



# REVEALING CONTEXTUALITY OF QUANTUM CONFIGURATIONS WITH A SAT SOLVER

Axel Muller<sup>1</sup>, Metod Saniga<sup>2</sup>, Alain Giorgetti<sup>1</sup>, Henri de Boutray<sup>3</sup>, Frédéric Holweck<sup>4,5</sup>

Journées nationales du GDR GPL (Génie de la Programmation et du Logiciel), CNRS,  
groupe de travail LVP (Langages et Vérification de Programmes), 5-8 juin 2023, Rennes, France

<sup>1</sup> Université de Franche-Comté, CNRS, institut FEMTO-ST, F-25000 Besançon, France

<sup>2</sup> Astronomical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 059 60 Tatranska Lomnica, Slovakia

<sup>3</sup> ColibriTD, France

<sup>4</sup> ICB, UMR 6303, CNRS, University of Technology of Belfort-Montbéliard, UTBM, 90010 Belfort, France

<sup>5</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA



## Quantum state measure

ket notation  $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$     $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$     $|q\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$

qubit  $|q\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$     $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$     $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$

Measure with the Pauli matrix  $Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$|q\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{|a|^2} |0\rangle \xrightarrow{+1}$   
 $\xrightarrow{|b|^2} |1\rangle \xrightarrow{-1}$

eigenvectors and eigenvalues of  $Z$

## Observables

Pauli matrices (1-qubit observables):  $I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$     $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$     $Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$     $Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

.	$I$	$X$	$Y$	$Z$
$I$	$I$	$X$	$Y$	$Z$
$X$	$X$	$I$	$iZ$	$-iY$
$Y$	$Y$	$-iZ$	$I$	$iX$
$Z$	$Z$	$iY$	$-iX$	$I$

$N$ -qubit Pauli operator ( $N$ -qubit observable):  $G_1 \otimes G_2 \otimes \dots \otimes G_N$ , with  $G_i \in \{I, X, Y, Z\}$   
generalized Pauli group:  $\mathcal{P}_N = (\{1, -1, i, -i\} \times \{I, X, Y, Z\})^N, .$

## Contextuality

A *context* is a finite subset  $c$  of mutually commuting  $N$ -qubit observables (eigenvalues in  $\{-1, 1\}$ , i.e.  $(-1)^b$  for a Boolean variable  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ ) whose matrix product  $\prod_{o \in c} o$  is  $\pm I \otimes \dots \otimes I$ . A *quantum configuration* [1] is a finite set of contexts.

$$\begin{array}{c} (-1)^{b_1} \quad (-1)^{b_2} \quad (-1)^{b_3} \\ X \otimes I \longrightarrow I \otimes X \longrightarrow X \otimes X \quad (1)I \otimes I \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ (-1)^{b_4} \quad (-1)^{b_5} \quad (-1)^{b_6} \\ I \otimes Y \longrightarrow Y \otimes I \longrightarrow Y \otimes Y \quad (1)I \otimes I \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ (-1)^{b_7} \quad (-1)^{b_8} \quad (-1)^{b_9} \\ X \otimes Y \longrightarrow Y \otimes X \longrightarrow Z \otimes Z \quad (1)I \otimes I \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ (1)I \otimes I \quad (1)I \otimes I \quad (-1)I \otimes I \end{array}$$

Example: **Mermin-Pere quantum configuration** [2, 3], with 9 two-qubit observables and 6 contexts, either positive ( $o_1 = o_2 = o_3$ ) or negative ( $o_1 = o_2 = o_3$ ), for instance  $(X \otimes X).(Y \otimes Y).(Z \otimes Z) = (X.Y.Z) \otimes (X.Y.Z) = i.I \otimes i.I = -I \otimes I$ .

The Mermin-Pere configuration is *contextual*: no value for  $(b_1, \dots, b_9) \in \{0, 1\}^9$  is consistent with the eigenvalue  $\pm 1$  of the matrix products of each context.

## Process

BC1.1  
 $(b_1 \wedge b_2 \wedge b_3 == F,$   
 $b_4 \wedge b_5 \wedge b_6 == F,$   
 $b_7 \wedge b_8 \wedge b_9 == F,$   
 $b_1 \wedge b_4 \wedge b_7 == F,$   
 $b_2 \wedge b_5 \wedge b_8 == F,$   
 $b_3 \wedge b_6 \wedge b_9 == T);$

quantum configuration generator [4, 5]  
(C program)

BC2CNF [6]

p cnf 22 56  
1 18 -16 0  
1 -18 16 0  
-1 18 16 0  
-1 -18 -16 0  
2 14 -1 0  
2 -14 1 0  
...  
22 variables, 56 clauses

KISSAT SAT solver [7]

\$ ./kissat file.cnf  
c UNSATISFIABLE

## Results [4, 5, 8]

### Contextuality checked\* for several configurations

$N$ -qubit doilies ( $2 \leq N \leq 5$ ), 12 configurations, less than 1 second

$N$ -qubit 2-spreads ( $2 \leq N \leq 5$ ), 72 configurations, 1 second

elliptic and hyperbolic quadrics ( $2 \leq N \leq 6$ ), 5456 configurations, 33 minutes

$N$ -qubit perpsets ( $2 \leq N \leq 7$ ), 21 834 configurations, 17 minutes

totally isotropic subspaces of dimension  $1 \leq k < N$  of the symplectic space  $W(2N-1, 2)$

$(k=1, 2 \wedge N \leq 5, 3 \leq k \wedge N=6, (k, N)=(6, 7))$ , 14 configurations, less than 24 hours per configuration

### Proofs and conjectures, for an arbitrary of qubits $N$

All multi-qubit doilies are contextual, and their *contextuality degree* (minimal number of unsatisfied constraints) is 3 ( $N \geq 2$ )

All 2-spreads are contextual, and their contextuality degree is 1 ( $N \geq 2$ )

*Conjecture:* All elliptic and hyperbolic quadrics are contextual ( $N \geq 2$ ), when the contexts are their lines

All perpsets are non-contextual ( $N \geq 2$ )

The configuration whose contexts are all the lines is contextual ( $k=1, N \geq 2$ )

*Conjecture:* The configuration whose contexts are all the planes is non-contextual ( $k=2, N \geq 3$ )

The configuration whose contexts are all the subspaces of some dimension  $k \geq 3$  is non-contextual ( $N > k$ )

\* computed with a PC equipped with an Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-12700H and 16 GB RAM

## Bibliography

- [1] F. Holweck. "Testing Quantum Contextuality of Binary Symplectic Polar Spaces on a Noisy Intermediate Scale Quantum Computer". In: *Quantum Information Processing* (2021). DOI: 10.1007/s11128-021-03188-9.
- [2] A. Peres. "Incompatible results of quantum measurements". In: *Physics Letters A* 151.3 (1990), pp. 107–108. ISSN: 0375-9601. DOI: 10.1016/0375-9601(90)90172-K.
- [3] N. D. Mermin. "Hidden variables and the two theorems of John Bell". In: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 65 (3 July 1993), pp. 803–815. DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.65.803.
- [4] A. Muller et al. *New and improved bounds on the contextuality degree of multi-qubit configurations*. May 2023. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2305.10225.
- [5] A. Muller et al. "Multi-qubit doilies: Enumeration for all ranks and classification for ranks four and five". In: *Journal of Computational Science* 64 (Oct. 2022), p. 101853. DOI: 10.1016/j.jocs.2022.101853.
- [6] T. A. Junnila and I. Niemelä. "Towards an Efficient Tableau Method for Boolean Circuit Satisfiability Checking". In: *Computational Logic — CL 2000*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2000, pp. 553–567. ISBN: 978-3-540-44957-7. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-44957-4\_37.
- [7] M. S. Chowdhury, M. Müller, and J. You. *A Deep Dive into Conflict Generating Decisions*. en. May 2021. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2105.04595.
- [8] H. de Boutray et al. "Contextuality degree of quadrics in multi-qubit symplectic polar spaces". In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 55.47 (Nov. 2022), p. 475301. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8121/aca36f.

## Acknowledgments

This project is supported by the PEPR integrated project EPiQ ANR-22-PETQ-0007 part of Plan France 2030, and by the EIPHI Graduate School (contract ANR-17-EURE-0002). This work also received a partial support from the Slovak VEGA grant agency, Project 2/0004/20.

## Contact

Muller Axel  
axel.muller@femto-st.fr

Besançon, Belfort, Montbéliard, Sévenans

www.femto-st.fr



UNIVERSITÉ  
FRANCHE-COMTÉ

SUP  
MICRO  
TECH

utbm  
université de technologie  
Belfort-Montbéliard