

# Laser spectroscopy of hot atomic vapours: from 'scope to theoretical fit

D. Pizzey, J. D. Briscoe, F. D. Logue, F. S. Ponciano-Ojeda\*,  
S. A. Wrathmall & I. G. Hughes

*Physics Department, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom.*

*\*Time & Frequency Department, FEMTO-ST, 26 rue de l'Épitaphe, Besançon 25000, France.*

E-mail: [i.g.hughes@durham.ac.uk](mailto:i.g.hughes@durham.ac.uk)

13 March 2023

**Abstract.** The spectroscopy of hot atomic vapours is a hot topic. Many of the work-horse techniques of contemporary atomic physics were first demonstrated in hot vapours. Alkali-metal atomic vapours are ideal media for quantum-optics experiments as they combine: a large resonant optical depth; long coherence times; and well-understood atom-atom interactions. These features aid with the simplicity of both the experimental set up and the theoretical framework. The topic attracts much attention as these systems are ideal for studying both fundamental physics and has numerous applications, especially in sensing electromagnetic fields and quantum technology. This tutorial reviews the necessary theory to understand the Doppler broadened absorption spectroscopy of alkali-metal atoms, and explains the data taking and processing necessary to compare theory and experiment. The aim is to provide a gentle introduction to novice scientists starting their studies of the spectroscopy of thermal vapours whilst also calling attention to the application of these ideas in the contemporary literature. In addition, the work of expert practitioners in the field is highlighted, explaining the relevance of three extensively-used software packages that complement the presentation herein.

An atomic vapour—the gas phase that co-exists in equilibrium with solid metal—is an ideal resource for spectroscopic studies, with investigations spanning the range from fundamental physics to the applied. Many of the work-horse techniques of contemporary atomic physics experiments were first demonstrated in hot vapours, such as: coherent population trapping (CPT) [1]; electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) [2]; and slow light [3]. Important experimental breakthroughs were also demonstrated with hot vapours, such as: the demonstration of quantum memory for light [4, 5, 6]; continuous-variable entanglement [7]; quantum metrology with nonclassical states of atomic ensembles [8]; realising fluids of light [9, 10]; deterministic quantum teleportation between distant atomic objects [11]; orbital angular momentum transfer [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]; coherent frequency up-conversion [17, 18]; an atomic compass [19, 20]; and photon diffusion [21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

A prominent fraction of the research conducted is with vapours of alkali metals—for reasons explained below—and laser spectroscopy of these atomic ensembles is the subject of this Tutorial. Alkali-metal atomic vapours are ideal media for atomic physics experiments as they combine: (i) a large resonant optical depth; (ii) long coherence times; (iii) well-understood atom-atom interactions. These features aid with the simplicity of both the experimental set up and the theoretical framework.

Atomic vapour cells find great utility in numerous applications, particularly with regard to sensing of electromagnetic fields. For magnetic fields applications range from fundamental physics [26, 27] to the applied (non-invasive magnetometry of livestock [28]). Atomic spectroscopy in the presence of external fields has become an area of wide interest, with sensors developed for magnetic [29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]; electric [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41]; microwave [42, 43, 44, 45, 46]; and THz fields [47, 48, 49, 50].

The detailed understanding of atom-light interactions in hot vapours has facilitated the development of exquisitely sensitive sensors with applications spanning a range of topics: detecting explosives [51]; medical imaging of soft tissues [52, 53, 54, 55, 56]; and microfluidics [57]. In addition, the ability to make quantitative predictions of the atom-light interaction for an atomic vapour in an external magnetic field has enabled the development of numerous magneto-optical devices [58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65].

The scope of this Tutorial is to present a model that allow us to calculate the absolute susceptibility of the atomic vapour; this enables quantitative predictions in the vicinity of the D lines. ‡ The tutorial has two halves: in the first half we review the basics of Doppler-broadened spectroscopy, leading to presentation and a discussion of some exemplar absorption spectra, and then showing the work of expert practitioners in the field; in Part II we present experimental methods for data gathering, followed by data analysis, culminating in fitting the experimental spectra to the theory presented in Part I.

‡ The transitions  $n^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow n^2P_{1/2}$  and  $n^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow n^2P_{3/2}$ , where  $n$  is the principal quantum number of the valence electron, are referred to as the  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  transitions, respectively, for alkali-metal atoms.

## Part I: Generating theoretical spectra for hot atomic vapours

### 1 Calculating the absorption coefficient of a Doppler-broadened medium

#### 1.1 Electric susceptibility

We model our dilute atomic vapour as a dielectric material. The optical response when probed by monochromatic light of angular frequency  $\omega$  is encapsulated by the electric susceptibility,  $\chi(\omega)$ . We shall calculate the response of a single atom subject to a monochromatic field, and then find the field downstream of a slab of randomly distributed atoms. The propagation of light through a cell of length  $\ell$  can then be calculated by propagating the field from one slab to a neighbouring one.

*1.1.1 Fundamental concepts* The fundamental question we are addressing is the following: What is the field downstream of an atom that is situated in a plane monochromatic laser of angular frequency  $\omega$ ? The field has two components: the original incident wave, and the field radiated by the atom as a consequence of being immersed in the incident field. The radiated field will be a function of the detuning,  $\Delta$ , where  $\Delta = \omega - \omega_0$  is the difference between the laser's (angular) frequency and the resonance frequency  $\omega_0$ . The physics involved in this problem is relatively straightforward—it is that of induced dipoles. The frequency-dependent polarisability,  $\mathcal{P}(\Delta)$ , is the constant of proportionality between the induced dipole moment and the incident field. From our knowledge of classical resonator theory, we expect the induced field to be in phase with the driving field for frequencies below resonance, to be  $\pi/2$  out of phase on resonance, and  $\pi$  out of phase when driving above resonance. The frequency width of the crossover between in and out of phase is characterised by  $\Gamma$ , the width of the resonance. Semi-classical quantum theory [66]—where the atom is treated quantum mechanically, and the light field classically—can be applied to solve exactly for the polarisability of a single two level atom [67], yielding

$$\mathcal{P}(\Delta) = -\frac{(c_{m_F}d)^2}{\hbar} \frac{1}{\Delta + i\Gamma/2}. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\Gamma$  is the radiative decay rate of the excited state and the width of the resonance. The dipole moment of the atom is  $c_{m_F}d$ , where  $d$  is the reduced matrix element and  $c_{m_F}$  is a coefficient dependent on initial and final states. Details on how to calculate the atom's dipole moment are in Section (1.6). To derive this result, a weak field was assumed—such that most of the population remains in the ground state of the atom. As expected, we find a complex polarisability: the radiated field changes both magnitude and phase with respect to the driving field in the vicinity of resonance. Having derived the polarisability of a single atom, we now move on to calculate the optical properties of a medium comprising an ensemble of atoms.

*1.1.2 Relating microscopic to macroscopic properties of the medium* For a thin slice of medium with many atoms, with number density  $N$ , the results of Fresnel near-field

diffraction can be used to sum the total field downstream of the slab [67]. Two important results emerge: (i) the sum of the fields of the individual atomic dipoles has the same functional form as for a single atom, but there is an addition factor of  $i$  which represents a phase lag of  $\pi/2$ . As a consequence, the field radiated by the atoms is exactly out of phase with the incident driving field on resonance, causing maximum extinction of the light, and (ii) the field from the sum of dipoles is proportional to the number density of atoms. We formally define the susceptibility as the dimensionless ratio

$$\chi(\Delta) = \frac{N\mathcal{P}(\Delta)}{\epsilon_0}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\epsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free space.

The susceptibility is related to the refractive index,  $n$ , via the equation  $n = \sqrt{1 + \chi}$ . As atomic vapours are tenuous, the magnitude of the refractive index is much less than one and therefore  $n \approx 1 + \chi/2$ . The real part of  $\chi$ , and hence  $n$ , represents the slowing down of light and dispersive properties of the medium; the imaginary part of  $\chi$  is a measure of the extinction (or absorption) of the light [68].

Assuming that the intensity of the light is not too strong, the extinction of the light as it traverses a medium is encapsulated in the Beer-Lambert equation [67]

$$I = I_0 \exp(-\alpha\ell) = I_0 \exp(-N\sigma\ell), \quad (3)$$

where  $I$  is the intensity of the light after propagating a distance  $\ell$  in the medium;  $I_0$  is the incident intensity; and the absorption coefficient  $\alpha$  is related to the imaginary part of the susceptibility,  $\chi_I$ , by the relation  $\alpha = 2\pi\chi_I/\lambda$ , with  $\lambda$  the wavelength. In an experiment the quantity typically measured is the transmission,  $\mathcal{T}$ , defined as  $\mathcal{T} = I(\ell)/I_0$ . In equation (3) we have also introduced the atomic absorption cross section,  $\sigma$ , which is an alternative way to represent the absorption. Calculating the frequency dependence of the cross section for a vapour of alkali-metal atoms will enable us to predict the absorption spectrum. The product  $N\sigma\ell$  is known as the optical depth of the medium; high extinction is achieved with media of optical depth greater than one.


## 1.2 Atomic absorption cross section

**1.2.1 Radiative broadening** Using the results from the last section allows us to predict the lineshape for the absorption cross section for a stationary two-level atom; it takes the form of a Lorentzian as a function of detuning, given by

$$\sigma(\Delta) = \sigma_0 \frac{\Gamma^2}{4\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\sigma_0$  is the peak absorption cross section [69], and  $\Gamma$  is the rate of spontaneous emission from the excited state. For a two-level atom with pure radiative broadening  $\sigma_0 = 3\lambda_0^2/2\pi$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is the resonant wavelength [70]. Equation (4) confirms the assertion made earlier that  $\Gamma$  is the width of the resonance. We note that it is possible to include power broadening in this formalism [66]. The Lorentzian lineshape is retained, but with a broader width. High-intensity effects are beyond the scope of this Tutorial, and we shall restrict our attention to the weak-excitation regime (see section 1.8).

**1.2.2 Number density and cell-length dependence** For many experiments the optical depth is the quantity which best parameterises the atom-light interaction. The desire to fabricate compact devices demands a concomitant increase in the absorption coefficient, realised by increasing the atomic density. The vapour pressure for alkali-metal atoms shows near exponential sensitivity to temperature, see figure (1). One of the strongest motivations for using Rb and Cs as systems for studying atomic physics and quantum optics is their large number density at room temperature, thus it is quite straightforward to achieve large resonant optical depth (see Section (1.10)). By contrast, most experiments conducted with K and Na vapours use heated cells. Figure (1) also shows the temperature change needed for each of the atoms in order to achieve a desired increase in number density. Therefore, for example, for Rb at room temperature a tripling of the number density is achieved for an increase of temperature of a modest 10°C. For all the relevant species, an order of magnitude increase in number density can be achieved by an increase of temperature in the range 20 – 40°C, depending on the initial temperature and species.



**Figure 1.** Right: vapour pressure curves for the alkali-metal atoms Rb, Na, K and Cs. Note the logarithmic scale on the ordinate. Left: the temperature change needed,  $\Delta T$ , to achieve a specific increase in number density,  $N(T + \Delta T)/N(T)$ , for a range of initial temperatures,  $T$ . Large scale factors (an order of magnitude increase) are achieved for modest increases of temperature, of  $\sim 30^\circ\text{C}$ .

Although cell lengths of 1 m [71] have been used for studying optical pulse

propagation in hot vapours<sup>§</sup>, the vast majority of experiments are performed with cells that are between a few mm and up to 10 cm in length; the theory presented in this half of the Tutorial is relevant to the experimental results presented in the second half, collected with cells a few cm in length.

For elements with high melting-points achieving high optical depth in vapour cells can be difficult, and hollow cathode lamps are frequently used to generate sufficient atomic density [75, 76, 77]. Hollow cathode lamps can also be used to generate high alkali-metal atomic density [78, 79].

The study of confinement of atoms and light on the micro- and nanoscales is burgeoning, with motivation ranging from fundamental physics [80, 81, 82]; to miniature vapour-cell atomic-frequency references and devices [83, 84, 85, 86, 87]. Different fabrication techniques for constructing vapour cells for alkali-metal atoms are being developed [88, 89, 90, 91, 92]. Particularly noteworthy are the nanometric thin cells developed at the Institute For Physical Research, Armenia; these cells have been used to study a wide range of fundamental physics [93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99] and have sensing applications [100, 101, 102, 103]. The spectra of atoms confined to thin cells is modified with respect to bulk cells, with interactions of atoms with the walls and cavity effects being dominant. As a consequence the spectral profiles recorded are greatly modified, and require more elaborate modelling to explain the transmission profiles [104, 105, 106]; consequently, a comprehensive review of the spectroscopic studies of nanometric thin cells is beyond the scope of this Tutorial.

*1.2.3 Pressure broadening* The phenomenon of pressure broadening refers to the shortening of the excited-state lifetime—and concomitant broadening of the spectral width of the resonance—as a consequence of collisions which perturb the emission of radiation by the atoms in the vapour. A modification of  $\Gamma$  is easily incorporated into the cross section via equation (4).

There are two relevant mechanisms of pressure broadening for an alkali-metal vapour: atom-buffer gas collision, and pairs of alkali-metal atoms colliding. Typically an alkali-metal vapour cell has a finite amount of inert buffer gas. One motivation for adding the buffer gas is to slow down atomic diffusion, which can reduce transit-time broadening [107, 108, 109]. As was emphasised in the Introduction, the collisional properties of alkali-metal atoms are very well understood and characterised. The shift and broadening properties of alkali-metal collisions with inert gases have been studied extensively [110].

For alkali-metal atoms it is possible to include dipole-dipole interactions that preserve the Lorentzian lineshape, with a number-density dependent width,  $\Gamma_N = \Gamma +$

<sup>§</sup> One of the earliest reports of the spectral properties of fluids and vapours is from 1815 by Biot [72]. At the time, studying the phenomenon of optical activity played a key role in the development of wave optics [73]. A 30 m long iron tube with glass ends proved useful to investigate light rotation in turpentine vapour; alas, the tube was overheated, exploded and set fire to the church in which it was located [74].

$\beta N$ . For the D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> transitions the coefficients  $\beta$  are given by [110, 111]

$$\beta_1 = 2\pi \times \Gamma_1 \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{2\pi} \right)^3, \quad (5)$$

$$\beta_2 = 2\pi \times \sqrt{2} \Gamma_2 \left( \frac{\lambda_2}{2\pi} \right)^3. \quad (6)$$

Here,  $\Gamma_1$  ( $\Gamma_2$ ) and  $\lambda_1$  ( $\lambda_2$ ) are the natural linewidth and transition wavelength for the D<sub>1</sub> (D<sub>2</sub>) transition. The physical interpretation of these results is the following: a pair of alkali-metals with a separation  $\sim \lambda/2\pi$  will influence each other's radiative properties strongly via the dipole-dipole interaction; for smaller separations the self-broadening term will dominate over the natural linewidth. Excellent agreement between the theoretical predictions and experimental measurements have been found over a wide range of parameters [111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116]. Note that as discussed in Section (1.2.2) the rapid rise in number density with temperature means that dipole-dipole interactions can evolve from being negligible to the dominant Lorentzian broadening contribution for modest rises in temperature.

### 1.3 Including atomic velocity

Atoms in a vapour in thermal equilibrium have a distribution of velocity components along any particular direction that is a Gaussian [117, 118]. The probability that an atom has a  $z$ -component of velocity in the range  $v_z$  to  $v_z + dv_z$  is given by  $f(v_z) dv_z$ , with

$$f(v_z) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi k_B T}} \exp\left[-\frac{mv_z^2}{2k_B T}\right] = \frac{2}{u} \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{4 \ln 2 v_z^2}{u^2}\right]. \quad (7)$$

Here  $m$  is the mass of the atom;  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant;  $T$  is the temperature of the vapour; and the FWHM of the velocity distribution,  $u$ , is [69]  $u = 2\sqrt{2 \ln 2 k_B T/m}$ . Consider an atom with velocity component  $v_z$  along the direction of propagation of the laser beam. As a consequence of the Doppler effect there is a modification of the detuning:  $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta' = \Delta - kv_z$ . The number density of atoms having a  $z$ -component of velocity within the range  $v_z$  to  $v_z + dv_z$  is  $n(v_z) dv_z = N f(v_z) dv_z$ . The range of velocities in equation (7) leads to Doppler broadening of a spectral line.

### 1.4 Voigt lineshape

The absorption lineshape can be calculated by taking into account both homogeneous (Lorentzian) and inhomogeneous (Doppler) broadening. Incorporating the Doppler shift, the cross section of equation (4) is modified thus:

$$\sigma(\Delta, v_z) = \sigma_0 \frac{\Gamma^2}{4(\Delta - kv_z)^2 + \Gamma^2}, \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma(\Delta, v_z) = \frac{\sigma_0 \Gamma^2}{k^2 u^2} \frac{1}{4(\Delta/k - v_z/u)^2 + \Gamma^2/k^2 u^2}, \quad (9)$$

$$\sigma(\Delta, v_z) = \frac{\sigma_0 \Gamma^2}{k^2 u^2} L\left(\frac{\Delta}{ku}, \frac{v_z}{u}\right). \quad (10)$$

Here, the function  $L$  is the normalised Lorentzian lineshape. Note that it can be written in terms of a dimensionless velocity ( $v_z$  in units of the FWHM  $u$ ), and of a dimensionless detuning (detuning  $\Delta$  in units of FWHM of the Doppler shift,  $ku$ ). The absorption coefficient of the vapour is obtained by summing contributions from all the atomic velocity groups:

$$\alpha(\Delta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n(v_z) \sigma(\Delta, v_z) dv_z. \quad (11)$$

Inserting the Gaussian velocity distribution from equation (7) and the Lorentzian (10) into equation (11) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\Delta) &= \frac{N\sigma_0\Gamma^2}{2u} \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp[-4 \ln 2 v_z^2/u^2]}{(\Delta - kv_z)^2 + \Gamma^2/4} dv_z, \\ \alpha(\Delta) &= \frac{2N\sigma_0\Gamma^2}{k^2 u^2} \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{v_z}{u}\right) L\left(\frac{v_z}{u}, \frac{\Delta}{ku}\right) dv_z/u. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$


The integral in equation (12) is known as the Voigt profile [119], being the convolution of the Gaussian and Lorentzian functions. Historically, tabulated values of the Voigt function [120] were used because this integral cannot be evaluated analytically. However, efficient computer algorithms now allow the Voigt profile to be calculated quickly; as a consequence, experimental spectra are amenable to least-squares optimisation techniques [121] for parameter extraction. Various examples of Voigt profiles calculated for different but realistic Gaussian and Lorentzian widths for  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  on the  $\text{D}_2$  line are plotted in figure (2).

In many experiments the Gaussian width is approximately two orders of magnitude wider than the Lorentzian. In the limit that the Lorentzian can be approximated as a delta-function, it is a well-know mathematical property of convolution integrals that the narrow distribution 'picks out' the broad distribution; in this regime, the Voigt lineshape reverts to the Gaussian in the vicinity of line centre. However, the approximation that the convolution of a broad Gaussian and a narrow Lorentzian can always be reduced to a Gaussian is not universally valid, and this can be particularly important in velocity-selection experiments [122], and when studying slow-light phenomena with hot vapours in the wings of the spectral line [123, 124].

It should be noted that the Voigt profile provides an excellent fit to experimentally measured spectra for a wide range of conditions; however, departures from the Voigt lineshape are known and have been studied, and are particularly relevant in the field of Doppler-broadened thermometry [125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131].

### 1.5 Multiple transitions and their frequencies

So far, we have assumed that there is a single isolated atomic resonance. Alkali-metal atoms have got fine and hyperfine structure, therefore their spectra are more complicated. However, it is easy to modify the theory developed above for the case of



**Figure 2.** Examples of three Doppler-broadened lineshapes for  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  on the  $\text{D}_2$  line for three temperatures (note how insensitive the width is to these changes in temperature), and three Lorentzian lineshapes corresponding to natural broadening for the  $^{87}\text{Rb}$   $\text{D}_2$  line. Voigt profiles for various combinations of Gaussian and Lorentzian are plotted. All of the line profiles in this figure have been plotted with the same peak value.

multiple transitions. The location of the hyperfine-resolved transitions for the alkali-metal atoms is very well known [132]. The susceptibility is calculated for each transition, with a linestrength as described in the next sub-section. If the  $i^{\text{th}}$  transition has a detuning of  $\Delta_i$  with respect to the line centre, then the total susceptibility as a function of a given global frequency detuning  $\Delta$  is simply the sum over all transitions [133]:

$$\chi(\Delta) = \sum_i \chi_i(\Delta - \Delta_i) . \quad (13)$$

Zero detuning is typically chosen to be the weighted centre of the line [134].

### 1.6 Relative linestrengths

The strength of the interaction between an atom and near-resonant electromagnetic radiation is encapsulated by the resonant cross section, which is proportional to a quantity known as the dipole matrix element. For a transition between states  $|F, m_F\rangle$  and  $|F', m_{F'}\rangle$  the dipole matrix element is  $\langle F, m_F | er_q | F', m_{F'} \rangle$ . Here,  $e$  is the charge of the electron, and  $er_q$  is a component of the dipole operator in the spherical basis (see equation (5.17) in [119]). We can factor out the angular dependence and use the so-called reduced matrix element [135]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, m_F | er_q | F', m_{F'} \rangle &= (-1)^{2F'+\mathcal{I}+J+J'+L+S+m_F+1} \langle L || \mathbf{er} || L' \rangle \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{(2F+1)(2F'+1)(2J+1)(2J'+1)(2L+1)} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} F' & 1 & F \\ m_{F'} & -q & -m_F \end{pmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} J & J' & 1 \\ F' & F & \mathcal{I} \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} L & L' & 1 \\ J' & J & S \end{Bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Here  $F, \mathcal{I}, J, L, S$ , and  $m_F$  are the angular momentum quantum numbers;  $\mathcal{I}$  is the nuclear spin for the particular isotope;  $S$ , the electron spin, is  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Primed variables relate to the excited state.  $q$  is associated with different basis vectors of the polarisation of the light field, and determines the change in magnetic quantum number during a transition; the notation used is  $\sigma^+$  transitions for the case  $q \equiv \Delta m_F \equiv m_{F'} - m_F = 1$ ,  $\sigma^-$  transitions when  $\Delta m_F = -1$ , and  $\pi$  transitions for  $\Delta m_F = 0$ . Using the notation introduced by Wigner this formula contains so-called  $3-j$  and  $6-j$  symbols. The  $3-j$  symbol is the term contained in the large round brackets, and the  $6-j$  in curly brackets.  $\langle L || \mathbf{er} || L' \rangle$  is the reduced matrix element, and can be expressed in terms of the wavelength of the transition,  $\lambda$ , and the decay rate of the excited state,  $\Gamma$ . By calculating the Wigner coefficients and prefactors, equation (14) reduces to

$$\langle F, m_F | er_q | F', m_{F'} \rangle = c_{m_F} \langle L || \mathbf{er} || L' \rangle \equiv c_{m_F} d. \quad (15)$$

Each individual transition has a dipole moment that is the product of the coefficient  $c_{m_F}$  that is dependent on the initial and final states, and the linestrength is proportional to the square of the transition matrix element,  $c_{m_F}^2 d^2$ . Historically, look-up tables were used to evaluate the relevant terms in equation (14) to calculate the coefficients in equation (15); nowadays there exist numerous computer packages, often with graphical user interfaces, to calculate the coefficients. There is not much physical insight to be gained by studying equation (14), with the subtleties of the atom-light interactions and the role of the polarisation of the light being obfuscated by the notation. In Appendix A we provide a different approach to calculating relative linestrengths, by explicitly performing the decoupling of angular momentum in the uncoupled basis; more physical insight is gleaned from this alternative method of calculation (at the expense of the calculations taking longer).

### 1.7 Absolute absorption coefficient

The absolute value of the reduced matrix element,  $d$ , can be calculated using the expression for the excited state decay rate [68, 134]

$$\Gamma = \frac{\omega_0^3}{3\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c^3} \frac{2J+1}{2J'+1} |\langle J||e\mathbf{r}||J'\rangle|^2. \quad (16)$$

The reduced matrix element with  $J$  as the relevant quantum number  $\langle J||e\mathbf{r}||J'\rangle$  can be written in terms of another reduced matrix element with  $L$  as the quantum number:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J||e\mathbf{r}||J'\rangle &= (-1)^{J'+L+S+1} \langle L||e\mathbf{r}||L'\rangle \\ &\times \sqrt{(2J'+1)(2L+1)} \begin{Bmatrix} L & L' & 1 \\ J' & J & S \end{Bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

To obtain a value from experimental measurements, equation (17) can be evaluated. For example, for the D<sub>2</sub> line we obtain

$$\langle J = 1/2||e\mathbf{r}||J' = 3/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \langle L = 0||e\mathbf{r}||L' = 1\rangle. \quad (18)$$

Substituting (18) into (16) and rearranging,

$$d = \langle L = 0||e\mathbf{r}||L' = 1\rangle = \sqrt{3} \sqrt{\frac{3\epsilon_0\hbar\Gamma\lambda^3}{8\pi^2}}. \quad (19)$$

Tables B.4 and B.5 in [133], for example, list the wavelength and natural linewidth for the D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> transitions, respectively, for the alkali metals Na, K, Rb and Cs. Using equation (19) for the absolute matrix element, and equation (15) for the relative linestrengths allows us to calculate the absorption spectrum for all of the components in both D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> transitions using the total susceptibility of equation (13).

### 1.8 Weak-probe limit (and beyond)

The theoretical treatment presented above assumes that we are in the weak-probe limit, such that the presence of the light does not cause significant population redistribution. For each atomic transition there is a so-called saturation intensity,  $I_{\text{SAT}}$  [70]. For a stationary atom in a beam with that intensity a quarter of the population would be promoted into the excited state. Therefore, conventionally, the inequality  $I < I_{\text{SAT}}$  defines the weak-probe limit. However, for spectroscopy with alkali-metal atoms the situation is more subtle than for the simple two-level atom approach. The new phenomenon that has to be taken into account is optical (or hyperfine) pumping; an atom excited on the principal resonance D<sub>1</sub> and 4 of the 6 dipole allowed transitions of the D<sub>2</sub> line|| can either de-excite by returning to the original  $F$  state in the  $^2\text{S}_{1/2}$  term,

|| The exceptions are the transitions  $^2\text{S}_{1/2} F = \mathcal{I} + 1/2 \rightarrow ^2\text{P}_{3/2} F' = F + 1$ , and  $^2\text{S}_{1/2} F = \mathcal{I} - 1/2 \rightarrow ^2\text{P}_{3/2} F' = F - 1$ . These are closed transitions, with the selection rule  $\Delta F = \pm 1, 0$  preventing hyperfine pumping into the other  $F$  state in the term  $^2\text{S}_{1/2}$ .

or can fall into the other  $F$  state—this is the process of hyperfine pumping. As the latter is a few GHz off resonance with transitions from the former, these atoms are removed from the system, and diminish the atom-light interaction. A more stringent requirement for the laser intensity is therefore obtained, specifically that the probability of hyperfine pumping to occur when the atom is in the laser beam has to be much smaller than 1. For typical beam widths used in experiments, this can lead to intensities of the order of  $I \leq 10^{-3} I_{\text{SAT}}$  being necessary to gain access to the weak-probe regime [136, 137].

A model that works beyond the weak-probe limit should therefore take into account both the width of the laser beam, and the beam shape, in order to take into account the spatially varying intensity [138, 139, 140, 141, 142]. Power-dependent corrections to the Voigt lineshape have been introduced [143, 144, 145]. Progress has also been made in developing theoretical models incorporating spatially dependent absorption in a thermal vapour of arbitrary optical thickness [146, 147, 148, 149, 150].

Note that all of the experimental results presented in the second half of this Tutorial were obtained in the weak-probe limit.

### 1.9 Adding an external magnetic field

There are many ways to calculate the Zeeman shift on alkali-metal atomic spectra; i.e. the modification induced by an external magnetic field. Broadly the approaches divide into two camps: (i) finding analytic results relevant within some constraints and approximations; and (ii) adding a Zeeman term to the atomic Hamiltonian, and using numerical techniques for matrix diagonalisation to obtain the eigenenergies and frequencies. An example of the latter is the open-source code *ElecSus* [133, 151] which uses the uncoupled basis and populates the Hamiltonian matrix with the hyperfine structure and Zeeman interaction. Examples of using the uncoupled basis for calculating atom-light interaction are provided in Appendix A. An excellent overview of the analytic results for certain regimes is provided by Bransden & Joachain [152]. Briefly, weak fields are defined such that the Zeeman interaction is weaker than all hyperfine ones. In this regime  $(F, m_F)$  are good quantum numbers in ground  $^2S_{1/2}$  and excited  $^2P_{1/2,3/2}$  terms; there are simple analytic formulae for shifts of energy levels, linearly proportional to the magnetic field strength,  $B$ ; consequently it is easy to calculate the Zeeman shift of the transition. Magnetometry with these magnitudes of fields is typically done by measuring the Larmor precession frequency [153, 29]. Alkali-metal atoms are ideal for these devices because: it is easy to optically pump the sample, producing large signals; there are well understood interactions among the alkali atoms and buffer gases; the large number density of Cs and Rb in particular means that room-temperature operation is feasible. For modest fields:  $(F, m_F)$  are still good quantum numbers for the ground state, but it is better to use  $m_J$  and  $m_I$  in the excited state. This regime is achieved when the Zeeman interaction is comparable to the excited state hyperfine interaction. It is more awkward to find analytic results in this regime owing to the difference in suitable quantum numbers. In the hyperfine Paschen Back (HPB) regime the analysis

becomes simpler again, with simple analytic results for transition shifts. Access is gained to the HPB regime when the Zeeman shift exceeds the ground state hyperfine interaction. A convenient way to visualise this is via the Breit-Rabi diagram [154, 155] which encapsulates the (non-linear) evolution of the energy level energies as a function of applied magnetic field. An estimate of the field needed is  $B_{\text{HPB}} = A_{\text{hf}}/\mu_{\text{B}}$ , where  $A_{\text{hf}}$  is the magnetic dipole constant for the ground term, and  $\mu_{\text{B}}$  is the Bohr magneton. (Typical values for  $B_{\text{HPB}}$  are: Na 633 G,  $^{39}\text{K}$  165 G,  $^{85}\text{Rb}$  723 G,  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  2441 G, Cs 1642 G [156]). For the heavier alkali-metal atoms in the HPB regime the separation of the lines from the Zeeman interaction also exceeds the Doppler width, therefore clean isolated atomic resonances are observed. Numerous experimental studies have been performed in the HPB regime [157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168]; in contrast to the experiments at lower fields the Larmor precession frequency is too high for conventional electronic systems, and obtaining the absorption spectrum of the atoms is a more direct way of measuring the magnetic field. Spectroscopic studies of the Zeeman splitting with alkali-metal atoms has been used to observe field strengths of the order of tens/hundreds of Tesla [169, 170, 171]. With the development of non-destructive techniques for producing such large fields, spectroscopy in pulsed magnetic fields up to 58 T has been performed with alkali-metal atomic vapour sensors [172, 173]. It is noteworthy that in a large magnetic field a great simplification of the energy levels occurs in the HPB regime. This allows for the generation of ideal two-, three- and four-level systems, with concomitant clean spectra, with minimal line overlap. Also, the greatly simplified internal level structure facilitates the theoretical modelling of the system. For example, experiments conducted in atomic Rb subject to a strong magnetic field of 0.6 T generated with permanent magnets allowed for the textbook demonstration of V-EIT [174]; ladder-EIT [175]; electromagnetically induced absorption [176]; four-wave mixing [32]; and heralded single-photon generation [177]. The increased application of alkali-metal atom spectroscopy in fields of the order of a Tesla has motivated studies of generating large magnetic fields that are uniform over the vapour cell [178, 179].

For the Paschen-Back regime [152, 155] to be achieved the magnetic field must be sufficiently large to be comparable to the fine structure splitting between the  $^2\text{P}_{1/2}$  and  $^2\text{P}_{3/2}$  terms. Some studies with Na at 50 T were reported [180], but there is a dearth of measurements with the heavier alkali-metal atoms because of the prohibitively large value of the magnetic field needed [31].

*1.9.1 Stokes parameters* The main thrust of this Tutorial is to explain the form of the Doppler-broadened absorption spectrum for alkali-metal atoms. To this end we developed a model that allowed us to calculate the complex susceptibility of the medium, and used the imaginary part to calculate the extinction of light as it traverses the cell. This model also allows us to calculate the real part of the susceptibility, and hence refractive index. This tells us about the phase a plane wave picks up on traversing the cell. When a magnetic field is applied the medium has two distinct eigenmodes, each of which picks up a different phase. This can lead to an evolution of the polarisation

of the light as it passes through the cell. For the absorption spectrum a single detector after the cell is used to measure the transmission spectrum (see details in Part II of this Tutorial); it is possible to add a second detector, and a polarising beamsplitter. The polarisation state of the transmitted light can be characterised by pairs of measurements of the intensity in different sets of orthogonal polarisation bases; these are processed to calculate the Stokes parameters [181, 182, 183]. Of most importance to this tutorial is the Stokes parameter  $S_0$ , which is equal to  $\mathcal{T}$ , see Section (1.1.2). As the evolution of the polarisation state of the light as it traverses the cell depends both on the real and imaginary components of the susceptibility, measuring the Stokes parameters provides a stringent test of the theoretical model and has been tested with cw [184, 168, 185] and pulsed light propagation [124] in hot atomic vapours.

*1.9.2 Faraday, Voigt and unconstrained geometries* The spectrum of the light transmitted through a vapour cell subject to an external magnetic field is dependent on the relative orientation of the field and the  $k$ -vector of the light. There are two special geometric cases, named the Faraday [186] and Voigt [187] effect; these are defined as when the magnetic field is parallel or perpendicular to the light propagation axis (the  $k$ -vector), respectively. For these cases the wave equation for propagation has simple solutions with well defined eigenstates of polarisation. The Faraday geometry is used frequently, not least because of its utility in constructing optical isolators—diodes that allow light to propagate only in one direction [188, 189]. There are many studies of the Voigt effect in atomic vapours [168, 190, 167, 191, 192]. The general case with arbitrary angle between the magnetic field and the axis of propagation is more difficult to treat mathematically, and there are far fewer experimental studies of this case [193, 194]. Nevertheless, there has been a recent burgeoning of interest in this geometry, as it offers the perspective of realising better atomic line filters [63, 65], as discussed in the next Section.

*1.9.3 Narrowband atomic line filters* Using a theoretical understanding of the magneto-optical properties of alkali-metal atom hot vapours allows narrowband line filters to be realised. The idea is to place a vapour cell between crossed polarisers; unless there is optical rotation of the plane of polarisation there will be no transmission. Optical rotation arises as a difference in the speed of light for the left and right-hand circularly polarised light components in the medium, induced (typically) by an axial magnetic field (the Faraday geometry). Understanding the interplay between optical rotation (the real part of the atomic susceptibility) and the light absorption (imaginary component of the susceptibility) allows for ultra narrow atomic filters to be designed and constructed. There are numerous examples in solar physics [195], with the pioneering work of Cimino and coworkers [196, 197] being noteworthy. Different filters were constructed when it was realised that the anomalous dispersion of Faraday rotation near optical resonance lines can be exploited to build extremely narrowband filters [198]. Dick and Shay [199] demonstrated the operation of a narrow-bandwidth optical filter,

the Faraday anomalous dispersion optical filter (FADOF), that directly transmits light in the vicinity of an atomic resonance. Magneto-optic filters find great utility in a large variety of applications that require isolating a signal frequency from unwanted background radiation. The most frequently used filters employ alkali metals, as these have favourable properties, including (see e.g. [134]): (i) strong principal resonance lines in the visible or near infrared part of the electromagnetic spectrum [111, 200, 201], (ii) simple and well-understood atomic structure and interactions with fields [202, 65], and (iii) the vapour pressure of these elements allow large resonant optical rotations at modest temperatures [203]. Atomic line filters have been demonstrated in different atomic species, including Cs [204, 61], Rb [199, 205, 206], Na [207, 60] and K [208]. Gerhardt [209] provides a comprehensive list of different Faraday filters realised with alkali metals, and discusses the role of anomalous dispersion in the generation of the transmission profile. By relaxing the constraint that the magnetic field in the atomic medium and the direction of propagation of the light are parallel, it is possible to produce generalised magneto-optical transmission filters [63], allowing more flexibility in tailoring the spectroscopic transmission profile [65, 210].

The range of fields in which narrow-band atomic filters are used is vast, and spans the fundamental and applied. Examples of the former include filtering of frequency-degenerate photon pairs [211]; filtering of Mollow-triplet sidebands [212]; recording atomic spectra with single-molecule light sources [213]; free-space optical communications [214] and quantum key distribution [215]. Examples of the latter include Faraday lasers [216, 64, 217, 218, 219]; Doppler velocimetry [220]; atmospheric LIDAR [221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226]; simultaneous atmospheric wind and temperature measurement [227]; and discerning rocket plumes from sunglints [228]. In addition, the same principle of achieving large optical rotation with minimal absorption in the vicinity of an atomic resonance has been used to realise different photonic devices, such as dichroic beam splitters [59, 229], and compact optical isolators [58].

### 1.10 Exemplar atomic absorption spectra

We finish this section by bringing together all of the ingredients presented above, and plot theoretical Doppler-broadened transmission spectra for alkali-metal atoms. We expect Voigt profile absorption for each  ${}^2S_{1/2} F \rightarrow {}^2P_{3/2} F'$  transition, with the number of lines governed by the selection rule  $\Delta F = \pm 1, 0$ ; for  $D_1$  there are four transitions:  $F = \mathcal{I} + 1/2 \rightarrow F' = \mathcal{I} \pm 1/2$ ,  $F = \mathcal{I} - 1/2 \rightarrow F' = \mathcal{I} \pm 1/2$ ; for  $D_2$  there are six transitions, the same four as  $D_1$ , and an additional two:  $F = \mathcal{I} + 1/2 \rightarrow F' = \mathcal{I} + 3/2$ ,  $F = \mathcal{I} - 1/2 \rightarrow F' = \mathcal{I} - 3/2$ . What isn't as obvious is the expected number of isolated lines, as there will be spectral overlap.

In Table (1) we list the ground state hyperfine splitting; the excited state hyperfine splitting for both  $D_2$  and  $D_1$  transitions, and the Doppler widths for both transitions. For  ${}^{39}\text{K}$  the ground-state and excited state splitting is smaller than the Doppler width; therefore both  $D_2$  and  $D_1$  transitions appear as single compound lines, comprised of

**Table 1.** Energy level splittings and Doppler widths for alkali-metal atoms on the D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> transitions (all units are MHz). The values are obtained from Tables B.4–B.11 in [133].


Elem	Ground State Splitting	D <sub>1</sub> Excited State Splitting	D <sub>1</sub> Doppler Width (100°C)	Largest D <sub>2</sub> Excited State Splitting	D <sub>2</sub> Doppler Width (100°C)
Na	1772	189	1467	58	1468
<sup>39</sup> K	462	56	863	21	867
<sup>85</sup> Rb	3036	362	566	121	577
<sup>87</sup> Rb	6835	812	560	267	570
Cs	9193	1168	402	251	422

6 and 4 individual transitions, respectively. For all of the other atoms the ground-state hyperfine splitting exceeds the Doppler width, and discrete lines are expected for transitions from the upper ( $F = \mathcal{I} + 1/2 \rightarrow F'$ ) and lower ( $F = \mathcal{I} - 1/2 \rightarrow F'$ ) hyperfine states in the  $^2S_{1/2}$  term. For <sup>85</sup>Rb, <sup>87</sup>Rb, Cs and Na on the D<sub>2</sub> transition the Doppler width exceeds the excited state hyperfine interval, therefore the three transitions  $F \rightarrow F' = F, F \pm 1$ , overlap. For the D<sub>1</sub> transition the Doppler width exceeds the excited state hyperfine interval for Na and <sup>85</sup>Rb therefore the two transitions overlap; by contrast, for D<sub>1</sub> transition in <sup>87</sup>Rb and Cs the excited state hyperfine interval exceeds the Doppler width, and the two transitions are resolved.

All of the salient points from the previous paragraph are evident in figure (3). Here we plot the theoretical Doppler-broadened transmission spectra for naturally abundant Rb, Na, naturally abundant K (as it is 93.3% <sup>39</sup>K, only the transitions and quantum numbers for the dominant isotope are shown) and Cs. The assumption is that the cell length is 75 mm, and the temperature (that appears in the top right-hand corner of each spectrum) has been chosen to obtain a minimum transmission of 50%. As we predicted in Section (1.2.2), Rb and Cs exhibit large vapour pressure at room temperature, therefore substantial absorption is achieved for modest temperatures; Na and K require more heating to generate adequate number density.

## 2 Analysis with theoretical models

We emphasised at the beginning of this Tutorial that the scope of the article was to provide a gentle introduction to novice scientists starting their studies of the spectroscopy of thermal vapours. At the end of this first half we hope that the reader will have an understanding of the key concepts of Doppler-broadened absorption spectroscopy, and will pick up some experimental and data-processing techniques in the second half. We finish this half by showing the work of expert practitioners in the field, by highlighting three freely-available software packages than can be used to (i) complement the discussion above, and (ii) provide useful tools and ideas for further




**Figure 3.** Spectra for different species in 75 mm cell with the temperature chosen to give lowest transmission of 50%. See text for details.

analysis.

### 2.1 Wolfram demonstrations project


The Wolfram [230] demonstrations project “Spectra of the D-Lines of Alkali Vapors” developed by Gianni Di Domenico and Antoine Weis is available here: [231]. A screen shot can be seen in figure (4). A graphical user interface (GUI) allows the user to select isotope, transition ( $D_2$  or  $D_1$ ), temperature and cell length, and whether to plot the transmission spectrum (as is shown in the figure), or the absorption coefficients. The relevant energy levels for the lines  $n^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow n^2P_{1/2}$  and  $n^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow n^2P_{3/2}$ , along with the dipole-allowed  $F \rightarrow F'$  transitions are depicted. The calculation assumes pure Doppler broadening of the lines and a weak probe such that the Beer-Lambert law is valid. The absolute absorption coefficients are calculated, incorporating the atomic vapour pressure. A related project calculates, and allows visualisation of, the vapour pressure and density of the alkali-metal atoms [232].



**Figure 4.** The graphical user interface for “Spectra of the D-Lines of Alkali Vapors” is used to plot the transmission spectra of the  $D_2$  line for a cell containing pure  $^{85}\text{Rb}$ . Isotope, transition ( $D_2$  or  $D_1$ ), temperature and cell length are all selected by the user. The energy level diagram and the allowed transitions are depicted on the right-hand side.

## 2.2 ElecSus

The *ElecSus* package was developed at Durham, and is available here: [233]. There are also two papers explaining how the code works [133, 151]. A screen shot can be seen in figure (5). The Python code calculates the electric susceptibility for alkali-metal atoms, with or without an external magnetic field. The code uses the uncoupled basis (see Appendix A) to construct the atomic Hamiltonian, and incorporates the Zeeman interaction. Numerical diagonalisation techniques are used to find the strength and frequency of transitions on the D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> lines.¶ Voigt lineshapes are calculated for each transition, and the total electric susceptibility at any given detuning is obtained by summing over all allowed transitions. The real part of the susceptibility allows the refractive index to be plotted, the imaginary part the transmission spectrum, and both components are used to calculate the Stokes parameters (see Section (1.9.1)). Similar to “Spectra of the D-Lines of Alkali Vapors”, *ElecSus* has a GUI that allows the user to specify: species; D line; temperature; length of cell; strength and orientation of the magnetic field; the polarisation state of the input light. In addition, *ElecSus* allows the user to input experimental data, and to perform least-squares fits to extract optimised parameters; more details on how to do this are to be found in Part II of this Tutorial, specifically Section (4).




**Figure 5.** Example of the graphical user interface (GUI) used by *ElecSus*. In this case a transmission spectrum of the D<sub>2</sub> line is shown for a room temperature 75 mm cell containing <sup>85</sup>Rb and <sup>87</sup>Rb, at natural abundance.

¶ A minor modification allows the absolute susceptibility, and hence spectrum, to be calculated for the  $n^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow (n+1)^2P_{1/2,3/2}$  transitions [201].

### 2.3 ADM: Atomic Density Matrix

The ADM (Atomic Density Matrix) package can be found here: [234]. It is a Mathematica [230] package that “facilitates analytic and numerical density-matrix calculations in atomic and related systems. It is intended to be both general and user-friendly, and to be useful to the working physicist as well as to students.” A screen shot can be seen in figure (6). ADM is a very sophisticated package than can calculate, and visualise, the results of many problems in contemporary atom-light interactions; there is substantial documentation for installing and using the package, and more than two dozen tutorials are provided to exemplify the types of question that ADM can help analyse. The tutorial “Linear Absorption Fitting” [235] is of particular relevance to the content of the work presented herein.



**Figure 6.** An example of output from a tutorial used in the AtomicDensityMatrix package used to model nonlinear magneto-optical rotation in an alkali atom.

## Part II: Experimental methods and data analysis

In the laboratory, we acquire atomic spectra using an oscilloscope, which displays the data as an electronic signal (voltage) measured over the period for which the laser frequency is scanned (time). In order to fit the experimental data to the theoretical

model (as described in Section 2), the experimental spectra need to be calibrated such that we have normalised transmission as a function of linear detuning<sup>+</sup>. We can use the experimental set-up shown in figure 7 for data calibration and for fitting experimental data to theory.

The calibration procedure addresses three problems we have with the raw data. First, the calibration begins by converting the time axis into a frequency axis using a Fabry-Pérot etalon (shown in figure 7(a)i)). The etalon transmission peaks (figure 7(b)i)) can also be used to remove non-linearities from the laser scan. Second, the procedure removes optical power variations that can arise during the laser scan, and normalises the transmission to be between 0 and 1. Third, we use pump-probe Doppler-free spectroscopy (figure 7(a)iii)) to provide an absolute frequency reference (figure 7(b)iii)) in order to define where “zero” detuning lies within the trace. Depending on the investigation, other effects can arise, such as etaloning in the vapour cell [126, 145], that need to be addressed. This is beyond the scope of this Tutorial, however a correction procedure for removing unwanted etalons has been provided in Appendix B should the reader be interested.

The Doppler-broadened spectra (figure 7(b)ii)), once calibrated, are used to fit to the theoretical model. The optical power in the vapour cells are set such that we are operating in the weak probe regime (a requirement of the theoretical model, see Section (1.8)) which depends on the waist size of the interrogating laser beam [236]. The measured spectra are recorded on conventional photodiodes and all of the signals are recorded simultaneously on the oscilloscope. The raw outputs for the three photodiodes are shown in figure 7(b). Note that the photodiode voltage displayed is dependent on the optical power of the laser, incident laser wavelength and the type of photodiode and circuit.

In the remaining sections, we discuss how we fix these three problems and the data processing involved in doing so.


### 3 Data processing

The entire processing, normalisation and fitting routines have been written in a ‘scope-to-theory’ Jupyter notebook (see GitHub repository [237]) with commented text and example data. Data in this tutorial have been taken with a photodiode and oscilloscope, and saved as a `.csv` file, but this data processing method also applies to experiments that may require a photon counter too [238].

#### 3.1 Linearising the laser scan

Upon collecting and saving the data, the first step is to remove non-linearities in the laser scan. To do this, we require an accurate time-to-frequency calibration, which


<sup>+</sup> Recall that in Section (1.1.1) we introduced the angular detuning,  $\Delta$ , as the difference between the angular frequency of the laser and resonance. In the laboratory it is more convenient to use linear frequencies; hence the linear detuning, equal to  $\Delta/2\pi$ , is used in Part II.



**Figure 7.** Calibration of Rb atomic spectra, though this method is applicable to other atomic vapours too. (a) Experimental set up to convert the raw data from an electronic signal vs. time to normalised transmission vs. linear detuning. A resonant external cavity diode (ECD) laser propagates through an optical isolator (OI) and is split into three paths using mirrors (M) and 50:50 beam splitter (BS) cubes. i) Fabry-Pérot etalon to convert from time to frequency and to correct non-linearities in the laser scan. The transmission through the etalon is detected on a photodiode (PD). ii) Doppler-broadened set up consisting of a single pass through a 75 mm Rb vapour cell. A neutral density (ND) filter is used to ensure we are working in the weak-probe regime necessary to fit to a theoretical model. iii) Pump-probe spectroscopy through a 70 mm Rb vapour cell. The beam is split into counter propagating “pump” and “probe” beams, and later recombined, using polarising beam splitter (PBS) cubes. The raw photodiode signals are shown in b), where the etalon transmission, Doppler-broadened spectra, and sub-Doppler spectra are shown in i), ii) and iii), respectively. There are 200,000 data points associated with each trace.

is done using the transmission peaks through the Fabry-Pérot etalon. A Fabry-Pérot etalon consists of two highly reflective mirrors that are placed parallel to one another, separated by a distance  $L$ . The frequency difference between two adjacent etalon peaks is known as the free spectral range ( $\nu_{\text{FSR}}$ ). For a confocal etalon,  $\nu_{\text{FSR}} = c/4L$ , where  $c$  is the speed of light [239]. For a cavity of length 10 cm, which is used in figure 7(a)i), the typical  $\nu_{\text{FSR}}$  is 750 MHz. The measured etalon peaks should be equally spaced if the response to the voltage applied to the piezoelectric transducer in the external cavity of the laser was linear. Figure 7(b)i) shows the raw data of the etalon transmission signal for a typical experiment.

Figure 8 illustrates the methodology of the linearising python subroutine. By measuring the time difference between etalon peaks, we can compare this to the  $\nu_{\text{FSR}}$  of the etalon. A python subroutine normalises the etalon transmission peaks, selects all of the peaks in the etalon trace and lists them in their ordered positions. Only data points



**Figure 8.** (a) Normalised etalon transmission spectra. Etalon peaks are found (black crosses, peaks numbered) using the `find_peaks` function in the `scipy` Python package. Peak detection is aided by using minimum peak height (mph) and minimum peak distance (mpd). The time separation between adjacent peaks 1 and 2 is greater than between peaks 13 and 14. (b) Time position of each peak numbered in order from left to right on the etalon scan, with the expected linear fit (black, dashed line). (c) Non-linearities in laser scan have been corrected and the time axis has been converted to a relative frequency. (d) Deviation of each etalon peak time position from the linear fit. A high order (7<sup>th</sup>) polynomial is fit to the deviation in order to stretch the horizontal, resulting in a linear relationship between the horizontal axis and laser frequency.

with signal values above a threshold level and greater than an expected peak separation are retained. Figure 8(a) shows the normalised etalon transmission as a function of time. The transmission has been normalised by dividing through by the maximum transmission, making the peak-finder subroutine independent on photodiode circuit gain and incident laser power. The subroutine has found 14 peaks in the trace, which are labelled in the figure, and has stored the ordered position (in time) for each peak. In figure 8(b) the corresponding peak time as a function of the peak number is shown. With the position of the peaks determined, a linear relation between peak positions and peak numbers is determined via a least-squares fit using the `scipy.optimize` Python module, which represents linear time to frequency conversion. From the linear fit to the peak position, we can see that the scan has a very small non-linear contribution. It is this non-linearity that we want to remove from the scan. In figure 8(d) we plot

the difference from a linear fit as a function of the exact peak position. Using the `lmfit` package [240, 241], a high-order ( $\geq 5$ ) polynomial is fit to the difference using a differential evolution algorithm, followed by a least-squares minimisation in order to determine the uncertainties. The resulting curve is used to convert the original time axis in figure 8(a) to a linearised time axis by evaluating the polynomial at the time corresponding to each data point and subtracting the resulting correction from the data's original time value—we are essentially ‘stretching’ or ‘compressing’ the horizontal axis appropriately such that we now have a linear relationship between the time axis and frequency.


The linearised time axis can be converted to a relative frequency axis using the known  $\nu_{\text{FSR}}$  of the Fabry-Pérot etalon and the fixed separation between adjacent etalon peaks. This is shown in figure 8(c). The separation between each adjacent etalon peak is constant and equivalent to the  $\nu_{\text{FSR}}$  of the etalon. To change this to an absolute frequency axis, or linear detuning, an atomic reference is required (see figure 7(a)iii) for pump-probe spectroscopy). This is discussed further in Section (3.3).

### 3.2 Normalising the photodiode output

To compare the transmission spectra with a theoretical curve, the photodiode output data need to be normalised between 0 and 1. There are two steps in this procedure; first we must define what “0” is (i.e. maximum absorption) and second we must define what “1” is (i.e. maximum transmission).

There are a number of reasons why we never measure a photodiode output of zero (i.e. we always measure a non-negligible output even when there is no laser light incident on the detector), some of which are photodiode current noise, stray light or amplified spontaneous emission from the laser itself [242]. To define zero we must remove the non-zero photodiode offset from the spectra; we make the atomic vapour optically thick by heating the vapour cell, such that no resonant laser light can traverse the medium (as discussed in Section (1.2.2)). Figure 9(a) is a Doppler-broadened absorption spectrum from a heated vapour cell (in fact the same vapour cell used in figure 7(a)ii)). As indicated by the black dashed line, there is clearly an offset that needs to be removed. The offset is non-constant due to optical power fluctuations of the scanning laser—this is more evident when defining maximum transmission as we shall later see—thus we refer to this as the ‘frequency-dependent offset’. We remove the frequency-dependent offset by selecting all of the regions where there is maximum absorption (i.e. the parts of the spectra that lie on the black dashed line). This is implemented interactively (using the Python function `ginput`), such that the user selects the regions of the spectrum graphically, significantly reducing post-processing time for any given dataset. The selected areas are fitted to a polynomial function and this function is used as the  $\mathcal{T} = 0$  line. All datasets using the same photodetector, laser power and vapour cell, including the spectra shown in figure 7(b)ii), have this polynomial function subtracted from the photodiode output data. Note that since there are two photodetectors used for

the Doppler-broadened set up and sub-Doppler broadened set up, each detector needs to have the zero-offset calibrated independently. Now we must define the maximum



**Figure 9.** Doppler-broadened spectra using the set-up shown in figure 7(a)ii). a) Heated vapour cell to make the atomic medium optically thick. There is clearly an offset on the photodiode output, as illustrated by the black dashed line. A first order polynomial is fitted to the data that lie on the black dashed line; this is used to define the  $\mathcal{T} = 0$  line. b) Room temperature sub-Doppler spectra illustrating the laser power fluctuations with scanning laser frequency (shown as a black dashed line). The non-resonant areas of the spectra are selected and fitted to a fifth order polynomial; this is used to define the  $\mathcal{T} = 1$  line.

transmission through the atomic vapour. As previously discussed, we need to eradicate laser power fluctuations. These fluctuations arise due to feed-forward in most modern laser electronics; when the voltage to the piezo controlling the fine position of the grating is scanned, the current is also modified, with the aim of increasing the mode-hop free tuning range. The result is an asymmetric signal on the photodiode, which is clearly visible in figure 7(b)ii) and (b)iii), as the output power changes with the frequency of the laser. To correct for this, we interactively select the areas of the spectrum that are known to have no absorption on both the sub-Doppler trace and the Doppler trace, and fit a polynomial function. This is shown in figure 9(b) by the black, dashed line on the Doppler spectra, and this function is used as the  $\mathcal{T} = 1$  line. By dividing the data by this function, we define maximum transmission.

### 3.3 Absolute frequency calibration


The next step is to centre the frequency axis, which is done using the sub-Doppler reference spectrum (see figure 7(b)iii)). Spectra that resolve hyperfine structure are ideal frequency markers for locking lasers to a specific frequency [136]. A modification is seen in the absorption spectrum when the pump and probe beam interact with the atoms centred around zero velocity. When the laser beam is tuned to within the natural linewidth of an atomic resonance, the probe beam detects a shortage of atoms. This is because some of the atoms have been excited by the pump beam and reside either

in the excited state or have been hyperfine pumped (see Section (1.8)) into the other ground state. Hence pump-probe spectroscopy gives sub-Doppler resolution: a narrow feature centred at  $\omega_0$  within a Doppler broadened absorption spectrum.

For naturally abundant Rb with two isotopes, the electric-dipole selection rules predict that there should be eight and twelve sub-Doppler features visible for the  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  lines, respectively. However, twelve and twenty-four peaks are visible in total. Half of these features correspond to the resonant frequencies  $F \rightarrow F' = F, F \pm 1$ , where both probe and pump beams excite atoms with close to zero velocity. In addition to these resonant lines, there are four and twelve crossover features [70] that occur at frequencies halfway between each pair of transitions. Figure 10(a) illustrates a normalised sub-Doppler spectrum for the  $D_2$  line in Rb. Inset are the sub-Doppler features corresponding to (i)  $^{87}\text{Rb}$   $F = 2$ , (ii)  $^{85}\text{Rb}$   $F = 3$ , (iii)  $^{85}\text{Rb}$   $F = 2$  and (iv)  $^{87}\text{Rb}$   $F = 1$ . A triangular smooth of weight 100 points for i) to iii) and 70 points for iv) have been applied to the data in the insets. As explained in Section (3.1), the raw time data of the sub-Doppler spectrum has been linearised and converted to a relative frequency axis using the  $\nu_{\text{FSR}}$  of the Fabry-Pérot etalon. Using the sub-Doppler features, we can convert the relative frequency axis to an absolute frequency axis, or rather a linear detuning, which is necessary for fitting a theoretical curve.

Zero detuning is typically chosen to be the weighted centre of the line [134] (as discussed in Section (1.5)) and is compatible with fitting to *ElecSus*. As such, the transition frequencies in the 'scope-to-theory' Jupyter notebook [237] are stated with respect to the weighted line centre. Since the crossover resonances are often more intense than the normal resonant transitions [136], it is best to use these to calibrate the frequency axis. Now that the frequency axis is linear, we only require two points to fully calibrate the frequency axis. Any points of the known frequency will do but in this worked example, for the  $D_2$  line, we use the  $F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 2, 3$  and  $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 3, 4$  crossover resonances in  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  and  $^{85}\text{Rb}$ , respectively, as these are the strongest features. Figure 10(b) shows the absolute frequency of the individual sub-Doppler features against our arbitrarily defined frequency axis. By fitting a linear function to the data, we extract the slope and intercept of the fit. The slope should be equal to one, as we have already corrected for any non-linearities in the laser scan (see Section (3.1)), whereas the intercept tells us the amount we should translate the frequency axis by in order to set zero detuning appropriately. For example, the linear fit in figure 10(b) has a  $y$ -intercept of -4.2 GHz hence the data shown in Figure 10(a) needs to shift to the left by 4.2 GHz. The uncertainties in the frequency calibration process are discussed in Appendix C, but typically the error in our frequency calibration over this linear detuning range is less than 1% [243].

The method described in this section is also applicable in other atomic species, though the transition frequencies with respect to the weighted line centre will have to be defined in the 'scope-to-theory' Jupyter notebook [237].



**Figure 10.** Pump-probe atomic spectra (D<sub>2</sub> line) of room-temperature Rb vapour contained within a 70 mm glass cell. (a) Normalised transmission with calibrated relative frequency axis. Inset are the sub-Doppler features corresponding to (i) <sup>87</sup>Rb  $F=2$ , (ii) <sup>85</sup>Rb  $F=3$ , (iii) <sup>85</sup>Rb  $F=2$  and (iv) <sup>87</sup>Rb  $F=1$ . The vertical black dashed lines are markers for the sub-Doppler features. From left to right,  $F \rightarrow F' = F - 1$ ,  $X_{(F-1,F)}$ ,  $F$ ,  $X_{(F-1,F+1)}$ ,  $X_{(F,F+1)}$ ,  $F + 1$ , where  $X$  denotes a crossover feature. A triangular smooth of weight 100 points for i) to iii) and 70 points for iv) have been applied to the data in the insets. It is not possible to resolve individual features in the sub-Doppler spectrum of <sup>85</sup>Rb  $F=2$ , as the excited state hyperfine intervals are smallest for these transitions. (b) Expected linear detuning values as a function of the frequency with arbitrary offset (black crosses). A linear fit (gold solid line) allows one to convert the relative frequency axis to an absolute frequency axis.

#### 4 Fitting the experimental spectra to theory

With the data processing complete, the experimental spectra can be fitted to theory. In this example, we will demonstrate how we will extract parameters of our thermal vapour (including but not limited to broadening, shifts, atom temperature or magnetic field) using *ElecSus* [133, 151], one of the packages discussed in Section (2), that is obtained from [233].

The frequency-calibrated experimental data are introduced into the *ElecSus* graphical user interface (GUI) via the “Import Data” tab. The data needs to be in .csv format with two columns of values; the first column specifies the linear detuning (in GHz) while the second column gives the spectrum data. The processing of the data into this format has been carried out in Section (3).


The raw data that we obtain from the oscilloscope contains 200,000 data points, as shown in figure 7(b), and, subsequently, this is the number of data points we import into *ElecSus*. This is typical of fairly basic oscilloscopes used today, and in some (more expensive) versions, the number of data points can exceed tens of millions. When fitting using *ElecSus* the main limiting factor time-wise is the speed of the iterations over all of the data points, so to reduce the computational time we bin the data. Binning data is a method by which we average over every  $N$  data points. By measuring the standard deviation  $\sigma$ , of these  $N$  data points, we can also extract a standard error,  $\alpha$ , such that:

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N}}. \quad (20)$$


This has the advantage of increasing the speed of the fitting algorithm, whilst also providing an error that can be used to extract errors in the fit parameters. There is a trade-off with the bin size used however; a large bin size reduces the number of data points, meaning the fits can be performed much faster, but at the expense of lowering the spectral resolution. In the “Fit” menu in the *ElecSus* GUI there is a “Data Processing” tab that gives you the option to “smooth” or “bin” your data. In this worked example we chose to use a bin size of 250 for all of the Doppler-broadened spectra, leaving 800 data points to be used in the fitting.

Fitting theory to the experimental data involves defining a “cost” function. The “cost” is often defined as the square of the difference between theory and experiment summed at each point along the curve [121] and the cost function is minimised by changing the parameters that define the theory curve. Under the “Fit Settings” tab in the *ElecSus* GUI, the experimental parameters are introduced as the initial parameters for the calculations, and a fitting routine is chosen. Note the speed of the algorithm is fastest, and the likelihood of finding the global minimum increased, with good initial input parameters.


The fits carried out with *ElecSus*, through use of the `lmfit` Python package, allow for multi-parameter fits where individual parameters can be fixed or bound to vary within range values [151]. There are four different fitting routines included in *ElecSus* that can be used and should be selected depending on the complexity of the



**Figure 11.** *ElecSus* GUI screen shot displaying theoretical fit (purple line) to experimental data (gold), with residuals underneath. The ML method was used to find the optimised parameters, with the fit settings displayed on the right hand side.



**Figure 12.** *ElecSus* GUI screen shot displaying fitting information. The fitting information, as well as theoretical data, can be exported to .csv.



**Figure 13.** (a) Absorption spectra of naturally abundant Rb vapour on the D<sub>2</sub> line, contained within a 75 mm glass cell, at three temperatures. The room-temperature spectrum (purple solid line) is the same data shown in figure 7(b)ii). Model fits (black dash-dotted line) using *ElecSus* are overlaid on each spectra. The difference between the data and the fit for atomic vapour temperatures of  $(19.67 \pm 0.01)^\circ\text{C}$  (RMS = 0.40%),  $(40.12 \pm 0.01)^\circ\text{C}$  (RMS = 0.68%) and  $(72.77 \pm 0.02)^\circ\text{C}$  (RMS = 0.68%), known as the residuals (multiplied by 100 for better visibility), are shown in (b), (c) and (d), respectively. The uncertainties associated with the temperature are taken from the *ElecSus* fitting algorithm and is an underestimate of the true uncertainties of the fit parameters (see Section (4.1)).

fitting problem. In simple cases the option of fitting via the Marquardt-Levenberg (ML) method [121] should be chosen. This method is a ‘hill-climbing’ algorithm that will quickly find the local minimum (or maximum). The standard errors, which were calculated when the data was binned, are used to weight the residuals in order to get a  $\chi^2$  fit. The `lmfit` package returns the optimised parameters together with their uncertainties in the form of a covariance or error matrix. The elements of the covariance matrix quantify the statistical errors on the optimised parameters. The uncertainty in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  parameter is given by the square root of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  diagonal element of the error matrix, whereas the off-diagonal elements define the correlations between the parameters [121].

Figure 11 is a screenshot of the *ElecSus* GUI with the calibrated Rb absorption spectra (raw data shown in figure 7(b)ii)) imported and fitted to theory, with the residuals of the fit displayed underneath. The ML routine has been used to fit to the data in figure 11, with atomic vapour temperature as the sole fit parameter. *ElecSus* provides fitting information, including RMS error between theory and experiment, in addition to the optimised fitting parameters, as shown in figure 12. This information can be saved and the best-curve fit data can be exported in `.csv` format. The temperature, and its associated error, for this data set was found to be  $(19.67 \pm 0.01)^\circ\text{C}$ . The errors produced by *ElecSus* are correct, however these are an underestimate of the true uncertainties of the fit parameters, as will be discussed further in Section (4.1).

Figure 13 shows three experimental transmission spectra, where data have been taken in the same atomic vapour cell, of length 75 mm, but at different temperatures. The spectra were fit using the ML method, with temperature as the sole fit parameter. The atomic vapour temperatures were found to be  $(19.67 \pm 0.01)^\circ\text{C}$  (RMS = 0.40%),  $(40.12 \pm 0.01)^\circ\text{C}$  (RMS = 0.68%) and  $(72.77 \pm 0.02)^\circ\text{C}$  (RMS = 0.68%), with the associated errors taken directly from the *ElecSus* fitting algorithm.

When dealing with many fit parameters, e.g. in searching for ultra-narrow filters [65, 206], the ML technique tends to fail to find the global minimum, therefore a global fitting routine should be used. There are three global fitting routines available on *ElecSus*: random-restart (RR) [244]; simulated annealing [245] (SA); and differential evolution (DE) [246, 247]. Specific details on these algorithms, their operation and advantage can be found on the documentation pages for `lmfit` [240, 241].

#### 4.1 Extracting uncertainties in the fit parameters

To extract the statistical uncertainty in the fit parameters, the uncertainty of the data points, which will vary between different experiments, need to be known. The current version of the *ElecSus* GUI has no facility to accept these uncertainties as an input and so does not provide uncertainties in the fit parameters. However, since *ElecSus* outputs the theoretical curve as a `.csv` file, the user can perform this analysis manually (see [121]).

Another method to extract the statistical uncertainty in the fit parameters is

to accumulate multiple data sets under nominally the same experimental conditions and fit them independently. This gives several values for each fit parameter from which the mean and standard error of each parameter can be found from this set of values. Although we have not taken repeated data sets in this worked example, using this method we have found that our experimental technique typically gives statistical uncertainties of  $\approx 0.1^\circ\text{C}$  for temperature [243] and  $\approx 1$  G for magnetic fields [163] (for magnetic fields up to 5 kG).

## 5 Conclusion

Spectroscopy of thermal atomic vapours is attracting attention as ideal systems for studying fundamental physics and for use in numerous applications, particularly in the arena of quantum technology and sensing electromagnetic fields. As such, it is important to have an appreciation, and an understanding, of the underlying atomic physics involved in such experiments. Our aim in this tutorial has been to provide a gentle introduction to novice scientists starting their studies of the spectroscopy of thermal atomic vapours. In summary, we have presented the necessary theory to understand the Doppler broadened absorption spectroscopy of alkali-metal atoms, and have explained the data taking and processing required to compare theory and experiment. We have provided a data-analysis notebook with example spectroscopy data, as well as a step-by-step guide to process the experimental data. In the exciting and emerging world of quantum technologies, clearly being able to characterise the medium is vital. We have demonstrated in this work that we can extract the temperature, number density, gas composition and magnetic field (to name just a few) from the atomic vapour cell using Doppler-broadened absorption spectra.

## 6 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Sharaa Alqarni and Ben Prentice for stimulating discussions and for rigorously testing the data-processing Python code. The authors also acknowledge EPSRC (Grant No. EP/R002061/1) for funding this work.

## 7 Disclosures

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## 8 Data availability

Data underlying the results presented in this paper are available from [248].

- [1] Ennio Arimondo. V Coherent Population Trapping in Laser Spectroscopy. In *Progress in Optics*, volume 35, pages 257–354. Elsevier, 1996.
- [2] Michael Fleischhauer, Atac Imamoglu, and Jonathan P Marangos. Electromagnetically induced transparency: Optics in coherent media. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 77(2):633, 2005.
- [3] Robert W Boyd, Lene Hau, Hailin Wang, Gadi Eisenstein, Susumu Noda, and Jesper Moerk. Slow Light and Its Applications. *Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, 25(12):SL1–SL1, 2008.
- [4] Brian Julsgaard, Jacob Sherson, J. Ignacio Cirac, Jaromír Fiurásek, and Eugene S. Polzik. Experimental demonstration of quantum memory for light. *Nature*, 432(7016):482–6, Nov 2004.
- [5] K. F. Reim, P. Michelberger, K. C. Lee, J. Nunn, N. K. Langford, and I. A. Walmsley. Single-Photon-Level Quantum Memory at Room Temperature. *Physical Review Letters*, 107(5):053603, Jul 2011.
- [6] Gianni Buser, Roberto Mottola, Björn Cotting, Janik Wolters, and Philipp Treutlein. Single-Photon Storage in a Ground-State Vapor Cell Quantum Memory. *PRX Quantum*, 3(2), Jun 2022.
- [7] Brian Julsgaard, Alexander Kozhekin, and Eugene S. Polzik. Experimental long-lived entanglement of two macroscopic objects. *Nature*, 413(6854):400–403, Sep 2001.
- [8] Luca Pezzé, Augusto Smerzi, Markus K. Oberthaler, Roman Schmied, and Philipp Treutlein. Quantum metrology with nonclassical states of atomic ensembles. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 90(3), Sep 2018.
- [9] Q. Fontaine, T. Bienaimé, S. Pigeon, E. Giacobino, A. Bramati, and Q. Glorieux. Observation of the Bogoliubov Dispersion in a Fluid of Light. *Physical Review Letters*, 121(18), Oct 2018.
- [10] Clara Piekarski, Wei Liu, Jeff Steinhauer, Elisabeth Giacobino, Alberto Bramati, and Quentin Glorieux. Measurement of the Static Structure Factor in a Paraxial Fluid of Light Using Bragg-like Spectroscopy. *Physical Review Letters*, 127(2), Jul 2021.
- [11] H. Krauter, D. Salart, C. A. Muschik, J. M. Petersen, Heng Shen, T. Fernholz, and E. S. Polzik. Deterministic quantum teleportation between distant atomic objects. *Nature Physics*, 9(7):400–404, Jul 2013.
- [12] G. Walker, A. S. Arnold, and S. Franke-Arnold. Trans-Spectral Orbital Angular Momentum Transfer via Four-Wave Mixing in Rb Vapor. *Physical Review Letters*, 108(24):243601, Jun 2012.
- [13] Churong Pan, Chengdong Yang, Huajie Hu, Jinwen Wang, Yingxin Zhang, Yan Qin, Dong Wei, Haixia Chen, Hong Gao, and Fuli Li. Trans-spectral vector beam nonlinear conversion via parametric four-wave mixing in alkali vapor. *Optics Letters*, 46(22):5579–5582, Nov 2021.
- [14] L. A. Mendoza-López, J. G. Acosta-Montes, I. F. Ángeles-Aguillón, D. Sierra-Costa, Y. M. Torres, R. Jáuregui, and D. Sahagún-Sánchez. Generalized angular momentum transfer to up-converted photons via four-wave mixing in atomic gases. *Physical Review Research*, 3(3), Aug 2021.
- [15] Rachel F. Offer, Andrew Daffurn, Erling Riis, Paul F. Griffin, Aidan S. Arnold, and Sonja Franke-Arnold. Gouy phase-matched angular and radial mode conversion in four-wave mixing. *Physical Review A*, 103(2), Feb 2021.
- [16] Rachel F. Offer, Johnathan W. C. Conway, Erling Riis, Sonja Franke-Arnold, and Aidan S. Arnold. Cavity-enhanced frequency up-conversion in rubidium vapor. *Optics Letters*, 41(10):2177–2180, May 2016.
- [17] Alexander M. Akulshin, Russell J. McLean, Andrei I. Sidorov, and Peter Hannaford. Coherent and collimated blue light generated by four-wave mixing in Rb vapour. *Optics Express*, 17(25):22861–70, Dec 2009.
- [18] A. Vernier, S. Franke-Arnold, E. Riis, and A. S. Arnold. Enhanced frequency up-conversion in Rb vapor. *Optics Express*, 18(16):17020–6, Aug 2010.
- [19] Francesco Castellucci, Thomas W. Clark, Adam Selyem, Jinwen Wang, and Sonja Franke-Arnold.

- Atomic Compass: Detecting 3D Magnetic Field Alignment with Vector Vortex Light. *Physical Review Letters*, 127(23), Nov 2021.
- [20] Shuwei Qiu, Jinwen Wang, Francesco Castellucci, Mingtao Cao, Shougang Zhang, Thomas W. Clark, Sonja Franke-Arnold, Hong Gao, and Fuli Li. Visualization of magnetic fields with cylindrical vector beams in a warm atomic vapor. *Photonics Research*, 9(12):2325–2331, Dec 2021.
- [21] N. Mercadier, W. Guerin, M. Chevrollier, and R. Kaiser. Lévy flights of photons in hot atomic vapours. *Nature Physics*, 5(8):602–605, 2009.
- [22] M. Chevrollier, N. Mercadier, W. Guerin, and R. Kaiser. Anomalous photon diffusion in atomic vapors. *The European Physical Journal D*, 58(2):161–165, Jun 2010.
- [23] N. Cherroret, M. Hemmerling, G. Labeyrie, D. Delande, J. T. M. Walraven, and R. Kaiser. Weak localization of light in hot atomic vapors. *Physical Review A*, 104(5), Nov 2021.
- [24] Michelle O. Araújo, Thierry Passerat de Silans, and Robin Kaiser. Lévy flights of photons with infinite mean free path. *Physical Review E*, 103(1), Jan 2021.
- [25] A. S. M. Macedo, J. P. Lopez, and T. Passerat de Silans. Sample size effects for Lévy flight of photons in atomic vapors. *Physical Review E*, 104(5), Nov 2021.
- [26] D. Budker, W. Gawlik, D. F. Kimball, S. M. Rochester, V. V. Yashchuk, and A. Weis. Resonant nonlinear magneto-optical effects in atoms. *Review of Modern Physics*, 74(4):1153–1201, Nov 2002.
- [27] Marcis Auzinsh, Dmitry Budker, and Simon Rochester. *Optically Polarized Atoms: Understanding Light-Atom Interactions*. Oxford University Press, 2010.
- [28] Jens U. Sutter, Oliver Lewis, Clive Robinson, et al. Recording the heart beat of cattle using a gradiometer system of optically pumped magnetometers. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 177:105651, Oct 2020.
- [29] Dimtry Budker and Michael Romalis. Optical magnetometry. *Nature Physics*, 3(4):227–234, Apr 2007.
- [30] A. Sargsyan, A. Tonoyan, G. Hakhumyan, C. Leroy, Y. Pashayan-Leroy, and D. Sarkisyan. Complete hyperfine Paschen-Back regime at relatively small magnetic fields realized in potassium nano-cell. *Europhysics Letters*, 110(2):23001, Apr 2015.
- [31] E. Arimondo, D. Ciampini, and C. Rizzo. Chapter One - Spectroscopy of Natural and Artificial Atoms in Magnetic Fields. In Ennio Arimondo, Chun C. Lin, and Susanne F. Yelin, editors, *Advances In Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, volume 65, pages 1–66. Academic Press, Jan 2016.
- [32] D. J. Whiting, R. S. Mathew, J. Keaveney, C. S. Adams, and I. G. Hughes. Four-wave mixing in a non-degenerate four-level diamond configuration in the hyperfine Paschen-Back regime. *Journal of Modern Optics*, 65(5-6):713–722, Mar 2018.
- [33] Daniel Arnold, Steven Siegel, Emily Grisanti, Jörg Wrachtrup, and Ilja Gerhardt. A rubidium  $M_x$ -magnetometer for measurements on solid state spins. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 88(2):023103, 2017.
- [34] Ran Si, Wenxian Li, Tomas Brage, and Roger Hutton. Proposal for observation of transitions induced by external magnetic fields mixing in the lower states: with an example from Fe X. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 53(9):095002, Apr 2020. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [35] Elijah Ogaro Nyakang'o, Dangka Shylla, Vasant Natarajan, and Kanhaiya Pandey. Hyperfine measurement of the  $6P_{1/2}$  state in  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  using double resonance on blue and IR transition. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 53(9):095001, March 2020. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [36] A. Osterwalder and F. Merkt. Using High Rydberg States as Electric Field Sensors. *Physical Review Letters*, 82(9):1831–1834, Mar 1999.
- [37] D. Barredo, H. Kübler, R. Daschner, R. Löw, and T. Pfau. Electrical Readout for Coherent Phenomena Involving Rydberg Atoms in Thermal Vapor Cells. *Physical Review Letters*,

- 110(12):123002, Mar 2013.
- [38] Jens Grimm, Markus Mack, Florian Karlewski, Florian Jessen, Malte Reinschmidt, Nóra Sándor, and József Fortágh. Measurement and numerical calculation of Rubidium Rydberg Stark spectra. *New Journal of Physics*, 17(5):053005, May 2015.
- [39] Matt T Simons, Joshua A Gordon, and Christopher L Holloway. Fiber-coupled vapor cell for a portable Rydberg atom-based radio frequency electric field sensor. *Applied Optics*, 57(22):6456–6460, 2018.
- [40] T. Thiele, J. Deiglmaier, M. Stammeier, J.-A. Agner, H. Schmutz, F. Merkt, and A. Wallraff. Imaging electric fields in the vicinity of cryogenic surfaces using Rydberg atoms. *Physical Review A*, 92:063425, Dec 2015.
- [41] Santosh Kumar, Haoquan Fan, Harald Kübler, Jiteng Sheng, and James P. Shaffer. Atom-Based Sensing of Weak Radio Frequency Electric Fields Using Homodyne Readout. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1):1–10, Feb 2017.
- [42] Andrew Horsley, Guan-Xiang Du, Matthieu Pellaton, Christoph Affolderbach, Gaetano Mileti, and Philipp Treutlein. Imaging of relaxation times and microwave field strength in a microfabricated vapor cell. *Physical Review A*, 88(6):063407, Dec 2013.
- [43] J. A. Sedlacek, A. Schwettmann, H. Kübler, and J. P. Shaffer. Atom-based vector microwave electrometry using rubidium rydberg atoms in a vapor cell. *Physical Review Letters*, 111(6):063001, Aug 2013.
- [44] D. A. Anderson, S. A. Miller, G. Raithel, J. A. Gordon, M. L. Butler, and C. L. Holloway. Optical Measurements of Strong Microwave Fields with Rydberg Atoms in a Vapor Cell. *Physical Review Applied*, 5(3):034003, Mar 2016.
- [45] Andrew Horsley, Guan-Xiang Du, and Philipp Treutlein. Widefield microwave imaging in alkali vapor cells with sub-100  $\mu\text{m}$  resolution. *New Journal of Physics*, 17(11):112002, Nov 2015.
- [46] Andrew Horsley and Philipp Treutlein. Frequency-tunable microwave field detection in an atomic vapor cell. *Applied Physics Letters*, 108(21):211102, May 2016.
- [47] C. G. Wade, N. Šibalić, N. R. de Melo, J. M. Kondo, C. S. Adams, and K. J. Weatherill. Real-time near-field terahertz imaging with atomic optical fluorescence. *Nature Photonics*, 11(1):40–43, 2017.
- [48] Lucy A. Downes, Andrew R. MacKellar, Daniel J. Whiting, Cyril Bourgenot, Charles S. Adams, and Kevin J. Weatherill. Full-Field Terahertz Imaging at Kilohertz Frame Rates Using Atomic Vapor. *Physical Review X*, 10(1):011027, Feb 2020.
- [49] Shuying Chen, Dominic J. Reed, Andrew R. MacKellar, Lucy A. Downes, Nourah F. A. Almuhawish, Matthew J. Jamieson, Charles S. Adams, and Kevin J. Weatherill. Terahertz electrometry via infrared spectroscopy of atomic vapor. *Optica*, 9(5):485–491, 2022.
- [50] Mark Lam, Sambit B. Pal, Thibault Vogt, Martin Kiffner, and Wenhui Li. Directional THz generation in hot Rb vapor excited to a Rydberg state. *Optics Letters*, 46(5):1017–1020, 2021.
- [51] S.-K. Lee, K. L. Sauer, S. J. Seltzer, O. Alem, and M. V. Romalis. Subfemtotesla radio-frequency atomic magnetometer for detection of nuclear quadrupole resonance. *Applied Physics Letters*, 89(21):214106, 2006.
- [52] G. Bison, R. Wynands, and A. Weis. A laser-pumped magnetometer for the mapping of human cardiomagnetic fields. *Applied Physics B*, 76(3):325–328, 2003.
- [53] G. Bison, N. Castagna, A. Hofer, P. Knowles, J.-L. Schenker, M. Kasprzak, H. Saudan, and A. Weis. A room temperature 19-channel magnetic field mapping device for cardiac signals. *Applied Physics Letters*, 95(17):173701, 2009.
- [54] Orang Alem, Tilmann H Sander, Rahul Mhaskar, John LeBlanc, Hari Eswaran, Uwe Steinhoff, Yoshio Okada, John Kitching, Lutz Trahms, and Svenja Knappe. Fetal magnetocardiography measurements with an array of microfabricated optically pumped magnetometers. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 60(12):4797–4811, Jun 2015.
- [55] T. H. Sander, J. Preusser, R. Mhaskar, J. Kitching, L. Trahms, and S. Knappe. Magnetoencephalography with a chip-scale atomic magnetometer. *Biomedical Optics Express*,

- 3(5):981, May 2012.
- [56] Elena Boto, Sofie S. Meyer, Vishal Shah, Orang Alem, Svenja Knappe, Peter Kruger, T. Mark Fromhold, Mark Lim, Paul M. Glover, Peter G. Morris, Richard Bowtell, Gareth R. Barnes, and Matthew J. Brookes. A new generation of magnetoencephalography: Room temperature measurements using optically-pumped magnetometers. *NeuroImage*, 149:404–414, 2017.
- [57] Shoujun Xu, C. W. Crawford, Simon Rochester, Valeriy Yashchuk, Dmitry Budker, and Alexander Pines. Submillimeter-resolution magnetic resonance imaging at the Earth’s magnetic field with an atomic magnetometer. *Physical Review A*, 78:013404, Jul 2008.
- [58] L. Weller, K. S. Kleinbach, M. A. Zentile, S. Knappe, I. G. Hughes, and C. S. Adams. Optical isolator using an atomic vapor in the hyperfine Paschen-Back regime. *Optics Letters*, 37(16):3405–3407, Aug 2012.
- [59] Richard P. Abel, Ulrich Krohn, Paul Siddons, Ifan G. Hughes, and Charles S. Adams. Faraday dichroic beam splitter for Raman light using an isotopically pure alkali-metal-vapor cell. *Optics Letters*, 34(20):3071–3073, Oct 2009.
- [60] Wilhelm Kiefer, Robert Löw, Jörg Wrachtrup, and Ilja Gerhardt. Na-Faraday rotation filtering: The optimal point. *Scientific Reports*, 4:6552, Oct 2014.
- [61] Mark A. Zentile, Daniel J. Whiting, James Keaveney, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Atomic Faraday filter with equivalent noise bandwidth less than 1 GHz. *Optics Letters*, 40(9):2000, 2015.
- [62] Mark A. Zentile, James Keaveney, Renju S. Mathew, Daniel J. Whiting, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Optimization of atomic Faraday filters in the presence of homogeneous line broadening. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 48(18):185001, Sep 2015.
- [63] Matthew D. Rotondaro, Boris V. Zhdanov, and Randall J. Knize. Generalized treatment of magneto-optical transmission filters. *Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, 32(12):2507, Dec 2015.
- [64] James Keaveney, William J. Hamlyn, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. A single-mode external cavity diode laser using an intra-cavity atomic Faraday filter with short-term linewidth <400 kHz and long-term stability of <1 MHz. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 87(9):095111, Sep 2016.
- [65] James Keaveney, Steven A. Wrathmall, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Optimized ultra-narrow atomic bandpass filters via magneto-optic rotation in an unconstrained geometry. *Optics Letters*, 43(17):4272–4275, Sep 2018. arXiv: 1806.08705.
- [66] R. Loudon. *The Quantum Theory of Light*. Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2000.
- [67] C. S. Adams and I. G. Hughes. *Optics f2f - From Fourier to Fresnel*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2019.
- [68] R. Loudon. *Quantum theory of light*. OUP, Oxford, 1983.
- [69] W. Demtröder. *Laser Spectroscopy Basic Concepts and Instrumentation*. Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [70] C. J. Foot. *Atomic Physics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2005.
- [71] D Grischkowsky. Adiabatic Following and Slow Optical Pulse Propagation in Rubidium Vapor. *Physical Review A*, 7(6):2096, Jun 1973.
- [72] Jean-Baptiste Biot. Phénomènes de polarisation successive, observés dans des fluides homogènes. *Bull. Soc. Philomath*, 190:1815, 1815.
- [73] Olivier Darrigol. *A History of Optics From Greek Antiquity to the Nineteenth Century*. OUP Oxford, 2012.
- [74] Mark P Silverman. *And Yet It Moves: Strange Systems and Subtle Questions in Physics*. CUP Archive, 1993.
- [75] Duo Pan, Xiaobo Xue, Haosen Shang, Bin Luo, Jingbiao Chen, and Hong Guo. Hollow cathode lamp based Faraday anomalous dispersion optical filter. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1):1–6, 2016.
- [76] Min Zhou, Sheng Zhang, Limeng Luo, and Xinye Xu. Characterization of ytterbium resonance lines at 649 nm with modulation-transfer spectroscopy. *Physical Review A*, 101(6):062506, Jun

- 2020.
- [77] Takumi Sato, Yusuke Hayakawa, Naohiro Okamoto, Yusuke Shimomura, Takatoshi Aoki, and Yoshio Torii. Birefringent atomic vapor laser lock in a hollow cathode lamp. *Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, 39(1):155–159, 2022.
  - [78] Liang Shen, Rui Ma, Longfei Yin, Bin Luo, Duo Pan, Song Yu, Jingbiao Chen, and Hong Guo. A Faraday Anomalous Dispersion Optical Filter Based on Rubidium Hollow-Cathode Lamp. *Applied Sciences*, 10(20):7075, Oct 2020.
  - [79] Bin Luo, Rui Ma, Qianqian Ji, Longfei Yin, Jingbiao Chen, and Hong Guo. Thermal and temporal characteristics of Faraday anomalous dispersion optical filters based on a hollow cathode lamp. *Optics Letters*, 46(21):5372–5375, 2021.
  - [80] Jan Petersen, Jürgen Volz, and Arno Rauschenbeutel. Chiral nanophotonic waveguide interface based on spin-orbit interaction of light. *Science*, 346(6205):67–71, Sep 2014.
  - [81] Peter Lodahl, Sahand Mahmoodian, Søren Stobbe, Arno Rauschenbeutel, Philipp Schneeweiss, Jürgen Volz, Hannes Pichler, and Peter Zoller. Chiral quantum optics. *Nature*, 541(7638):473–480, Jan 2017.
  - [82] D. Bloch and M. Ducloy. Atom-wall interaction. In *Advances in Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, volume 50, pages 91–154. Elsevier, 2005.
  - [83] J. Kitching, S. Knappe, and L. Hollberg. Miniature vapor-cell atomic-frequency references. *Applied Physics Letters*, 81(3):553–555, Jul 2002.
  - [84] John Kitching. Chip-scale atomic devices. *Applied Physics Reviews*, 5(3):031302, Sep 2018.
  - [85] Li-Anne Liew, Svenja Knappe, John Moreland, Hugh Robinson, Leo Hollberg, and John Kitching. Microfabricated alkali atom vapor cells. *Applied Physics Letters*, 84(14):2694–2696, Apr 2004.
  - [86] Svenja Knappe, Vishal Shah, Peter D. D. Schwindt, Leo Hollberg, John Kitching, Li-Anne Liew, and John Moreland. A microfabricated atomic clock. *Applied Physics Letters*, 85(9):1460–1462, Aug 2004.
  - [87] T. H. Sander, J. Preusser, R. Mhaskar, J. Kitching, L. Trahms, and S. Knappe. Magnetoencephalography with a chip-scale atomic magnetometer. *Biomedical Optics Express*, 3(5):981–990, 2012.
  - [88] T. Baluktsian, C. Urban, T. Bublat, H. Giessen, R. Löw, and T. Pfau. Fabrication method for microscopic vapor cells for alkali atoms. *Optics Letters*, 35(12):1950–1952, 2010.
  - [89] K. A. Whittaker, J. Keaveney, I. G. Hughes, A. Sargsyan, D. Sarkisyan, B. Gmeiner, V. Sandoghdar, and C. S. Adams. Interrogation and fabrication of nm scale hot alkali vapour cells. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 635, page 122006. IOP Publishing, 2015.
  - [90] T. F. Cutler, W. J. Hamlyn, J. Renger, K. A. Whittaker, D. Pizzey, I. G. Hughes, V. Sandoghdar, and C. S. Adams. Nanostructured alkali-metal vapor cells. *Physical Review Applied*, 14(3):034054, Sep 2020.
  - [91] Eliran Talker, Pankaj Arora, Roy Zektzer, Yoel Sebbag, Mark Dikoptsev, and Uriel Levy. Light-Induced Atomic Desorption in Microfabricated Vapor Cells for Demonstrating Quantum Optical Applications. *Physical Review Applied*, 15:L051001, May 2021.
  - [92] Vito G. Lucivero, Andrea Zanoni, Giacomo Corrielli, Roberto Osellame, and Morgan W. Mitchell. Laser-written vapor cells for chip-scale atomic sensing and spectroscopy. *Optics Express*, 30(15):27149–27163, Jul 2022.
  - [93] G. Dutier, A. Yarovitski, S. Saltiel, A. Papoyan, D. Sarkisyan, D. Bloch, and M. Ducloy. Collapse and revival of a Dicke-type coherent narrowing in a sub-micron thick vapor cell transmission spectroscopy. *Europhysics Letters*, 63(1):35, 2003.
  - [94] M. Fichet, G. Dutier, A. Yarovitsky, P. Todorov, I. Hamdi, I. Maurin, S. Saltiel, D. Sarkisyan, M.-P. Gorza, D. Bloch, et al. Exploring the van der Waals atom-surface attraction in the nanometric range. *Europhysics Letters*, 77(5):54001, 2007.
  - [95] J. Keaveney, A. Sargsyan, U. Krohn, I.G. Hughes, D. Sarkisyan, and C. S. Adams. Cooperative Lamb Shift in an Atomic Vapor Layer of Nanometer Thickness. *Physical Review Letters*,

- 108:173601, Apr 2012.
- [96] J. Keaveney, I. G. Hughes, A. Sargsyan, D. Sarkisyan, and C. S. Adams. Maximal Refraction and Superluminal Propagation in a Gaseous Nanolayer. *Physical Review Letters*, 109(23):233001, Dec 2012.
- [97] K. A. Whittaker, J. Keaveney, I. G. Hughes, A. Sargsyan, D. Sarkisyan, and C. S. Adams. Optical Response of Gas-Phase Atoms at Less than  $\lambda/80$  from a Dielectric Surface. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(25):253201, Jun 2014.
- [98] T. Peyrot, Y. R. P. Sortais, A. Browaeys, A. Sargsyan, D. Sarkisyan, J. Keaveney, I. G. Hughes, and C. S. Adams. Collective Lamb Shift of a Nanoscale Atomic Vapour Layer within a Sapphire Cavity. *Physical Review Letters*, 120(24):243401, Jun 2018.
- [99] T. Peyrot, Y. R. P. Sortais, J.-J. Greffet, A. Browaeys, A. Sargsyan, J. Keaveney, I. G. Hughes, and C. S. Adams. Optical Transmission of an Atomic Vapor in the Mesoscopic Regime. *Physical Review Letters*, 122(11):113401, Mar 2019.
- [100] A. Sargsyan, E. Klinger, Y. Pashayan-Leroy, C. Leroy, A. Papoyan, and D. Sarkisyan. Selective reflection from Rb vapor in half-and quarter-wave cells: Features and possible applications. *JETP Letters*, 104(4):224–230, 2016.
- [101] Emmanuel Klinger, Hrayr Azizbekyan, Armen Sargsyan, Claude Leroy, David Sarkisyan, and Aram Papoyan. Proof of the feasibility of a nanocell-based wide-range optical magnetometer. *Applied Optics*, 59(8):2231–2237, 2020.
- [102] A Sargsyan, AS Sarkisyan, A Tonoyan, and D Sarkisyan. Use of atomic spectroscopy for measuring strong inhomogeneous magnetic fields. *Journal of Applied Spectroscopy*, 88(6):1105–1110, 2022.
- [103] M. Auzinsh, A. Sargsyan, A. Tonoyan, C. Leroy, R. Momier, D. Sarkisyan, and A. Papoyan. Wide range linear magnetometer based on a sub-microsized K vapor cell. *Applied Optics*, 61(19):5749–5754, 2022.
- [104] Gabriel Dutier, Solomon Saltiel, Daniel Bloch, and Martial Ducloy. Revisiting optical spectroscopy in a thin vapor cell: mixing of reflection and transmission as a Fabry–Perot microcavity effect. *Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, 20(5):793–800, May 2003.
- [105] D. Sarkisyan, T. Varzhapetyan, A. Sarkisyan, Yu. Malakyan, A. Papoyan, A. Lezama, D. Bloch, and M. Ducloy. Spectroscopy in an extremely thin vapor cell: Comparing the cell-length dependence in fluorescence and in absorption techniques. *Physical Review A*, 69(6):065802, Jun 2004.
- [106] T. Peyrot, N. Šibalić, Y. R. P. Sortais, A. Browaeys, A. Sargsyan, D. Sarkisyan, I. G. Hughes, and C. S. Adams. Measurement of the atom-surface van der Waals interaction by transmission spectroscopy in a wedged nanocell. *Physical Review A*, 100(2):022503, Aug 2019.
- [107] Ennio Arimondo. Relaxation processes in coherent-population trapping. *Physical Review A*, 54(3):2216–2223, Sep 1996.
- [108] S. Brandt, A. Nagel, R. Wynands, and D. Meschede. Buffer-gas-induced linewidth reduction of coherent dark resonances to below 50 Hz. *Physical Review A*, 56(2):R1063–R1066, Aug 1997.
- [109] O. Firstenberg, M. Shuker, A. Ron, and N. Davidson. Colloquium: Coherent diffusion of polaritons in atomic media. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 85(3):941–960, Jul 2013.
- [110] E.L. Lewis. Collisional relaxation of atomic excited states, line broadening and interatomic interactions. *Physics Reports*, 58(1):1–71, Feb 1980.
- [111] Lee Weller, Robert J. Bettles, Paul Siddons, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Absolute absorption on the rubidium  $D_1$  line including resonant dipole–dipole interactions. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 44(19):195006, Sep 2011.
- [112] Z. J. Jabbour, J. Sagle, R. K. Namiotka, and J. Huennekens. Measurement of the self-broadening rate coefficients of the cesium resonance lines. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 54(5):767–778, Nov 1995.
- [113] J. Huennekens and A. Gallagher. Self-broadening of the sodium resonance lines and excitation transfer between the  $3P_{3/2}$  and  $3P_{1/2}$  levels. *Physical Review A*, 27:1851–1864, Apr 1983.

- [114] Rikio Kondo, Satoshi Tojo, Takashi Fujimoto, and Masahiro Hasuo. Shift and broadening in attenuated total reflection spectra of the hyperfine-structure-resolved D<sub>2</sub> line of dense rubidium vapor. *Physical Review A*, 73:062504, Jun 2006.
- [115] Vladimir A. Sautenkov, Tigran S. Varzhapetyan, Hebin Li, David Sarkisyan, and Marlan O. Scully. Selective reflection of a laser beam from a dilute rubidium vapor. *Journal of Russian Laser Research*, 31(3):270–275, May 2010.
- [116] A. M. Akul'shin, V. L. Velichanskiĭ, A. S. Zibrov, V. V. Nikitin, V. V. Sautenkov, E. K. Yurkin, and N. V. Senkov. Collisional broadening of intra-Doppler resonances of selective reflection on the D<sub>2</sub> line of cesium. *JETP Letters*, 36(7):303–307, 1982.
- [117] Giacinto Scoles. *Atomic and Molecular Beam Methods: Volume 1*. OUP, Oxford, UK, 1998.
- [118] Norman F Ramsey. *Molecular Beams*. OUP, Oxford, UK, 1956.
- [119] Alan Corney. *Atomic and Laser Spectroscopy*. OUP, Oxford, 1989.
- [120] A. P. Thorne. *Spectrophysics*. Chapman and Hall, London, 2nd edition, 1988.
- [121] Ifan G. Hughes and Thomas P. A. Hase. *Measurements and their Uncertainties: A Practical Guide to Modern Error Analysis*. OUP, Oxford, 2010.
- [122] Ifan G Hughes. Velocity selection in a Doppler-broadened ensemble of atoms interacting with a monochromatic laser beam. *Journal of Modern Optics*, 65(5-6):640–647, 2018.
- [123] Paul Siddons, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Off-resonance absorption and dispersion in vapours of hot alkali-metal atoms. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 42(17):175004, Sep 2009.
- [124] Paul Siddons, Nia C. Bell, Yifei Cai, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. A gigahertz-bandwidth atomic probe based on the slow-light Faraday effect. *Nature Photonics*, 3(4):225–229, Mar 2009.
- [125] Gar-Wing Truong, Eric F. May, Thomas M. Stace, and André N. Luiten. Quantitative atomic spectroscopy for primary thermometry. *Physical Review A*, 83(3):033805, Mar 2011.
- [126] G.-W. Truong, D. Stuart, J. D. Anstie, E. F. May, T. M. Stace, and A. N. Luiten. Atomic spectroscopy for primary thermometry. *Metrologia*, 52(5):S324–S342, Oct 2015.
- [127] Livio Gianfrani. Linking the thermodynamic temperature to an optical frequency: recent advances in Doppler broadening thermometry. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 374(2064):20150047, Mar 2016.
- [128] R. Gotti, M. Lamperti, D. Gatti, and M. Marangoni. Laser-Based Primary Thermometry: A Review. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, 50(3):031501, Sep 2021.
- [129] S. Wójtewicz, P. Wcisło, D. Lisak, and R. Ciuryło. Dispersion corrections to the Gaussian profile describing the Doppler broadening of spectral lines. *Physical Review A*, 93(4):042512, Apr 2016.
- [130] J. Kitching, E. A. Donley, S. Knappe, M. Hummon, A. T. Dells, J. Sherman, K. Srinivasan, V. A. Aksyuk, Q. Li, D. Westly, et al. NIST on a Chip: Realizing SI units with microfabricated alkali vapour cells. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 723, page 012056. IOP Publishing, 2016.
- [131] Yijie Pan, Wenhan Liao, He Wang, Yan Yao, Jinhui Cai, and Jifeng Qu. Cesium atomic doppler broadening thermometry for room temperature measurement. *Chinese Optics Letters*, 17(6):060201, 2019.
- [132] E. Arimondo, M. Inguscio, and P. Violino. Experimental determinations of the hyperfine structure in the alkali atoms. *Review of Modern Physics*, 49(1):31–75, Jan 1977.
- [133] Mark A. Zentile, James Keaveney, Lee Weller, Daniel J. Whiting, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. ElecSus: A program to calculate the electric susceptibility of an atomic ensemble. *Computer Physics Communications*, 189:162–174, April 2015.
- [134] Paul Siddons, Charles S. Adams, Chang Ge, and Ifan G. Hughes. Absolute absorption on rubidium D lines: comparison between theory and experiment. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 41(15):155004, Jul 2008.
- [135] A. R. Edmonds. *Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics, 2nd ed.* Princeton University

- Press, Oxford, 1960.
- [136] David A. Smith and Ifan G. Hughes. The role of hyperfine pumping in multilevel systems exhibiting saturated absorption. *American Journal of Physics*, 72(5):631, 2004.
  - [137] Ben E. Sherlock and Ifan G. Hughes. How weak is a weak probe in laser spectroscopy? *American Journal of Physics*, 77(2):111, 2009.
  - [138] J. Sagle, R. K. Namiotka, and J. Huennekens. Measurement and modelling of intensity dependent absorption and transit relaxation on the cesium D<sub>1</sub> line. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 29(12):2629, 1996.
  - [139] T. Lindvall and I. Tittonen. Effect of optical pumping on alkali-atom Doppler-limited spectra. *Journal of Modern Optics*, 54(18):2779–2793, 2007.
  - [140] Seo Ro Shin and Heung-Ryoul Noh. Calculation and Measurement of Absolute Transmission in Rubidium. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 78(8):084302–084302, 2009.
  - [141] Seo Ro Shin and Heung-Ryoul Noh. Doppler spectroscopy of arbitrarily polarized light in rubidium. *Optics Communications*, 284(5):1243–1246, Mar 2011.
  - [142] Thomas Lindvall and Ilkka Tittonen. Interaction-time-averaged optical pumping in alkali-metal-atom Doppler spectroscopy. *Physical Review A*, 80(3):032505, Sep 2009.
  - [143] Thomas M. Stace, Gar-Wing Truong, James Anstie, Eric F. May, and André N. Luiten. Power-dependent line-shape corrections for quantitative spectroscopy. *Physical Review A*, 86(1):012506, Jul 2012.
  - [144] Gar-Wing Truong, James D. Anstie, Eric F. May, Thomas M. Stace, and André N. Luiten. Absolute absorption line-shape measurements at the shot-noise limit. *Physical Review A*, 86(3):030501, Sep 2012.
  - [145] G.-W. Truong, J. D. Anstie, E. F. May, T. M. Stace, and A. N. Luiten. Accurate lineshape spectroscopy and the Boltzmann constant. *Nature Communications*, 6:8345, Oct 2015.
  - [146] T. M. Stace and A. N. Luiten. Theory of spectroscopy in an optically pumped effusive vapor. *Physical Review A*, 81(3):033848, Mar 2010.
  - [147] Paul Siddons. Light propagation through atomic vapours. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 47(9):093001, Apr 2014.
  - [148] A. J. van Lange, P. van der Straten, and D. van Oosten. Combined effect of non-linear optical and collisional processes on absorption saturation in a dense rubidium vapour. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 53(12):125402, May 2020. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
  - [149] A. J. van Lange, S. P. van Solinge, G. Buist, and D. van Oosten. Beam shape modification due to the non-linear optical response in a dense rubidium vapor. *OSA Continuum*, 4(8):2364–2371, 2021.
  - [150] Rajni Bala, Joyee Ghosh, and Vivek Venkataraman. A comprehensive model for Doppler spectra in thermal atomic vapour. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 2022.
  - [151] James Keaveney, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. ElecSus: Extension to arbitrary geometry magneto-optics. *Computer Physics Communications*, 224:311–324, March 2018.
  - [152] BH Bransden and CJ Joachain. *Physics of atoms and molecules* longman scientific & technical. *Essex*, 1983.
  - [153] J. Dupont-Roc, S. Haroche, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji. Detection of very weak magnetic fields ( $10^{-9}$  gauss) by  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  zero-field level crossing resonances. *Physics Letters A*, 28(9):638–639, Feb 1969.
  - [154] G. Breit and I. I. Rabi. Measurement of nuclear spin. *Physical Review*, 38(11):2082, Dec 1931.
  - [155] G. K. Woodgate. *Elementary Atomic Structure*. Oxford science publications. Clarendon Press, 1980.
  - [156] Armen Sargsyan, Rodolphe Momier, Claude Leroy, and David Sarkisyan. Saturated absorption technique used in Potassium microcell for magnetic field sensing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.02703*, 2022.
  - [157] C. Umfer, L. Windholz, and M. Musso. Investigations of the sodium and lithium D-lines in

- strong magnetic fields. *Zeitschrift für Physik D: Atoms, Molecules and Clusters*, 25(1):23–29, Mar 1992.
- [158] L. Windholz. Zeeman- and Paschen-Back-Effect of the Hyperfine Structure of the Sodium D<sub>1</sub>-Line. *Zeitschrift für Physik A: Atoms and Nuclei*, 322(2):203–206, Jun 1985.
- [159] L. Windholz and M. Musso. Zeeman- and Paschen-Back-effect of the hyperfine structure of the sodium D<sub>2</sub>-line. *Zeitschrift für Physik D: Atoms, Molecules and Clusters*, 8(3):239–249, Sep 1988.
- [160] B. A. Olsen, B. Patton, Y.-Y. Jau, and W. Happer. Optical pumping and spectroscopy of Cs vapor at high magnetic field. *Physical Review A*, 84(6):063410, Dec 2011.
- [161] Lee Weller, Kathrin S. Kleinbach, Mark A. Zentile, Svenja Knappe, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Absolute absorption and dispersion of a rubidium vapour in the hyperfine Paschen–Back regime. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 45(21):215005, Oct 2012.
- [162] Armen Sargsyan, Grant Hakhumyan, Claude Leroy, Yevgenya Pashayan-Leroy, Aram Papoyan, and David Sarkisyan. Hyperfine Paschen–Back regime realized in Rb nanocell. *Optics letters*, 37(8):1379–1381, 2012.
- [163] Mark A. Zentile, Rebecca Andrews, Lee Weller, Svenja Knappe, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. The hyperfine Paschen–Back Faraday effect. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 47(7):075005, Mar 2014.
- [164] A. Sargsyan, G. Hakhumyan, C. Leroy, Y. Pashayan-Leroy, A. Papoyan, D. Sarkisyan, and M. Auzinsh. Hyperfine Paschen–Back regime in alkali metal atoms: consistency of two theoretical considerations and experiment. *Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, 31(5):1046–1053, 2014.
- [165] Armen Sargsyan, Emmanuel Klinger, Grant Hakhumyan, Ara Tonoyan, Aram Papoyan, Claude Leroy, and David Sarkisyan. Decoupling of hyperfine structure of Cs D<sub>1</sub> line in strong magnetic field studied by selective reflection from a nanocell. *Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, 34(4):776, Apr 2017.
- [166] Renju S. Mathew, Francisco Ponciano-Ojeda, James Keaveney, Daniel J. Whiting, and Ifan G. Hughes. Simultaneous two-photon resonant optical laser locking (STROLLing) in the hyperfine Paschen–Back regime. *Optics Letters*, 43(17):4204–4207, 2018.
- [167] James Keaveney, Francisco S Ponciano-Ojeda, Stefan M Rieche, Mark J Raine, Damian P Hampshire, and Ifan G Hughes. Quantitative optical spectroscopy of <sup>87</sup>Rb vapour in the voigt geometry in dc magnetic fields up to 0.4 T. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 52(5):055003, 2019.
- [168] Francisco S. Ponciano-Ojeda, Fraser D. Logue, and Ifan G. Hughes. Absorption spectroscopy and Stokes polarimetry in a <sup>87</sup>Rb vapour in the Voigt geometry with a 1.5 T external magnetic field. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 54(1):015401, 2020.
- [169] M. R. Gomez, S. B. Hansen, K. J. Peterson, D. E. Bliss, A. L. Carlson, D. C. Lamppa, D. G. Schroen, and G. A. Rochau. Magnetic field measurements via visible spectroscopy on the Z machine. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 85(11):11E609, Aug 2014.
- [170] W. B. Garn, R. S. Caird, D. B. Thomson, and C. M. Fowler. Technique for Measuring Megagauss Magnetic Fields Using Zeeman Effect. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 37(6):762–767, Jun 1966.
- [171] J. T. Banasek, J. T. Engelbrecht, S. A. Pikuz, T. A. Shelkovenko, and D. A. Hammer. Measuring 10-20 T magnetic fields in single wire explosions using Zeeman splitting. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 87(10):103506, Oct 2016.
- [172] D. Ciampini, R. Battesti, C. Rizzo, and E. Arimondo. Optical spectroscopy of a microsized Rb vapour sample in magnetic fields up to 58 tesla. *Physical Review A*, 96(5):052504, Nov 2017.
- [173] S. George, N. Bruyant, J. Béard, S. Scotto, E. Arimondo, R. Battesti, D. Ciampini, and C. Rizzo. Pulsed high magnetic field measurement with a rubidium vapor sensor. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 88(7):073102, Jul 2017.

- [174] Clare R. Higgins and Ifan G. Hughes. Electromagnetically induced transparency in a V-system with  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  vapour in the hyperfine Paschen-Back regime. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 54(16):165403, Aug 2021.
- [175] Daniel J. Whiting, James Keaveney, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Direct measurement of excited-state dipole matrix elements using electromagnetically induced transparency in the hyperfine Paschen-Back regime. *Physical Review A*, 93(4):043854, Apr 2016.
- [176] Daniel J. Whiting, Erwan Bimbard, James Keaveney, Mark A. Zentile, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Electromagnetically induced absorption in a nondegenerate three-level ladder system. *Optics Letters*, 40(18):4289, Sep 2015.
- [177] D. J. Whiting, N. Šibalić, J. Keaveney, C. S. Adams, and I. G. Hughes. Single-Photon Interference due to Motion in an Atomic Collective Excitation. *Physical Review Letters*, 118(25):253601, Jun 2017.
- [178] Gérard Tréneç, William Volondat, Orphée Cugat, and Jacques Vigué. Permanent magnets for Faraday rotators inspired by the design of the magic sphere. *Applied Optics*, 50(24):4788–4797, 2011.
- [179] Danielle Pizzey. Tunable homogeneous kG magnetic field production using permanent magnets. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 92(12):123002, Dec 2021.
- [180] Hidenobu Hori, Masaharu Miki, and Muneyuki Date. Paschen-Back Effect of D-lines in Sodium under a High Magnetic Field. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 51(5):1566–1570, 1982.
- [181] George Gabriel Stokes. On the Composition and Resolution of Streams of Polarized Light from Different Sources. *Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 9:399, 1851.
- [182] Beth Schaefer, Edward Collett, Robert Smyth, Daniel Barrett, and Beth Fraher. Measuring the Stokes polarization parameters. *American Journal of Physics*, 75(2):163–168, Jan 2007.
- [183] Keshaan Singh, Najmeh Tabebordbar, Andrew Forbes, and Angela Dudley. Digital Stokes polarimetry and its application to structured light: tutorial. *Journal of the Optical Society of America A*, 37(11):C33–C44, 2020.
- [184] Lee Weller, Toryn Dalton, Paul Siddons, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Measuring the Stokes parameters for light transmitted by a high-density rubidium vapour in large magnetic fields. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 45(5):055001, Feb 2012.
- [185] Paul Siddons, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. Optical control of Faraday rotation in hot Rb vapor. *Physical Review A*, 81:043838, Apr 2010.
- [186] Michael Faraday. I. Experimental researches in electricity.—Nineteenth series. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, (136):1–20, 1846.
- [187] Woldemar Voigt. Zur Theorie der magneto-optischen Erscheinungen. *Annalen der Physik*, 303(2):345–365, 1899.
- [188] L. J. Aplet and J. W. Carson. A Faraday Effect Optical Isolator. *Applied Optics*, 3(4):544–545, 1964.
- [189] F. J. Sansalone. Compact Optical Isolator. *Applied Optics*, 10(10):2329–2331, 1971.
- [190] F. Schuller, M. J. D. Macpherson, D. N. Stacey, R. B. Warrington, and K. P. Zetie. The Voigt effect in a dilute atomic vapour. *Optics Communications*, 86(2):123–127, 1991.
- [191] Tadas Pyragius, Hans Marin Florez, and Thomas Fernholz. Voigt-effect-based three-dimensional vector magnetometer. *Physical Review A*, 100(2):023416, Aug 2019.
- [192] Kazuyuki Muroo, Takeshi Matsunobe, Yukio Shishido, Yoshitaka Tukubo, and Manabu Yamamoto. Resonant Voigt-effect spectrum of the rubidium  $\text{D}_2$  transition. *Journal of the Optical Society of America B*, 11(3):409–414, 1994.
- [193] N. H. Edwards, S. J. Phipp, and P. E. G. Baird. Magneto-optic rotation for an arbitrary field direction. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 28(18):4041, 1995.
- [194] G. Nienhuis and F. Schuller. Magneto-optical effects of saturating light for arbitrary field direction. *Optics Communications*, 151(1-3):40–45, May 1998.
- [195] Robertus Erdélyi, Marianna B. Korsós, Xin Huang, et al. The Solar Activity Monitor Network - SAMNet. *Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate*, 12:2, June 2022.

- [196] M. Cimino, A. Cacciani, and N. Sopranzi. An instrument to measure solar magnetic fields by an atomic-beam method. *Solar Physics*, 3(4):618–622, Apr 1968.
- [197] M. Cimino, A. Cacciani, and M. Fofi. Some developments of the magnetic beam absorption filter. *Solar Physics*, 11(2):319–333, Feb 1970.
- [198] Pochi Yeh. Dispersive magneto-optic filters. *Applied Optics*, 21(11):2069–2075, Jun 1982.
- [199] D. J. Dick and T. M. Shay. Ultrahigh-noise rejection optical filter. *Optics Letters*, 16(11):867–869, Jun 1991.
- [200] Stefan L. Kemp, Ifan G. Hughes, and Simon L. Cornish. An analytical model of off-resonant Faraday rotation in hot alkali metal vapours. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 44(23):235004, Nov 2011.
- [201] Ryan K. Hanley, Philip D. Gregory, Ifan G. Hughes, and Simon L. Cornish. Absolute absorption on the potassium D lines: theory and experiment. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 48(19):195004, Aug 2015.
- [202] Mark A. Zentile, James Keaveney, Lee Weller, Daniel J. Whiting, Charles S. Adams, and Ifan G. Hughes. ElecSus: A program to calculate the electric susceptibility of an atomic ensemble. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 189:162–174, apr 2015.
- [203] Daniel J. McCarron, Ifan G. Hughes, Patrick Tierney, and Simon L. Cornish. A heated vapor cell unit for dichroic atomic vapor laser lock in atomic rubidium. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 78(9):093106, Sep 2007.
- [204] J. Menders, K. Benson, S. H. Bloom, C. S. Liu, and Eric Korevaar. Ultranarrow line filtering using a Cs Faraday filter at 852 nm. *Optics Letters*, 16(11):846–848, June 1991.
- [205] Bin Luo, Longfei Yin, Junyu Xiong, Jingbiao Chen, and Hong Guo. Signal intensity influences on the atomic Faraday filter. *Optics Letters*, 43(11):2458–2461, Jun 2018.
- [206] Fraser D. Logue, Jack D. Briscoe, Danielle Pizzey, Steven A. Wrathmall, and Ifan G. Hughes. Better magneto-optical filters with cascaded vapor cells. *Optics Letters*, 47(12):2975–2978, 2022.
- [207] H. Chen, C. Y. She, Paul Searcy, and Eric Korevaar. Sodium-vapor dispersive Faraday filter. *Optics Letters*, 18(12):1019–1021, Jun 1993.
- [208] Yundong Zhang, Xiaoling Jia, Zuguang Ma, and Qi Wang. Potassium Faraday optical filter in line-center operation. *Optics Communications*, 194(1):147–150, Jul 2001.
- [209] Ilja Gerhardt. How anomalous is my Faraday filter? *Optics Letters*, 43(21):5295–5298, Nov 2018.
- [210] Clare R. Higgins, Danielle Pizzey, Renju S. Mathew, and Ifan G. Hughes. Atomic line versus lens cavity filters: a comparison of their merits. *OSA Continuum*, 3(4):961–970, 2020.
- [211] Joanna A. Zielińska, Federica A. Beduini, Vito Giovanni Lucivero, and Morgan W. Mitchell. Atomic filtering for hybrid continuous-variable/discrete-variable quantum optics. *Optics Express*, 22(21):25307–25317, Oct 2014.
- [212] Simone Luca Portalupi, Matthias Widmann, Cornelius Nawrath, Michael Jetter, Peter Michler, Jörg Wrachtrup, and Ilja Gerhardt. Simultaneous Faraday filtering of the Mollow triplet sidebands with the Cs-D<sub>1</sub> clock transition. *Nature Communications*, 7, Nov 2016.
- [213] Wilhelm Kiefer, Mohammad Rezai, Jörg Wrachtrup, and Ilja Gerhardt. An atomic spectrum recorded with a single-molecule light source. *Applied Physics B-Lasers and Optics*, 122(2), Feb 2016.
- [214] Tang Junxiong, Wang Qingji, Li Yimin, Zhang Liang, Gan Jianhua, Duan Minghao, Kong Jiankun, and Zheng Lemin. Experimental study of a model digital space optical communication system with new quantum devices. *Applied Optics*, 34(15):2619–2622, May 1995.
- [215] Xin Shan, Xianping Sun, Jun Luo, Zheng Tan, and Mingsheng Zhan. Free-space quantum key distribution with Rb vapor filters. *Applied Physics Letters*, 89(19):191121, Nov 2006.
- [216] Xinyu Miao, Longfei Yin, Wei Zhuang, Bin Luo, Anhong Dang, Jingbiao Chen, and Hong Guo. Note: Demonstration of an external-cavity diode laser system immune to current and temperature fluctuations. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 82(8):086106, Aug 2011.

- [217] Pengyuan Chang, Yilai Chen, Haosen Shang, Xiaolei Guan, Hong Guo, Jingbiao Chen, and Bin Luo. A Faraday laser operating on Cs 852 nm transition. *Applied Physics B-Lasers and Optics*, 125(12), Dec 2019.
- [218] Hao Tang, Huizi Zhao, Rui Wang, Liang Li, Zining Yang, Hongyan Wang, Weiqiang Yang, Kai Han, and Xiaojun Xu. 18W ultra-narrow diode laser absolutely locked to the Rb D<sub>2</sub> line. *Optics Express*, 29(23):38728–38736, 2021.
- [219] Zhiming Tao, Xiaogang Zhang, Duo Pan, Mo Chen, Chuanwen Zhu, and Jingbiao Chen. Faraday laser using 1.2 km fiber as an extended cavity. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 49(13):13LT01, May 2016.
- [220] S. H. Bloom, P. A. Searcy, K. Choi, R. Kremer, and Eric Korevaar. Helicopter plume detection by using an ultranarrow-band noncoherent laser Doppler velocimeter. *Optics Letters*, 18(3):244–246, Feb 1993.
- [221] H. Chen, M. A. White, David A. Krueger, and C. Y. She. Daytime mesopause temperature measurements with a sodium-vapor dispersive Faraday filter in a lidar receiver. *Optics Letters*, 21(15):1093–1095, Aug 1996.
- [222] Cord Fricke-Begemann, Matthias Alpers, and Josef Höffner. Daylight rejection with a new receiver for potassium resonance temperature lidars. *Optics Letters*, 27(21):1932–1934, Nov 2002.
- [223] Josef Höffner and Cord Fricke-Begemann. Accurate lidar temperatures with narrowband filters. *Optics Letters*, 30(8):890–892, Apr 2005.
- [224] A. Popescu, K. Schorstein, and T. Walther. A novel approach to a Brillouin-LIDAR for remote sensing of the ocean temperature. *Applied Physics B*, 79(8):955–961, Dec 2004.
- [225] Yang Yong, Cheng Xuewu, Li Faquan, Hu Xiong, Lin Xin, and Gong Shunsheng. A flat spectral Faraday filter for sodium lidar. *Optics Letters*, 36(7):1302–1304, Apr 2011.
- [226] Yuan Xia, XueWu Cheng, FaQuan Li, Yong Yang, Xin Lin, Jing Jiao, LiFang Du, JiHong Wang, and GuoTao Yang. Sodium lidar observation over full diurnal cycles in Beijing, China. *Applied Optics*, 59(6):1529–1536, Feb 2020.
- [227] Wentao Huang, Xinzhao Chu, Johannes Wiig, Bo Tan, Chihoko Yamashita, T. Yuan, J. Yue, S. D. Harrell, C.-Y. She, B. P. Williams, J. S. Friedman, and R. M. Hardesty. Field demonstration of simultaneous wind and temperature measurements from 5 to 50 km with a Na double-edge magneto-optic filter in a multi-frequency Doppler lidar. *Optics Letters*, 34(10):1552–1554, May 2009.
- [228] Michael W. Kudenov, Brett Pantalone, and Ruonan Yang. Dual-beam potassium Voigt filter for atomic line imaging. *Applied Optics*, 59(17):5282–5289, Jun 2020.
- [229] Ioannis Caltzidis, Harald Kübler, Tilman Pfau, Robert Löw, and Mark A Zentile. Atomic Faraday beam splitter for light generated from pump-degenerate four-wave mixing in a hollow-core photonic crystal fiber. *Physical Review A*, 103(4):043501, Apr 2021.
- [230] Wolfram Research, Inc. Mathematica, Version 13.1. <https://www.wolfram.com/mathematica>. Champaign, IL, 2022.
- [231] Gianni Di Domenico and Antoine Weis. Spectra of the D-Lines of Alkali Vapors. <https://demonstrations.wolfram.com/SpectraOfTheDLinesOfAlkaliVapors/>, 2011. accessed: 20-07-22.
- [232] Gianni Di Domenico and Antoine Weis. Vapor Pressure and Density of Alkali Metal. <https://demonstrations.wolfram.com/VaporPressureAndDensityOfAlkaliMetals/>, 2011. accessed: 20-07-22.
- [233] Mark Zentile and James Keaveney. ElecSus. <https://github.com/durham-qlm/ElecSus>, 2018. accessed: 20-07-22.
- [234] Simon Rochester. Atomic Density Matrix. <https://www.rochesterscientific.com/ADM/>, 2020. accessed: 20-07-22.
- [235] Simon Rochester. Linear Absorption Fitting. <https://www.rochesterscientific.com/ADM/AtomicDensityMatrix/tutorial/LinearAbsorptionFitting.html>, 2020. accessed: 20-07-

- 22.
- [236] James Keaveney. Automated translating beam profiler for in situ laser beam spot-size and focal position measurements. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 89(3):035114, Mar 2018.
- [237] Pizzey, Danielle. and Briscoe, Jack D., and Logue, Fraser D. and Ponciano-Ojeda, Francisco S. and Wrathmall, Steven A. and Hughes, Ifan G. Scope2ElecSus Notebook. <https://github.com/durham-qlm/scope2elecsus>, 2022. accessed: 28-07-22.
- [238] Paul Burdekin, Samuele Grandi, Rielly Newbold, Rowan A. Hoggarth, Kyle D. Major, and Alex S. Clark. Single-Photon-Level Sub-Doppler Pump-Probe Spectroscopy of Rubidium. *Physical Review Applied*, 14:044046, Oct 2020.
- [239] Michael Hercher. The Spherical Mirror Fabry-Perot Interferometer. *Applied Optics*, 7(5):951–966, May 1968.
- [240] Antonino Newville, Matthew; Stensitzki, Till; Allen, Daniel B.; Ingargiola. LMFIT: Non-Linear Least-Square Minimization and Curve-Fitting for Python, 2014.
- [241] M. Newville, R. Otten, A. Nelson, A. Ingargiola, T. Stensitzki, Dan Allan, Austin Fox, Faustin Carter, Michał, Dima Pustakhod, Yoav Ram, Glenn, Christoph Deil, Stuermer, Alexandre Beelen, Oliver Frost, Nicholas Zobrist, Mark, Gustavo Pasquevich, Allan L. R. Hansen, Tim Spillane, Shane Caldwell, Anthony Polloreno, Andrew Hannum, Jonathan Fraine, deep 42-thought, Benjamin F. Maier, Ben Gamari, Arun Persaud, and Anthony Almarza. Lmfit: Non-linear least-square minimization and curve-fitting for python, v1.0.1, May 2020.
- [242] Andrew Daffurn, Rachel F. Offer, and Aidan S. Arnold. A simple, powerful diode laser system for atomic physics. *Applied Optics*, 60(20):5832–5836, Jul 2021.
- [243] F. S. Ponciano-Ojeda. *Stokes polarimetry and magnetometry using a thermal Rb vapour in the Voigt geometry with large magnetic field*. PhD Thesis, Durham University, 2021.
- [244] Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig. *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. Pearson Education Inc., New Jersey, 2nd edition, 2003.
- [245] S. Kirkpatrick, C. D. Gelatt Jr., and M. P Vecchi. Optimization by Simulated Annealing. *Science*, 220(4598):671–680, May 1983.
- [246] Rainer Storn and Kenneth Price. Differential Evolution – A Simple and Efficient Heuristic for global Optimization over Continuous Spaces. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 11(4):341–359, 1997.
- [247] Swagatam Das and Ponnuthurai Nagaratnam Suganthan. Differential Evolution: A Survey of the State-of-the-Art. *IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation*, 15(1):4–31, Feb 2011.
- [248] F. D. Logue. Dataset. <http://doi.org/10.15128/r2m326m176m>.
- [249] Thierry Passerat de Silans. Determination of atomic density and collisional broadening of an alkali vapor by resonant interferometry. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 51(19):195401, 2018.
- [250] Gar-Wing Truong. *Quantitative atomic gas spectroscopy for the determination of the Boltzmann constant and primary thermometry*. PhD Thesis, University of Western Australia, 2014.

## Appendix A Relative linestrength factors: uncoupled basis

The mathematical treatment of dipole matrix elements in different bases hinges on angular momentum decomposition. For a composite angular momentum  $\mathbf{J}_1 = \mathbf{J}_2 + \mathbf{J}_3$  we can decompose the eigenstates with well defined square-magnitude  $J_1^2$  and  $z$ -component  $(J_1)_Z \equiv M_1$  into a summation of states with well defined  $J_2^2$ ,  $J_3^2$  and  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$ . The coefficients in the linear superposition are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (or vector coupling coefficients), and they are related to the  $3 - j$  symbol. The decomposition is:

$$|J_1, M_1\rangle = \sum \langle J_2, M_2; J_3, M_3 | J_1, M_1 \rangle |J_2, M_2; J_3, M_3\rangle . \quad (\text{A.1})$$

This equation has a geometric interpretation: we can think of a vector,  $|J_1, M_1\rangle$ , as being equal to the sum of its components along a set of basis vectors,  $|J_2, M_2; J_3, M_3\rangle$ , with the magnitude of a component being the overlap between the vector and the basis vector  $\langle J_2, M_2; J_3, M_3 | J_1, M_1 \rangle$ . The summation is over all states that satisfy the equation for conservation of  $z$  component of angular momentum:  $M_1 = M_2 + M_3$ . The Clebsch-Gordan coefficients have many symmetry and permutation relations, and of particular relevance to linestrength calculations are only non-zero if the triangle condition is met:  $|J_2 - J_3| \leq J_1 \leq |J_2 + J_3|$ .

We shall now demonstrate the calculation of relative linestrengths, by considering three transitions of interest, from the D<sub>2</sub> line in <sup>85</sup>Rb:  $5^2S_{1/2}|F = 3, m_F = +3\rangle \rightarrow 5^2P_{3/2}|F' = 4, m_F = +4, 3, 2\rangle$ . We start with decoupling **F** into **I** + **J**. We adapt equation (A.1) to write  $|F, m_F\rangle = \sum \langle \mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}}; J, m_J | F, m_F \rangle |\mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}}; J, m_J\rangle$ . The combination  $|\mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}}; J, m_J\rangle$  has two angular momenta operating in different spaces, and this state is simply a direct product which we can write in a slightly different way:  $|\mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}}; J, m_J\rangle = |\mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}}\rangle \otimes |J, m_J\rangle$ . Thus the decoupled state is  $|F, m_F\rangle = \sum \langle \mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}} | \otimes \langle J, m_J | F, m_F \rangle |\mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}}\rangle \otimes |J, m_J\rangle$ . For brevity, we shall write  $|\mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}}\rangle \otimes |J, m_J\rangle$  as  $|\mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}}\rangle |J, m_J\rangle$ . For <sup>85</sup>Rb the nucleus has spin  $\mathcal{I} = 5/2$ . The ground state decomposition is trivial as it is a *stretched state*. There is only one way of making up the state with a projection of +3, namely  $|3, 3\rangle = |5/2, 5/2\rangle |1/2, 1/2\rangle$ . Following a similar procedure we decouple the three excited states of interest, all of which have  $J = 3/2$ . There is another example of a stretched state with a trivial decomposition:  $|4, 4\rangle = |5/2, 5/2\rangle |3/2, 3/2\rangle$ . The other two are evaluated (using look-up tables for the coefficients) to be

$$|4, 3\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} |5/2, 5/2\rangle |3/2, 1/2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{5}{8}} |5/2, 3/2\rangle |3/2, 3/2\rangle, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |4, 2\rangle = & \sqrt{\frac{3}{28}} |5/2, 5/2\rangle |3/2, -1/2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{15}{28}} |5/2, 3/2\rangle |3/2, 1/2\rangle \\ & + \sqrt{\frac{5}{14}} |5/2, 1/2\rangle |3/2, 3/2\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Note the conservation of  $z$  component of angular momentum in the components on the right hand side of these equations.

The next step is a decoupling of **J** into **L** + **S**; i.e. a complete decoupling of **F** into **I**, **L** and **S**. We use equation (A.1) again with different angular momenta. There is another stretched state whose decomposition is trivial; using the notation  $|J, m_J\rangle = \sum \langle L, m_L; S, m_S | J, m_J \rangle |L, m_L; S, m_S\rangle$ , we can write

$$|3/2, 3/2\rangle = |1, 1\rangle |1/2, 1/2\rangle. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

(Note that the last vector  $|1/2, 1/2\rangle$  can also be written as  $|\uparrow\rangle$ , as it represents an electron in the spin-up state; we shall not adopt that notation here). The uncoupling

of the other states of interest follow:

$$|3/2, 1/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|1, 1\rangle|1/2, -1/2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1, 0\rangle|1/2, 1/2\rangle, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and

$$|3/2, -1/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|1, 0\rangle|1/2, -1/2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|1, -1\rangle|1/2, 1/2\rangle. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

This allows us to write down the complete decoupling of the states of interest using  $|L, m_L\rangle$ ,  $|\mathcal{I}, m_{\mathcal{I}}\rangle$  and  $|S, m_S\rangle$  notation

$$\begin{aligned} |3, 3\rangle &= |5/2, 5/2\rangle|0, 0\rangle|1/2, 1/2\rangle, \\ |4, 4\rangle &= |5/2, 5/2\rangle|1, 1\rangle|1/2, 1/2\rangle, \\ |4, 3\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{8}}|5/2, 5/2\rangle|1, 1\rangle|1/2, -1/2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}|5/2, 5/2\rangle|1, 0\rangle|1/2, 1/2\rangle \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{5}{8}}|5/2, 3/2\rangle|1, 1\rangle|1/2, 1/2\rangle, \\ |4, 2\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{14}}|5/2, 5/2\rangle|1, 0\rangle|1/2, -1/2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{28}}|5/2, 5/2\rangle|1, -1\rangle|1/2, 1/2\rangle \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{5}{28}}|5/2, 3/2\rangle|1, 1\rangle|1/2, -1/2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{5}{14}}|5/2, 3/2\rangle|1, 0\rangle|1/2, 1/2\rangle \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{5}{14}}|5/2, 1/2\rangle|1, 1\rangle|1/2, 1/2\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We can use these states to evaluate the relative transition linestrengths. There are two key simplification in the calculation; the first arises as a consequence of the electric dipole operator acting only in real space, and being able to change the orbital angular momentum of the atom. During an electric dipole transition neither the electron spin nor the nuclear spin nor their  $z$ -components can change. Therefore the only states that can be coupled by the electric dipole operator must have the same  $|S, m_S\rangle$  and  $|\mathcal{I}, M_{\mathcal{I}}\rangle$ . The second is the simplicity of the selection rule for transitions between states and  $|L, m_L\rangle$  and  $|L', m_{L'}\rangle$ ; these are  $\Delta L \equiv L' - L = \pm 1$ , and  $\Delta m_L \equiv m_{L'} - m_L = \pm 1, 0$ , corresponding to  $\sigma^\pm$ , and  $\pi$  transitions, respectively. By defining the quantization axis  $z$  along  $B$ , the component of polarisation in the  $xy$ -plane results in a non-zero dipole matrix element if and only if  $\Delta m_L = \pm 1$ . These transitions are called  $\sigma^\pm$ , driven by left (for  $\sigma^+$ ) and right (for  $\sigma^-$ )-hand circular polarised beams propagating along the quantization axis  $z$  [67]. Similarly, any component of electric field oscillating along  $z$  induces a  $\Delta m_L = 0$  dipole transition, which are known as  $\pi$  transitions.

Let us first look at the transition from  $|3, 3\rangle$  to  $|4, 4\rangle$ . The dipole matrix element is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 4, 4 | \mathbf{e} \mathbf{r} | 3, 3 \rangle &= \langle 5/2, 5/2 | \langle 1, 1 | \langle 1/2, 1/2 | \mathbf{e} \mathbf{r} | 5/2, 5/2 \rangle | 0, 0 \rangle | 1/2, 1/2 \rangle, \\ &= \langle 5/2, 5/2 | 5/2, 5/2 \rangle \langle 1/2, 1/2 | 1/2, 1/2 \rangle \langle 1, 1 | \mathbf{e} \mathbf{r} | 0, 0 \rangle, \\ &= \langle 1, 1 | \mathbf{e} \mathbf{r} | 0, 0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Note that all of the overlaps among spin states are equal to 1, as these states are identical; otherwise, the transition is not allowed.

For the other two transitions we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle 4, 3 | \mathbf{er} | 3, 3 \rangle &= \langle 4, 3 | \mathbf{er} | 5/2, 5/2 \rangle | 0, 0 \rangle | 1/2, 1/2 \rangle , \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} \langle 5/2, 5/2 | 5/2, 5/2 \rangle \langle 1/2, 1/2 | 1/2, 1/2 \rangle \langle 1, 0 | \mathbf{er} | 0, 0 \rangle , \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} \langle 1, 0 | \mathbf{er} | 0, 0 \rangle , \tag{A.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle 4, 2 | \mathbf{er} | 3, 3 \rangle &= \langle 4, 2 | \mathbf{er} | 5/2, 5/2 \rangle | 1, 1 \rangle | 1/2, 1/2 \rangle , \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{28}} \langle 5/2, 5/2 | 5/2, 5/2 \rangle \langle 1/2, 1/2 | 1/2, 1/2 \rangle \langle 1, -1 | \mathbf{er} | 0, 0 \rangle , \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{28}} \langle 1, -1 | \mathbf{er} | 0, 0 \rangle . \tag{A.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

(Note that each state in the uncoupled basis has products of vector-coupling coefficients, or  $3 - j$  symbols. Therefore in evaluating a matrix element there will be terms with products of four vector-coupling coefficients; this is the origin of the  $6 - j$  symbol in equation (14), as these are defined as a sum over products of four  $3 - j$  symbols.

The Wigner-Eckart theorem [135, 119] is very useful in relating the  $m$ -dependence of such matrix elements. The theorem states that a matrix element specified by the  $m_F$  values of the initial and final states, and the polarisation of the light ( $q$ ), can be related to a reduced matrix element and a Clebsch-Gordan (or a  $3 - j$ ) symbol:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle J', m_{J'} | e r_q | J, M_J \rangle &= \langle J', m_{J'} | e r_q | J, M_J; 1, q \rangle \langle J' || \mathbf{r} || J \rangle , \\
 &= (-1)^{J' - m_{J'}} \begin{pmatrix} J' & 1 & J \\ m_{J'} & -q & -m_J \end{pmatrix} \langle J' || \mathbf{r} || J \rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

(The 1 appearing on the right hand side is a manifestation of the fact that the electric dipole operator is a vector. There is a more general form of the Wigner-Eckart theorem for arbitrary ranked tensors.)

We can use the Wigner-Eckart theorem to relate the three transition strengths of interest to us, calculated above. As we are interested in the squares of the amplitudes we obtain:

$$|\langle 1, 1 | e r_+ | 0, 0 \rangle|^2 = |\langle 1, 0 | e r_0 | 0, 0 \rangle|^2 = |\langle 1, -1 | e r_- | 0, 0 \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{3} |\langle 1 || \mathbf{er} || 0 \rangle|^2 . \tag{A.10}$$

In this case with  $L = 0$ ,  $L' = 1$ , all three are equal; this is not true in general.

Finally, we can calculate the ratios of the transition strengths for the 3 transitions  $5^2S_{1/2} | F = 3, m_F = +3 \rangle \rightarrow 5^2P_{3/2} | F' = 4, m_F = +4, 3, 2 \rangle$ , given by the ratios of the squares of the dipole matrix elements:

$$1 : \frac{1}{4} : \frac{1}{28} \quad \text{or} \quad 28 : 7 : 1 . \tag{A.11}$$

Obviously, this is far too elaborate a procedure to go through to calculate every transition strength of interest. Equation (14) can be used to calculate relative transition strengths; the purpose of this Appendix is to unpack the calculation for one worked example, to give some insight into the origin of the various factors in the expression.

## Appendix B Etalon effects

In many cases, the processing described in Sections 3 and 4 for the electronic signals to be converted into a useful and quantitative atomic absorption spectra allows for excellent agreement between the experimental data and the theoretical model. Nevertheless, there are additional systematic effects which may be present in the processed signal and impede high precision measurements to be carried out reliably.\* One such effect is apparent in the case where the frequency of the laser used to obtain the atomic absorption must be scanned over a range of tens or hundreds of GHz, particularly in the regions that are far off-resonance from the atomic transitions. Here, the large range of frequencies covered by the laser light leads to the formation of low-finesse etalons [145, 126] from the optical system, in particular uncoated or misaligned surfaces. It is possible to take into account these low-finesse etalons in the signal background by considering the model proposed in [145, 250, 126]. The model is based on the analytic expression for the transmission signal  $\mathcal{T}$  of an etalon [67],

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_{\text{peak}}}{1 + (2\mathcal{F}/\pi)^2 \sin^2(\pi\nu/\nu_{\text{FSR}} + \phi)}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the finesse of the etalon,  $\phi$  is the frequency offset of the etalon,  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{peak}}$  is the peak transmission of the etalon signal,  $\nu$  is the frequency of the light and  $\nu_{\text{FSR}}$  has been defined previously in Section 3.1. Assuming that the finesse of the etalon is low, that is  $\mathcal{F} \ll 1$ , equation B.1 can be rewritten in a simpler form, where the approximation  $1/(1+x^2) \approx (1-x^2)$  gives an expression for the transmission of the etalon in this regime of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} &\approx \mathcal{T}_{\text{peak}}(1 - (2\mathcal{F}/\pi)^2 \sin^2(\pi\nu/\nu_{\text{FSR}} + \phi)), \\ &\approx \mathcal{T}_{\text{peak}}(1 - a \sin^2(\pi\nu/\nu_{\text{FSR}} + \phi)), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where we have introduced the parameter  $a = 4\mathcal{F}^2/\pi^2$  as the amplitude of the etalon.

Having defined the characteristic transmission of an etalon in equations B.1 and B.2 we proceed to incorporate them into the theoretical model of the atomic absorption signal. These systematic effects are independent of the interaction between the atoms and the light, so that we can, without loss of generality, consider them as affecting the light transmitted after the atomic sample. Assuming  $n$  distinct low-finesse etalons in the overall transmission we are now interested in measuring the total transmitted light  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{total}}$ , which is composed of the atomic absorption  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{atom}}$  and the effects of these etalons  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{etalon}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\text{total}} &= \mathcal{T}_{\text{atom}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\text{etalon}}, \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{\text{atom}} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - a_j \sin^2(\pi\nu/\nu_{j,\text{FSR}} + \phi_j)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

\* We note that it is possible to study one of these etalon effects—the one arising owing to multiple reflections from the windows of the cell—to determine the atomic density and collisional broadening coefficient [249].

We note that in equation B.3 we are considering that each of the  $n$  etalons has a unique finesse and free-spectral-range, as well as an offset in phase.

In practice, removing the effects of low-finesse etalons in the optical signals acquired can be done automatically without much additional complication in the processing of the data. Once an atomic absorption spectrum is acquired in the experimental setup, the data are processed following the steps discussed in Section (3).

In doing this, the assumption is made that the effects of the etalons are not of a significant nature on the atomic absorption and the absorption profiles are thus not altered. We then recall equation B.3 and divide the total transmission by the theoretical atomic absorption,  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{total}}/\mathcal{T}_{\text{atoms}}$ , in order to obtain a signal for the component of the total transmission due to the effects of the etalons in the optical system. This signal is then fit by using the expression B.2 implemented as a custom model using the `lmfit` Python package [240, 241]; three free parameters are used to characterise the etalon: its amplitude  $a$ , the free-spectral-range  $\nu_{\text{FSR}}$  and phase offset  $\phi$ . Once a fit is obtained, the number of etalons included is increased iteratively, typically from  $n = 1$  to  $n = 6$ , so that upon observation of the fit residuals there are no slowly-oscillating features and can be considered to be the experimental noise inherent to the light source and detector used. A similar process used in reference [145] reaches this limit after introducing  $n = 3$  etalons in the fit of an atomic absorption spectrum.

## Appendix C Uncertainties in the frequency calibration process

The uncertainties in the frequency calibration of experimental spectra originate from the uncertainty in the horizontal axis of the raw data. In the first instance, this will be determined by the equipment used in the acquisition of the raw signals. For example, the data shown in figure 7(b), with a resolution of 50  $\mu\text{s}$ , the manufacturer specifications state a  $\pm 2.5$  p.p.m accuracy in the oscilloscope time base and an overall resolution of 250 fs $\ddagger$ .

Given the raw times values for the experimental data,  $t_{\text{raw}}$ , the final frequency value  $\nu$  along the horizontal axis is determined by the expression

$$\nu = m_{t \rightarrow \nu} t_{\text{lin}} + c_{t \rightarrow \nu} = m_{t \rightarrow \nu} (t_{\text{raw}} - t_{\text{corr}}) + c_{t \rightarrow \nu}, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where  $t_{\text{corr}}$  is the non-linear correction applied in order to linearise the time-axis,  $t_{\text{lin}}$  is the linearised time value,  $(m_{t \rightarrow \nu} \pm \sigma_{m_{t \rightarrow \nu}})$  is the slope and  $(c_{t \rightarrow \nu} \pm \sigma_{c_{t \rightarrow \nu}})$  is the  $y$ -axis intercept of the calibration obtained from fitting the reference spectrum. The slope and the intercept have associated uncertainties that are used to calculate the uncertainty  $\sigma_\nu$  in the frequency value. Taking the standard formulas for the propagation of errors in reference [121], we arrive at the expression

$$\sigma_\nu = \sqrt{(\sigma_{\nu_{\text{lin}}})^2 + (\sigma_{c_{t \rightarrow \nu}})^2}. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

$\ddagger$  Tektronix DPO7254; more information available at <https://uk.tek.com/datasheet/dpo7000-series>.

Using equation C.2,  $\sigma_\nu$  can be determined for each data point along the linearised frequency axis, and used to estimate the percentage error in the frequency calibration over the extent of the frequency scan.