_{ci} = 0 \ \forall i, m_i = 3.7 \times 10^{-5}$ kg				
	Fluid	$\rho_0 = 1 \times 10^3 \text{kg/m}^3, \beta_s = 2.15 \times 10^9 \text{Pa}, \kappa = 7.7 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg}, \ell_i^* = 9.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{m}$				
N=71	Structure	$k_i = 51.9883$ N/m, $k_{ci} = 3.86 \times 10^{-2}$ N/m, $d_i = 0.0148$ Ns/m $\forall i, d_{ci} = 0 \; \forall i, m_i = 2.65 \times 10^{-5}$ kg				
	Fluid	$\rho_0 = 1 \times 10^3 \text{kg/m}^3, \beta_s = 2.15 \times 10^9 \text{Pa}, \kappa = 5.53 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg}, \ell_i^* = 7.04 \times 10^{-4} \text{m}$				
Using the material parameter proposed in [3]						
N=71	Structure	$k_i = 100.604$ N/m, $k_{ci} = 0.9085$ N/m, $d_i = 0.0434$ Ns/m, $\forall i, d_{ci} = 0, \forall i, m_i = 2.92 \times ^{-5}$ kg				
	Fluid	$\rho_0 = 1 \times 10^3 \text{kg/m}^3, \beta_s = 2.15 \times 10^9 \text{Pa}, \kappa = 6.63 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg}, \ell_i^* = 8.45 \times 10^{-4} \text{m}$				

Table 1: Simulation parameters for the flexible vessel problem.

6.2. Flexible vessel



Figure 6: Flexible vessel description.

A flexible Vessel is a benchmark problem used to analyze the pressure impulse propagation in a FSI system. It is related to the blood flow through an artery and it has been used for validating the performance of FSI solvers [13, 2, 3]. Considering an axi-symmetric vessel behavior, we can approximate the vessel structure and fluid using the model proposed in this paper with equal number of masses and incompressible fluid sections, i.e., n = N. The structure parameters are approximated using the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_i^* &= L_s / N, \forall i & q_{0i} = r_s, \forall i & m_i = 2\pi \rho_s r_s h_s \ell_i^*, \forall i \\ k_i &= \beta_1 \lambda_m \frac{\ell_i^* h_s}{\pi r_s}, \forall i & k_{ci} = \beta_2 \mu_m \frac{\pi r_s h_s}{\ell_s^*}, \forall i & d_i = \zeta \sqrt{m_i k_i}, \forall i \end{aligned}$$

where r_s and L_s are the vessel radius and length, respectively, ρ_s and h_s are the density and thickness of the vessel wall (as shown in Figure 6), λ_m and μ_m are the Lamé coefficients, and β_1 and β_2 are dimensionless factors. The damping parameters are empirically adjusted. For the fluid we consider $A_i = \pi r_s q_i$, $V_i^* = A_i \ell_i^*$ and $\kappa = \rho_0 V_i^* 10^{-3}$.

First we use the parameters proposed in Section 5.1 of [13] where $L_s = 0.05$ m, $h_s = 0.001$ m, $\rho_s = 1.2 \times 10^3$ kg/m³, $\lambda_m = 17.308 \times 10^4$ Pa, $\mu_m = 11.538 \times 10^4$ Pa, and $\rho_0 = 1 \times 10^3$ kg/m³. We divide the structure into two different numbers of sections, N = 51 and N = 71, and equal numbers of incompressible sections in the fluid, i.e., matrix *C* in (58) is the identity matrix. We use $\beta_1 = 6.7$, $\beta_2 = 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$, and $\zeta = 0.4$. The parameter values are summarized in Table 1. Using the same input conditions as described in [13], i.e., $P_o = 0$ Pa, $\forall t$ and

$$P_i = \begin{cases} 1.333 \times 10^3 \text{Pa}, & 0 \le t \le 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{s} \\ 0 \text{Pa}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with a sample time of 4×10^{-5} s, we obtain the pressure wave propagation shown in Figure 7, where the structure displacements have been scaled 10 times for the sake of clarity. Note that the speed propagation and attenuation of the pressure waves are in correspondence with the results in [13, Figure 2]. However, a difference from the results in [13] is the static pressure undershoot behind the pressure pulse propagation and a negative displacement of the tube walls. Note that the distance between this undershoot and the pressure pulse increases as the pulse propagates through the tube. This behavior is consistent with the results reported in [32, Figure 6], where a 3D model of the flexible tube is studied. Regarding the negative displacement, consider the displacement at half length of the structure shown in Figure 8. This displacement shows the same pattern as the radial displacement reported in [13, Figure 3.b]. Then,

the main difference with respect to the results reported in [13] is given by the displacement at both ends of the tube. This is due to the fact that in [13] the tube is fixed at both ends, restricting the motion of the tube near these locations. In this work we do not implement this restriction, allowing greater displacement at the ends of the tube, as shown in Figure 7.a.



Figure 7: Static pressure (Pa) distribution, \mathbf{p}_1^{ρ} , along the tube and scaled structure displacements for different time instants and two different number of sections.



Figure 8: Displacement of the wall in the half length point of the structure (Displacements \bar{q}_{26} and \bar{q}_{36} for N = 51 and N = 71, respectively).

Now, we consider the parameters used in [3] where $L_s = 0.06$ m, $h_s = 0.001$ m, $\rho_s = 1.1 \times 10^3$ kg/m³, $\lambda_m = 17 \times 10^4$ Pa, $\mu_m = 5.75 \times 10^4$ Pa, and $\rho_0 = 1 \times 10^3$ kg/m³. The parameters are obtained using N = 71, $\beta_1 = 1.1$, $\beta_2 = 8.5 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\zeta = 0.8$ (see Table 1 for details). We define the input pressure pulse similarly as in [2, 3], i.e., $P_o = 0$ Pa and

$$P_i = \begin{cases} 666.5 \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{6.28t}{0.003}\right)\right) \text{Pa}, & 0 \le t \le 0.003\text{s} \\ 0 \text{Pa}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Figure 9 shows the pressure distribution and structure displacement for 3 different time instants. The pressure distributions shown in Figure 9.a at time instants 4×10^{-3} s, 8×10^{-3} s and 12×10^{-3} s are in correspondence with the results reported in [2, Figure 8] and [3, Figure 8] at h = 0.01. Similarly, the shape of the wall displacements shown in Figure 9.b are consistent with the results reported for the fluid-structure interface displacement in [2, Figure 9] and [3, Figure 7] at h = 0.01. However, a difference between our results and those shown in [2, 3] is given by the negative displacement at the left-end side of the tube in the first instants of the simulation. As we have commented above, this difference is due to the fact we do not constraint the motion at the ends of the tube, allowing a free motion of the wall.



Figure 9: Simulation results for flexible vessel using the material parameter of [2] at instants 4×10^{-3} s, 8×10^{-3} s and 12×10^{-3} s. (a) Static pressure distribution, \mathbf{p}_{1}^{ρ} , along the tube. (b) Wall displacement distribution, $\mathbf{\bar{q}}_{s}$, along the tube.

7. Conclusion

A scalable approach for the modeling of 1D incompressible fluid interactions with flexible structures has been proposed. Describing the mechanical structure by sets of mass-spring-damper systems and relaxing the incompressibility hypothesis a simple and scalable coupled fluid-mechanical port-Hamiltonian model has been derived. The fluid and the mechanical structure are coupled through a power-preserving interconnection between the velocities and forces in the contact areas of the two systems. Instrumental for the construction of the model is the definition of compressible nodes which allow the total pressure coupling between incompressible fluid sections. Numerical results show that the density variations in the nodes are sufficiently small to consider the fluid incompressible. A flexible vessel model has been studied and the results obtained show pressure wave propagation in the flow and a structure motion in correspondence with previous works based on finite-element models. Since the proposed model is a port-Hamiltonian system it can be exploited for control purposes or to interconnect with other fluid-mechanical systems.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the European Commision Marie Skodowska-Curie Fellowship, ConFlex ITN Network and by the INFIDHEM project under the reference codes 765579 and ANR-16-CE92-0028 respectively, also by CONICYT through grands CONICYT-PFCHA/Bec. Doc. Nac./2017-21170472, FONDECYT 1181090, FONDE-CYT 1191544 and BASAL FB0008, and UTFSM PIIC scholarship 013/2018.

Appendix. Notation Table

General Notation						
Symbol	Description	Symbol	Description			
0	Zero matrix of appropriate dimensions	Ι	Identity matrix of appropriate dimensions			
∇f	Gradient of f	$\nabla \cdot (f)$	Divergence of f			
$ abla^2 f$	Laplacian of f	∂_t	Partial derivative with respect to time			
∂_x	Partial derivative with respect to x	ż	Time derivative of <i>x</i>			
N_s	Number of structure sections	N	Number of incompressible flow sections			
Structure sub-System						
Symbol	Description	Symbol	Description			
m_i, π_i	Mass and momentum of the	k_i, d_i	Spring and damper coefficients in the			
	<i>i</i> -th structure section		<i>i</i> -th structure section			
k_{ci}, d_{ci}	<i>i</i> -th coupling spring and damper coefficients	$ar{q}_i$	Displacement of <i>i</i> -th structure section			
π_s	Set of structure momenta	$\bar{\mathbf{q}}_s$	Set of structure displacement			
v_{ci}	Velocity of the <i>i</i> -th structure section.	H_s	Total energy of the structure			

Fluid sub-System

A_{ci}	Fluid-structure contact area in the <i>i</i> -th	v_i	Average longitudinal velocity in the <i>i</i> -th
	incompressible flow section		incompressible flow section
λ_i	Loss factor of the <i>i</i> -th incompressible flow	V_i	Volume of the <i>i</i> -th incompressible flow
	section		section
A_{nj}	Fluid-structure contact area in the <i>j</i> -th node	\bar{V}_i	Volume of the <i>j</i> -th node
$ ho_0$	Reference fluid density	ρ_j	Density in the <i>j</i> -th node
$p_i^{\rho}, p_{di}^{\rho}$	Static and dynamic pressures in the <i>j</i> -th node	π_{zi}	Average momentum of the <i>i</i> -th
<i>jj</i>			incompressible flow section
π_z	Set of fluid momenta	ρ	Set of node densities
β_S	Bulk modulus of the fluid	К	Mass of nodes
P_i	Total pressure in the inlet fluid boundary	P_o	Total pressure in the outlet fluid boundary
Q_i	Flow in the inlet fluid boundary	Q_o	Flow in the outlet fluid boundary

References

- H.-J. Bungartz, M. Schäfer (Eds.), Fluid-Structure Interaction: Modelling, Simulation, Optimisation, volume 53 of *Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006. doi:10.1007/3-540-34596-5.
- [2] M. Bukač, S. Čanić, R. Glowinski, B. Muha, A. Quaini, A modular, operator-splitting scheme for fluid-structure interaction problems with thick structures, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids 74 (2014) 577–604.
- [3] M. Bukač, S. Čanić, B. Muha, A partitioned scheme for fluidcomposite structure interaction problems, Journal of Computational Physics 281 (2015) 493–517.
- [4] A. R. Ghigo, J. M. Fullana, P. Y. Lagrée, A 2D nonlinear multiring model for blood flow in large elastic arteries, Journal of Computational Physics 350 (2017) 136–165.
- [5] F. Chouly, A. Van Hirtum, P. Y. Lagrée, X. Pelorson, Y. Payan, Numerical and experimental study of expiratory flow in the case of major upper airway obstructions with fluid-structure interaction, Journal of Fluids and Structures 24 (2008) 250–269.
- [6] M. R. Rasani, K. Inthavong, J. Y. Tu, Three-dimensional fluid-structure interaction modeling of expiratory flow in the pharyngeal airway, IFMBE Proceedings 35 IFMBE (2011) 467–471.
- [7] S. L. Thomson, L. Mongeau, S. H. Frankel, Aerodynamic transfer of energy to the vocal folds, The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 118 (2005) 1689–1700.
- [8] P. Šidlof, J. Horáček, V. Řidký, Parallel CFD simulation of flow in a 3D model of vibrating human vocal folds, Computers & Fluids 80 (2013) 290–300.
- [9] W. Jiang, X. Zheng, Q. Xue, Computational Modeling of Fluid-Structure-Acoustics Interaction during Voice Production, Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology 5 (2017).
- [10] J. Donea, A. Huerta, J.-P. Ponthot, A. Rodríguez, Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Methods, in: Encyclopedia of Computational Mechanics, volume 1: Fundamentals, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2004. doi:10. 1002/0470091355.ecm009.
- [11] K. K. L. Wong, P. Thavornpattanapong, S. C. P. Cheung, J. Tu, Numerical Stability of Partitioned Approach in Fluid-Structure Interaction for a Deformable Thin-Walled Vessel, Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine 2013 (2013) 1–10.
- [12] P. Causin, J. F. Gerbeau, F. Nobile, Added-mass effect in the design of partitioned algorithms for fluid-structure problems, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 194 (2005) 4506–4527.

- [13] A. Lozovskiy, M. A. Olshanskii, Y. V. Vassilevski, Analysis and assessment of a monolithic FSI finite element method, Computers & Fluids 179 (2019) 277–288.
- [14] G. Papadakis, Coupling 3D and 1D fluid-structure-interaction models for wave propagation in flexible vessels using a finite volume pressure-correction scheme, Communications in Numerical Methods in Engineering 25 (2009) 533–551.
- [15] V. John, Finite Element Methods for Incompressible Flow Problems, volume 51 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-45750-5.
- [16] L. A. Mora, H. Ramírez, J. I. Yuz, Y. L. Gorrec, A Scalable port-Hamiltonian Model for Incompressible Fluids in Irregular Geometries, IFAC-PapersOnLine 52 (2019) 102–107.
- [17] A. van der Schaft, B. Maschke, Hamiltonian formulation of distributed-parameter systems with boundary energy flow, Journal of Geometry and Physics 42 (2002) 166–194.
- [18] P. Kotyczka, Discretized models for networks of distributed parameter port-Hamiltonian systems, in: Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop on Multidimensional Systems (nDS13), VDE, Erlangen, Germany, 2013, pp. 63–67.
- [19] R. Altmann, P. Schulze, A port-Hamiltonian formulation of the NavierStokes equations for reactive flows, Systems & Control Letters 100 (2017) 51–55.
- [20] A. Siuka, M. Sch, K. Schlacher, Hamiltonian Evolution Equations of inductionless Magnetohydrodynamics, in: Proceedings of the 19th International Symposium on Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems (MTNS 2010), BUdapest, Hungary, 2010, pp. 1889–1896.
- [21] F. L. Cardoso-Ribeiro, D. Matignon, V. Pommier-Budinger, A port-Hamiltonian model of liquid sloshing in moving containers and application to a fluid-structure system, Journal of Fluids and Structures 69 (2017) 402– 427.
- [22] V. Duindam, A. Macchelli, S. Stramigioli, H. Bruyninckx, Modeling and Control of Complex Physical Systems, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-03196-0.
- [23] A. van der Schaft, D. Jeltsema, Port-Hamiltonian Systems Theory: An Introductory Overview, volume 1, Now Publishers, 2014. doi:10.1561/2600000002.
- [24] P. J. Olver, Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, volume 107 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer US, New York, NY, 1986. doi:10.1007/978-1-4684-0274-2.
- [25] R. B. Bird, W. E. Stewart, E. N. Lightfoot, D. J. Klingenberg, Introductory transport phenomena, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., U.S.A, 2015.
- [26] P. Gresho, R. Sani, Incompressible Flow and the Finite Element Method. AdvectionDiffusion and Isothermal Laminar Flow, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1998.
- [27] R. L. Panton, Incompressible Flow, 4th ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2013.
- [28] R. Mulley, Flow of Industrial Fluids: Theory and Equations, CRC Press, 2004.
- [29] J. Pérez-García, E. Sanmiguel-Rojas, A. Viedma, New coefficient to characterize energy losses in compressible flow at T-junctions, Applied Mathematical Modelling 34 (2010) 4289–4305.
- [30] R. Brodkey, H. Hershey, Transport Phenomena: A Unified Approach, Chemical engineering series, Brodkey Publishing, 2003.
- [31] J. W. Murdock, Fundamental Fluid Mechanics for the Practicing Engineer, volume 82 of *Mechanical Engineer*ing, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1993.
- [32] J. Degroote, R. Haelterman, S. Annerel, P. Bruggeman, J. Vierendeels, Performance of partitioned procedures in fluid-structure interaction, Computers and Structures 88 (2010) 446–457.