

Did a global heatwave have a lasting impact on the snowpack and the annual glacier mass balance? The example of a small glacial basin observatory in the High Arctic (Brøgger Peninsula, Spitsbergen)

Éric Bernard^a and Jean-Michel Friedt^b

^aCNRS, UMR ThéMA Landscape and Environment, Univ. of Franche Comté, 32 Rue Megevand, 25000 Besançon, France;

^bUMR FEMTO-ST Time & Frequency, Univ. of Franche Comté, 26 Rue de l'Épitaphe, 25000 Besançon, France

ARTICLE HISTORY

Compiled February 20, 2025

KEYWORDS

heatwave, rain on snow, Svalbard, automated cameras, automated ablation stake

ABSTRACT

Simultaneous heatwaves occurred on March 2022 in both Antarctic and Arctic regions. The impact of this extreme weather event is investigated from the perspective of a north-facing polar glacier in the Brøgger Peninsula of Svalbard, Arctic Norway. Original measurement systems including timelapse cameras and an automated ablation stake acquiring the ice melt with hourly resolution have been deployed for a couple of years during and after the event. The results of these measurements demonstrate that the liquid precipitation accompanying the warm event led to a significant impact on the snowpack structure. It resulted in an earlier snowpack disappearance. Consequently, the glacier surface was exposed earlier, resulting in ice melting earlier than it did in 2023, which is considered as a reference state. This in turn contributed to a more negative mass balance. This study highlights the strong negative influence of winter liquid precipitation on glacier mass balance.

KEYWORDS

Extreme events; Snowpack; Svalbard; Rain-on-Snow; Melting processes

1. Introduction

Over the past 30 years, it has been widely accepted that temperatures in the Arctic have increased (Van Pelt et al., 2019). The region has undergone significant changes (Box et al., 2019) associated with the phenomenon that has been defined as Arctic Amplification (Overland, 2021). This climatic trend implies substantial alterations in precipitation patterns, both in their temporal distribution and in their frequency and intensity (Perkins, 2015; Russo, Sillmann, & Fischer, 2015; Yu & Zhong, 2021). Indeed, heatwaves are often associated with precipitation events, especially in winter (You et al., 2021). In continuation of this trend, more Arctic rainfall events are thus observed (Serreze et al., 2021; Vickers, Malnes, & Eckerstorfer, 2022) and the total Arctic precipitation has increased by 30-60% over the last 20 years (Bintanja & Andry, 2017). In parts of the Arctic, due to the

significant increase of atmospheric rivers and winter cyclones (Bednorz, Tomczyk, Czernecki, & Piekny, n.d.; Ebell et al., 2023; Frank, Jonassen, Skogseth, & Vihma, 2023), extreme warm spells and heavy rain-on-snow (RoS) events in winter are already more frequent and more intense (Champagne, Zolina, Dedieu, Wolff, & Jacobi, 2024; Van Pelt et al., 2019), although they have been reported for a long time (Dege, 2004). The frequency and magnitude of RoS events has recently increased (Sobota, Weckwerth, & Grajewski, 2020), with rising the number and size of ice layers (Bintanja & Andry, 2017) in the snowpack. This trend is expected to increase further during the 21st century (Rennert, Roe, Putkonen, & Bitz, 2009).

Remarkably though, an exceptional heatwave was detected in Antarctica and led to record breaking temperatures in March 2022 (Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, Cox, Espinosa, & Donohoe, 2023; Wille et al., 2024). During the first quarter of 2022, the Arctic experienced the same exceptional heatwave as well (Sabbatini, 2022), accompanied by significant precipitation. According to Blanchard-Wrigglesworth et al. (2023), this extreme heatwave had the most significant impacts in Antarctica with temperature around $+39^{\circ}\text{C}$ over the normal. Although the temperature difference was smaller in Svalbard than in Antarctica, and despite the extreme events being more and more common in Arctic, the 2022 Arctic heatwave was so significant that it was able to trigger, in a single event, the reactivation of the braided river system (Athulya, Nuncio, Chatterjee, & Vidya, 2023; Salzano et al., 2023). This event allowed for the observation of both the processes of snowpack melting as well as the glacier response. Indeed, heatwaves have been observed for a long time as shown on weather records of stations located in the Brøgger Peninsula, including from Feb. 15–18 and 24, 2021 (appendix A), but the heavy precipitation induced by the 2022 event makes it unusual over most other records in addition to its worldwide extension, reaching Antarctica.

During field trips, observing the landscape and the snowpack (Fig. 1) when fetching the pictures collected by the automated cameras (see section 3.1) during the spring 2022 and 2023 seasons would not have allowed to predict the impact of the short, warm event that occurred mid-March and led to transformations of the snowpack hidden in the deeper layers of the stratigraphy. In both years (Fig. 1), a uniform snow cover over the moraine and glacier was observed early in the spring season.

In this study, we present an observation-based investigation of a heatwave impact on the cryosphere. The analysis of the snowpack behavior after being subject to soaking by a RoS event is supported by past investigations under similar conditions (Isaksen, Sollid, Holmlund, & Harris, 2007; Putkonen & Roe, 2003; Westermann, Boike, Langer, Schuler, & Etzelmüller, 2011). The specific objective of this study is to determine if the recorded warm event has had a lasting impact on the snowpack, in order to assess the potential impact on the glacial mass balance later on. The original study combines results collected by both traditional (snow pit, snow coring) and modern/innovative monitoring techniques (automated cameras, automated ablation stake, automated temperature logger network) deployed over a small observatory site in Svalbard.

2. Geographical settings

This study was carried out over an observatory site located at 78.9°N (Fig. 2) in the Brøgger Peninsula on the west coast of Spitsbergen. Austre Lovénbreen (AL) is a small land-based valley and cold/polythermal glacier covering an area of 4.5 km^2 in a 10.45 km^2 basin, with an elevation ranging from 100 to 550 m above mean sea level (a.m.s.l). According to the definition by Eckerstorfer and Christiansen (2011), this area of Svalbard is heavily influenced by the ocean, which contributes a considerable amount of humidity.

In Svalbard, the north Atlantic current moderates the temperature compared to other regions at the same latitude (Maturilli, Hanssen-Bauer, Neuber, Rex, & Edvardsen, 2019). This results in a mean annual air temperature (MAAT) in Ny-Ålesund, close to the study site, of -4°C between 1991 and 2020. The snowpack period typically extends from mid-September or beginning of October, until June.

This location was in the path of an atmospheric river (Gong, Zhong, Hua, &




Figure 1. Automated camera observing the icings in the Austre Lovénbreen moraine: pictures acquired one year apart, around April 30 2022 and 2023, visually similar despite the warm event that affected the snowpack in 2022. This landscape observation would not have allowed to predict the long melting season of 2022 with respect to 2023.

101 Feng, 2024) that, thanks to the Clausius-Clapeyron relationship between
 102 temperature and vapor pressure, led to heavy precipitation events throughout
 103 Arctic and Antarctic regions during the second half of March 2022 with the
 104 consequences mentioned below. In this paper, we discuss the response of the
 105 snowpack and then the melting consequences of this exceptional event, and how
 106 these consequences relate to those of previous or next warm events observed in
 107 Svalbard during the spring season.




Figure 2. Austre Lovénbreen observatory site location in the Brøgger Peninsula on the west coast of Spitsbergen, 78.9°N, with increasing magnification from top-left to bottom-right clockwise. This place in Svalbard exhibits a typical polar maritime climate.

3. Materials and methods

The AL observatory site has been instrumented and continuously monitored since the year 2007. Measurements of glaciological balances are carried out in conjunction with regular snow and climatic parameter data acquisition (i.e. snow profiles, air temperature). The set of measurement instruments used for this work is summarized in Fig. 3

3.1. Automatic camera

In order to establish an accurate monitoring system of glacial and periglacial processes, a network of custom automated digital cameras (Laffly et al., 2012) has been deployed in the AL basin since 2010. In order to compensate for the absence of monitoring staff during the late spring, summer and early autumn, consumer grade cameras (Leica DLux 3) were tightly enclosed to protect from weather conditions, and automatically triggered at 8, 12 and 16 h every day. The acquired pictures were manually collected and analyzed every spring and autumn, at the beginning (May 1st) and end (October 1st) of the hydrological season. This dedicated network allowed for an accurate monitoring of several cryosphere induced processes, more specifically on the snowpack and RoS events (Bernard et




Figure 3. Location of the network of instruments used for this study on Austre Lovénbreen and the snow pit. The Smartstake for ice thickness variation monitoring is pictured third from top. The 3 temperature loggers follow the same color code as the graph plotted on Fig. 4, namely blue for the lowest altitude sensors, orange for the mid-altitude and yellow for the highest altitude. Dashed lines represent the viewshed of the automatic photo station. Background image: Topo Svalbard.

al., 2013), which is especially relevant to our study.

3.2. Temperature sensors network

In order to conduct a fine-scale spatio-temporal monitoring of temperatures and to map its distribution, a network of temperature loggers (Onset Hobo, Bourne, MA, USA) was installed. The sensors were distributed on the AL basin, from the moraine to the upper cirques of the glacier, recording with hourly time-step resolution. The record of such loggers, located at higher elevations on the glacier ranging from 255 m to 314 m a.m.s.l., were compared with the records provided by

the `seklima.met.no` web site from the Norwegian Climate Service Center for the Ny-Ålesund measurement site (SN99910, 8 m a.m.s.l). The latter dataset was also used to compute the Positive Degree Day (PDD) indicator (Bengtsson, 1976) as a proxy of energy balance determining the snow and ice melt. Since only a comparison of the yearly energy balance was assessed, we computed the sum of positive degree day temperatures but do not consider the multiplicative factor for converting to ice melt thickness in this study.

The temperature sensor network subset used in this investigation spanned the central flowline of the glacier and is assumed to be representative of the altitudinal gradient.

3.3. *Nivology and snowpack study*

Thanks to the ongoing observation program over AL glacial basin, several snow profiles are carried out every year on the glacier, in different places (cirques, snout, main flowline). Beyond strictly quantitative measurements of the snowpack (density, snow water equivalent, snow depth), we also analyze its structure. Indeed, excavating the snowpack into a clean wall is a valuable strategy for assessing the structure and layers within the snowpack as well as determining the typology of snow crystals. Hence, these recurrent measurements enable the analysis of the impact of winter climatic events through the stratigraphic archive (ice layers, wet or compacted snow, etc.). All data were processed and analyzed through the online NiViz software at niviz.org.

Every spring the snowpack properties was mapped by coring and weighing the extracted snow core, and measuring the snowpack thickness with an avalanche probe. The mean density of the core was deduced from the ratio of the mass to the thickness times the coring tool cross-section. The measurement was repeated on 45 sites over the glacier area, spanning all elevations over which the glacier extends.

3.4. *Long term glacier mass balance recording*

In addition to a conventional network of ice stakes distributed over the AL glacier (Friedt et al., 2012), an automated ablation stake (Smartstake, A2 Photonic Sensors, Grenoble, France) located at 372 m a.m.s.l continuously measured the ice thickness variation at one location of the AL glacier. Since the automated probe was positioned on the surface of the glacier, the snowpack properties and thickness were not measured since the snow covers the probe, and only ice melt is measured by this instrument. A weight at the end of a 6 m long rope was inserted in a steam-drilled vertical pipe in the glacier, and as the probe standing on the melting ice surface was lowered closer to the fixed weight, an angular encoder recorded the rope length winding on a pulley. The advantage of such an instrument is that it provides not only the total ice melt but, more importantly, the rate of this melting throughout the entire hydrological season.

4. Results

According to the elevation difference between sensors, the temperature logger network on the glacier exhibits significantly colder periods than the `seklima` database (Fig. 4). However, both detect the warm event characterized with positive air temperatures during March 13 to March 17, as highlighted by the red ellipse.

The records from the higher elevation loggers, with respect to the sea level station, demonstrate that the whole glacier was subject to positive air temperatures (AT) and hence rainfall during the warm event, and not only the low-elevation moraine area shown in Fig. 5.

While the literature referring to the Antarctic warm event discusses two heat waves during the 15 to 19 March, 2022, our observations demonstrate that the first temperature rise above 0°C occurs as early as March 13 and that the warm spell ends March 18.

The warm March 2022 event is first investigated on AL by analyzing the pictures collected by the custom automated cameras and most significantly the one




Figure 4. Hourly temperature records during mid-March 2022 collected by the reference station in Ny-Ålesund and provided by the `seklima.met.no` database (site SN99910, 8 m a.m.s.l elevation), and glacier sensor network measurements at various elevations indicated in the legend, highlighting how even the highest parts of the glacier were subject to positive temperatures and hence liquid precipitations during the heatwave.

located in the moraine, at 40 m a.m.s.l, where the rain and its impact on the moraine snowpack is the most impressive (Fig. 5). This camera was aimed towards the glacial river and allows for hydrological observations such as river discharge and icings processes. This proglacial area was extremely sensitive to sudden climatic changes. It exhibited a very short response time, typically less than one day, during heavy rainfall or when temperatures rise above 0°C. Prior to March 11, 2022, the snowpack is homogeneous with hardly any bare rock visible from wind blown snow. A mix of rain and snow started falling on March 15 at noon, considering the time resolution of picture acquisition of 4 h, and some bare rock started appearing with snow melting. Precipitation quickly turned into rain on March 15 at 16h and the most dramatic impact of rain on the snowpack was observed during March 16, 2022, with the heaviest rainfall. The warm event was completed by March 17, noon, when a light snow fall covers the moraine again, returning to a visually uniform snow covered landscape by March 19, 2022 (Fig. 5, bottom right) but potentially leaving a long term impact on the underlying snowpack, especially on the glacier visible in the background of these pictures, as will be demonstrated later. Looking at the background, we observed the hydrological response with the main glacier river flow resuming, resulting from moistening of the snowpack. This resulted in an early spring break-up, pushing the snow in blocks and transforming the outwash plain into a large snow slush area (Fig. 5, light blue areas). In a next step, all areas saturated with water will then re-freeze and expand the icing areas as seen on Fig. 5, last picture, bottom right. The later visual impact of this event (Fig. 1) is nearly imperceptible without the images from the automatic photo stations.

Then, we also analyze morphological properties (Fig. 6) and, in the case of this work, the impact of the heatwave on the snowpack structure with the introduction of a significant amount of liquid water, which has presumably refrozen. The snow pit measurements were completed on a site at lower elevation than the automated ablation stake, at 211 m a.m.s.l, on the upper part of the glacier snout. Each year, the snowpack exhibits several ice layers, witnessing the effect of rainfall due to different warm events that occur during the winter and spring seasons on the existing snowpack. In both 2022 and 2023, the snow thickness at this site was equivalent, around 1 m. In both stratigraphies, ice layers are observed, paradoxically thicker in 2023 than in 2022. Furthermore, for both seasons, the ice layers closest to the surface have a comparable thickness.

In 2023, the snowpack profile showed a lightly transformed and dry snow, interspersed with 3 large ice layers attributed to successive warm events. Furthermore, the snowpack was characterized by a low temperature gradient meaning that the snow metamorphism was weak. Furthermore, in 2023, the snow profile (Fig. 6) shows snow grain structures corresponding to cold snow, while the warm events could only be detected through the presence of ice layers. The non-transformed cold snow has just been compacted, and the snow grains have not




Figure 5. Automated camera image acquisition before, during and after the warm event that occurred between March 15 and 16, 2022.

undergone any significant transformation throughout the entire snowpack depth.

The 2022 snowpack profile was interspersed with several (4) ice layers as well, but thinner and more closely spaced in the stratigraphy. However, the snowpack consisted of a higher proportion of transformed snow as a result of multiple phases of wetting. We consequently observed a succession of thick layers composed of multiple types of melt form (MF) layers intercalated with ice layers. The total thickness of all these layers is approximately 30 cm, including ice layers (Fig. 6, MF are represented by the layers in red on the 2022 profile). This indicates that the snowpack has been considerably moistened. Thus, the snow has undergone irreversible transformations through the combined action of an increase in AT and the influx of liquid water that percolates between the snow grains. The middle part of the snowpack exhibited a high Liquid Water Content (LWC) during the stratigraphy measurement.

These MF layers are therefore the snow-related consequence of the March 2022 warm event and its associated heavy rainfall. They also explain the unusually high snowpack density, with a mean value of 0.64 in 2022 compared to the usual 0.45 observed in 2023 and years prior to 2020.

We have observed that this process of strong and successive snowpack humidification significantly accelerates the melting rate as highlighted by several previous work (Koch, Prasch, Schmid, Schweizer, & Mauser, 2014; Salzano et al., 2023). Thus, the snowpack disappearance was faster and as a consequence, the glacier surface is exposed earlier. Due to induced snow slush formation, larger flows were generated that leach the glacier surface. As an example, the saturated snowpack can instantly break its cohesion with the glacier surface and then be flushed away downstream. This phenomena was observed several times during field campaigns.

The Smartstake instrument recorded the ice melt season during 2022 and 2023 (Fig. 7). The measurement is assumed to be representative of the whole glacier since the probe is located on the historical equilibrium line altitude (i.e. ELA). By comparing the datasets, we observed a difference of 1 month between the first day of melting from one year to another. The consequence is a much longer melting period in 2022, than in 2023, (70 days versus 40). As expected, this results in a significant difference of total melt: the 2022 ice melt is more than twice that of 2023, with -1560 mm compared to -620 mm, respectively.

The impact of the short warm event over the whole season is emphasized by extending the `seklima` record analysis to the whole 2022 year (Fig. 8, blue) and 2023 (Fig. 8, orange), including temperature (bottom), precipitation (middle) and snow depth (top). The 2022 heatwave is highlighted with the light-blue rectangle. Heavy precipitation associated with positive AT during the event have led to a visible impact, though relatively slight in relation to its thickness: we observe a difference of only a few centimeters attributed to melting and/or settling of the snow. However, a strong impact on the snowpack both in terms of melt and morphology is observed on the snow pit stratigraphies i.e. the MF layers identified in 2022 but not in 2023.

For comparison, the 2023 complete record is overlaid, with two close heatwaves highlighted by the red rectangle: despite higher temperatures, these heatwaves are not associated with heavy strong precipitation, leading to a very different impact on the snowpack than the 2022 event as will be discussed in the next section.

The snowpack on the glacier was observed (Fig. 9) under the same conditions, quantified as the Fractional Snow Cover (FSC). Picture analysis suggests that the 2022 snowpack suddenly disappeared one month earlier, June 29, 2022, than at the same period in 2023 since still fully snow covered on July 29th, 2023.

5. Discussion

As observed during the year 2022, despite an initially visually similar condition with 2023 (Fig. 1), the heatwave that generated intense liquid precipitation over AL (Fig. 4) mainly induced transformations of/into the snowpack (Fig. 5).

The consequence of the heatwave on the snowpack is not the same whether the latter is located on the glacier or in the periglacial environment: while the melt processes are the same, the mechanical instability leads to different outcomes on the protection of the underlying surface by the snowpack. Fig. 8 suggests that the




Figure 6. Snow pit stratigraphy comparison at the same sampling point in 2022 (top) and in 2023 (bottom). Several ice layers (light blue) are pointed into the snowpack in both years, but melt form (red) layers irreversibly recorded the liquid precipitation associated with the 2022 warm event only..

exceptional 2022 heatwave event did not have an impact on the snowpack at sea level. Indeed, on periglacial environments. i.e. on non-glaciated ground as shown on Fig. 8, the snowpack disappeared at the same time, June 1st, both in 2022 and 2023.

This result contrasts with observations of the snowpack on the glacier (Fig. 9). Indeed, the glacier surface acts as a sliding plane that facilitates the disappearance of the snowpack when it exhibits low mechanical resistance, i.e. when saturated by a high LWC. This corroborates the measurements of the Smartstake whose data analysis demonstrates the earlier melt of the ice as the glacier surface was exposed earlier.

Despite the temperature rising above 0°C one month earlier in 2022 than in 2023, the PDD curves exhibit a parallel evolution for both years, indicating a similar trend. This leads to similar snow and ice melt potential. Indeed, temperatures above the melting point of snow are considered as a proxy of melt potential summarizing the impact of incoming and outgoing radiations. However, under similar snowpack depth and PDD, the structure of the snowpack dictates how the FSC evolves and how long the glacier remains protected from melt by the snowpack. While the snow mass could explain the melting duration – the heavier




Figure 7. Top: experimental setup for continuous ablation monitoring in spring (left) and in autumn (right). The first chart displays the automated ablation stake ice thickness variation measurement in 2022 (blue) and 2023 (red) referenced to the same baseline in June 1st of each year, when the sensor is still covered with snow protecting the ice from melting. The ablation season duration defined as the first ice thickness variation to the stabilization of the ice thickness is indicated for each year, emphasizing how the melt season duration was extended in 2022 with respect to a typical year represented by 2023. Middle chart: sum of positive degree day temperatures in 2022 (red) and 2023 (blue). The PDD values at the onset of the melt (top chart) are indicated for 2022 (blue) and 2023 (red) as 245°C and 403°C respectively. Bottom: positive temperature records in 2022 (blue) and 2023 (red).




Figure 8. Weather records during 2022 (blue) and 2023 (red) from the `seklima.met.no` database for the Ny-Ålesund station. The warm event around March 15, 2022 is highlighted with the light-blue rectangle, including the heavy precipitation (middle chart). Two warm events in close succession in 2023 are highlighted with the red rectangle. Higher temperatures observed in 2023 were not accompanied with heavy precipitation (middle) and yet has also decreased the snowpack thickness (top).

snowpack induced by the higher 2022 density than in 2023 for a similar snowpack thickness requires more energy to melt – the observation here contradicts this conclusion since the heavier snowpack vanished earlier. Hence, the factor driving snowpack coverage duration over the glacier is considered as the mechanical characteristics: this observation strengthens the argument that the early snowpack disappearance in 2022 is due to the snowpack sudden rupture rather than progressive melt.

Estimates derived from Fig. 7 suggest that the PDD value for 2022 was approximately 250 degree-days, while for 2023 it rose to around 400 degree-days. Notably, due to the cooler overall temperatures during the summer of 2023, the threshold of 250 degree-days was reached approximately 15 days later in that year compared to 2022. Consequently, the variation in average summer temperatures may account for nearly half of the one-month delay in melt onset observed between 2022 and 2023. However, there remains a residual delay in melt conditions in 2023 relative to 2022. The residual delay in melt for 2023 compared to 2022 can be attributed to the transformation of snowpack resulting from the warm and wet weather conditions experienced in March 2022. That unusually mild and moist period led to an earlier accumulation of snowpack that likely underwent significant metamorphosis, altering its density and albedo properties. As a result, the transformed snowpack reacted differently to subsequent temperature variations, exhibiting slower melt rates due to its enhanced moisture retention. The observed delay in melt progression in 2023, in conjunction with cooler summer temperatures, emphasizes the complex interplay between weather events and snowpack dynamics, highlighting how previous seasonal conditions can have lasting effects on glacial melt patterns.

In this context, a significant amount of LWC in the snowpack and the presence of MF layers lead to a critical threshold of instability. As soon as the temperature



Figure 9. Date-to-date comparison of the glacier snow cover between 2022 (left) and 2023 (right). These images highlight a significantly faster melting and leaching of the glacier, occurring nearly one month earlier, in 2022 than in 2023.

rises above freezing, it undergoes an almost instantaneous transformation into
 slush before being washed away from the glacier's surface. Here, due to the
 impermeability of the glacier surface, which encourages surface flows, the structure
 of the snowpack and the snow grain type seem to be the explanatory factor for the
 earlier total disappearance of the snowpack favoring the ice exposure and then the
 ice melt. This supports the hypothesis that the earlier disappearance of the
 snowpack leads to earlier exposure of the glacier, resulting in increased ice melting.

The 2022 warm event was the perfect experiment to demonstrate that the
 snowpack structure has an impact on how it vanishes, especially onto the glacier
 surface.

6. Conclusion

Starting with the March 2022 heatwave caused by the atmospheric river affecting the Arctic and Antarctic regions, we have examined its impact on the Austre Lovén glacier in the Brøgger Peninsula of Spitsbergen, Arctic Norway. Our observations indicate a prolonged glacier ice melting season due to an early loss of the snowpack. Furthermore, a comparison with weather records from 2023 (and 2021) reveals that similar warm events have occurred in early spring on a recurring basis. Whereas temperature remains the main trigger of ice/snow melt, it appeared that the strong changes in the snowpack structure acted as a catalyst, accelerating the effects of melting when the temperature rose above 0°C. The fast reduction of Fractional Snow Cover until the surface becomes completely snow free, especially on the glacier, is interpreted as a main consequence of the RoS event resulting from the exceptional 2022 heatwave. This point is corroborated by the linear Fractional Snow Cover retreat in 2023, when the snowpack was not subject to intense moisture during winter and spring.

The 2022 heatwave had an indirect impact on the early melting of the underlying glacier by altering the mechanical properties of the snowpack through the addition of liquid water leading to the formation of ice layers and melt form snow grain structures. The resulting rapid disappearance of the snowpack, once the melting season started, led to double ice melt over the glacier area.

Data availability

The data and processing scripts are available at <https://github.com/jmfriedt/aaar2024>. Further assistance in reproducing the results can be obtained by reaching the authors.

Disclosure of interest

There are no financial or non-financial competing interests to report.

Acknowledgements

This project has received financial support from the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) through the MITI interdisciplinary programs under grant MACAROE. This work is supported by a grant of the Franche-Comté county (France) and the French Paul-Émile Victor Polar Institute IPEV under grant PRISM.

References

- Athulya, R., Nuncio, M., Chatterjee, S., & Vidya, P. (2023). Characteristics of mean and extreme precipitation in Ny-Ålesund, Arctic. *Atmospheric Research*, 295, 106989.
- Bednorz, E., Tomczyk, A. M., Czernecki, B., & Piekny, M. (n.d.). Impact of regional-scale atmospheric circulation patterns on winter air temperature variability in the Svalbard area. *Polish Polar Research*.
- Bengtsson, L. (1976). Snowmelt estimated from energy budget studies. *Nordic Hydrology*, 7, 3–18.
- Bernard, E., Friedt, J.-M., Tolle, F., Griselin, M., Martin, G., Laffly, D., & Marlin, C. (2013). Monitoring seasonal snow dynamics using ground based high res-

- 387 olution photography (Austre Lovénbreen, Svalbard, 79°N). *ISPRS Journal of*
388 *Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 75, 92–100.
- 389 Bintanja, R., & Andry, O. (2017). Towards a rain-dominated Arctic. *Nature Climate*
390 *Change*, 7(4), 263–267.
- 391 Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., Cox, T., Espinosa, Z. I., & Donohoe, A. (2023). The
392 largest ever recorded heatwave – Characteristics and attribution of the Antarctic
393 heatwave of march 2022. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 50(17), e2023GL104910.
- 394 Box, J. E., Colgan, W. T., Christensen, T. R., Schmidt, N. M., Lund, M., Parmentier,
395 F.-J. W., ... others (2019). Key indicators of Arctic climate change: 1971–2017.
396 *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(4), 045010.
- 397 Champagne, O., Zolina, O., Dedieu, J.-P., Wolff, M., & Jacobi, H.-W. (2024). Arti-
398 ficial trends or real changes? investigating precipitation records in Ny-Ålesund,
399 Svalbard. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*.
- 400 Dege, W. (2004). *War North of 80: The last German Arctic weather station of World*
401 *War II*. University of Calgary Press.
- 402 Ebell, K., Buhren, C., Gierens, R., Lauer, M., Chellini, G., Dahlke, S., & Krobot,
403 P. (2023). Multi-year precipitation characteristics based on in-situ and remote
404 sensing observations at the Arctic research site Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. In *EGU*
405 *general assembly conference abstracts* (pp. EGU–9110).
- 406 Eckerstorfer, M., & Christiansen, H. H. (2011). The “High Arctic maritime snow
407 climate” in central Svalbard. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 43(1),
408 11–21.
- 409 Frank, L., Jonassen, M., Skogseth, R., & Vihma, T. (2023). Atmospheric climatolo-
410 gies over Isfjorden, Svalbard. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,
411 128(22), e2022JD038011.
- 412 Friedt, J.-M., Tolle, F., Bernard, E., Griselin, M., Laffly, D., & Marlin, C. (2012). As-
413 sessing the relevance of digital elevation models to evaluate glacier mass balance:
414 Application to Austre Lovénbreen (Spitsbergen, 79°N). *Polar Record*, 48(1), 2–
415 10.
- 416 Gong, Z., Zhong, L., Hua, L., & Feng, J. (2024). The role of atmospheric rivers and
417 associated circulation patterns in the Arctic warming in boreal winter. *Interna-*
418 *tional Journal of Climatology*.
- 419 Isaksen, K., Sollid, J. L., Holmlund, P., & Harris, C. (2007). Recent warming of moun-
420 tain permafrost in Svalbard and Scandinavia. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
421 *Earth Surface*, 112(F2).
- 422 Koch, F., Prasch, M., Schmid, L., Schweizer, J., & Mauser, W. (2014). Measuring snow
423 liquid water content with low-cost GPS receivers. *Sensors*, 14(11), 20975–20999.
- 424 Laffly, D., Bernard, E., Griselin, M., Tolle, F., Friedt, J.-M., Martin, G., & Marlin, C.
425 (2012). High temporal resolution monitoring of snow cover using oblique view
426 ground-based pictures. *Polar Record*, 48(1), 11–16.
- 427 Maturilli, M., Hanssen-Bauer, I., Neuber, R., Rex, M., & Edvardsen, K. (2019). The
428 atmosphere above Ny-Ålesund: Climate and global warming, ozone and surface
429 UV radiation. *The Ecosystem of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard*, 23–46.
- 430 Overland, J. E. (2021). Rare events in the Arctic. *Climatic Change*, 168(3), 27.
- 431 Perkins, S. E. (2015). A review on the scientific understanding of heatwaves – Their
432 measurement, driving mechanisms, and changes at the global scale. *Atmospheric*
433 *Research*, 164, 242–267.
- 434 Putkonen, J., & Roe, G. (2003). Rain-on-snow events impact soil temperatures and
435 affect ungulate survival. *Geophysical research letters*, 30(4).
- 436 Rennert, K. J., Roe, G., Putkonen, J., & Bitz, C. M. (2009). Soil thermal and ecological

- 437 impacts of rain on snow events in the circumpolar Arctic. *Journal of Climate*,
438 22(9), 2302–2315.
- 439 Russo, S., Sillmann, J., & Fischer, E. M. (2015). Top ten European heatwaves since
440 1950 and their occurrence in the coming decades. *Environmental Research Let-*
441 *ters*, 10(12), 124003.
- 442 Sabbatini, M. (2022, 22 March). *Marching on high: new temperature record for month*
443 *as Ny-Ålesund hits 5.5°C on Wednesday – 17°C above normal – and 42.6 mm*
444 *of rain fall; Longyearbyen also gets thaw.* (icepeople.net)
- 445 Salzano, R., Cerrato, R., Scoto, F., Spolaor, A., Valentini, E., Salvatore, M., ... Sal-
446 vatori, R. (2023). Detection of winter heat wave impact on surface runoff in a
447 periglacial environment (Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard). *Remote Sensing*, 15(18), 4435.
- 448 Serreze, M. C., Gustafson, J., Barrett, A. P., Druckenmiller, M. L., Fox, S., Voveris, J.,
449 ... others (2021). Arctic rain on snow events: bridging observations to under-
450 stand environmental and livelihood impacts. *Environmental Research Letters*,
451 16(10), 105009.
- 452 Sobota, I., Weckwerth, P., & Grajewski, T. (2020). Rain-On-Snow (ROS) events and
453 their relations to snowpack and ice layer changes on small glaciers in Svalbard,
454 the high Arctic. *Journal of Hydrology*, 590, 125279.
- 455 Van Pelt, W., Pohjola, V., Pettersson, R., Marchenko, S., Kohler, J., Luks, B., ...
456 others (2019). A long-term dataset of climatic mass balance, snow conditions,
457 and runoff in Svalbard (1957–2018). *The Cryosphere*, 13(9), 2259–2280.
- 458 Vickers, H., Malnes, E., & Eckerstorfer, M. (2022). A synthetic aperture radar based
459 method for long term monitoring of seasonal snowmelt and wintertime rain-on-
460 snow events in Svalbard. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 10, 868945.
- 461 Westermann, S., Boike, J., Langer, M., Schuler, T., & Etzelmüller, B. (2011). Modeling
462 the impact of wintertime rain events on the thermal regime of permafrost. *The*
463 *Cryosphere*, 5(4), 945–959.
- 464 Wille, J. D., Alexander, S. P., Amory, C., Baiman, R., Barthélemy, L., Bergstrom,
465 D. M., ... others (2024). The extraordinary March 2022 East Antarctica “heat”
466 wave. Part I: observations and meteorological drivers. *Journal of Climate*, 37(3),
467 757–778.
- 468 You, Q., Cai, Z., Pepin, N., Chen, D., Ahrens, B., Jiang, Z., ... others (2021). Warming
469 amplification over the Arctic Pole and Third Pole: Trends, mechanisms and
470 consequences. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 217, 103625.
- 471 Yu, L., & Zhong, S. (2021). Trends in Arctic seasonal and extreme precipitation in
472 recent decades. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 145, 1541–1559.

473 Appendix A. Weather records: 2021 v.s 2022

474 Weather records (Fig. A1) from seklima.met.no at the Ny-Ålesund station
475 (SN99910, 8 m a.m.s.l) comparing the snow depth (top), precipitation (middle)
476 and temperature records (bottom) in 2021 and 2022. The time scale is limited to
477 February 1st to June 15 of each year to include the melt of the snowpack (reached
478 June 10, 2021 and June 1st, 2022) since the temperature measurement started
479 failing mid-May in 2021 and was only resumed beginning of September, preventing
480 a Positive Degree Day index calculation during the melt season.




Figure A1. Weather records during 2022 (blue) and 2021 (red) from the `seklima.met.no` database for the Ny-Ålesund station. The warm event around March 15, 2022 is highlighted with the light-blue rectangle, including the heavy precipitation (middle chart). Two warm events in February 2021 are highlighted with the orange rectangle.